

ÉTALE DUALITY FOR CONSTRUCTIBLE SHEAVES ON ARITHMETIC SCHEMES

UWE JANNSEN, SHUJI SAITO AND KANETOMO SATO

In this note we relate the following three topics for arithmetic schemes: a general duality for étale constructible torsion sheaves, a theory of étale homology, and the arithmetic complexes of Gersten-Bloch-Ogus type defined by K. Kato [KCT].

In brief, there is an absolute duality using certain dualizing sheaves on these schemes, we describe and characterize the dualizing sheaves to some extent, relate them to symbol maps, define étale homology via the dualizing sheaves, and show that the niveau spectral sequence for the latter, constructed by the method of Bloch and Ogus [BO], leads to the complexes defined by Kato. Some of these relations may have been expected by experts, and some have been used implicitly in the literature, although we do not know any explicit reference for statements or proofs. Moreover, the main results are used in a crucial way in a paper by two of us [JS]. So a major aim is to fill a gap in the literature, and a special emphasis is on precise formulations, including the determination of signs. But the general picture developed here may be of interest itself.

0.1. Gersten-Bloch-Ogus-Kato complexes. For a scheme X and a positive integer n invertible on X , denote by $\mathbb{Z}/n(1) = \mu_n$ the étale sheaf on X of n -th roots of unity, and let $\mathbb{Z}/n(r) = \mu_n^{\otimes r}$ be the r -fold Tate twist, defined for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. As usual, we let

$$\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(r) = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r) \quad (\text{for } p \text{ invertible on } X).$$

For a smooth variety X over a perfect field of positive characteristic $p > 0$ and integers $n > 0$ and $r \geq 0$, $W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r$ denotes the étale subsheaf of the logarithmic part of the r -th Hodge-Witt sheaf $W_n \Omega_X^r$ ([B], [III]), which are \mathbb{Z}/p^n -sheaves. It is also noted $\nu_{n, X}^r$ in the literature. We denote

$$W_\infty \Omega_{X, \log}^r = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r.$$

Let X be a noetherian excellent scheme, and let y and x be points on X such that x has codimension 1 in the closure $\overline{\{y\}} \subset X$. Then for a prime number p , Kato ([KCT] §1) defined ‘residue maps’

$$(0.1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H^{i+1}(y, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes r+1}) &\longrightarrow H^i(x, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes r}) && (\text{if } \text{ch}(x) \neq p) \\ H^i(y, W_n \Omega_{y, \log}^{r+1}) &\longrightarrow H^i(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r) && (\text{if } \text{ch}(y) = \text{ch}(x) = p) \\ H^{i+m+1}(y, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes r+1}) &\longrightarrow H^i(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r) && (\text{if } \text{ch}(y) = 0 \text{ and } \text{ch}(x) = p), \end{aligned}$$

Date: September, 2009.

The third author carried out research for this article during his stay at University of Southern California supported by JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad. He expresses his gratitude to Professors Wayne Raskind and Thomas Geisser for their great hospitality. Thanks are also due to Atsushi Shiho. The arguments for Theorems 1.1.1 and 2.1.1 were inspired by discussions with him.

where the maps of second and third type have non-zero target only for $i = 0, 1$, and in case $i = 1$ they are only defined if $[\kappa(x) : \kappa(x)^p] \leq p^r$. For a point $x \in X$, we wrote $H^*(x, -)$ for étale cohomology of $x = \text{Spec}(\kappa(x))$, so this is just the Galois cohomology of $\kappa(x)$, the residue field at x . These maps are defined via the Galois cohomology of discrete valuation fields, symbol maps on Milnor K -theory, and the valuation (see §0.6 below). Therefore we will write $\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$ for these maps, and denote sheafified variants in the same way. In particular, for $i = r = 0$ the first and the last maps via Kummer theory correspond to the map

$$\kappa(y)^\times / (\kappa(y)^\times)^{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n$$

induced by the discrete valuations on the normalization of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\{y\}}, x}$.

It has become customary to denote

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n(r) := W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r[-r]$$

for an (essentially) smooth scheme over a perfect field of characteristic p . With this notation, all maps above have the form

$$\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}} : H^{i+1}(y, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) \longrightarrow H^i(x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)).$$

Denote by X_q the set of points $x \in X$ of dimension q (i.e., whose Zariski closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ has dimension q). In [KCT], Kato showed that, for each triple of integers i, j and $n > 0$, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_r} H^{r+i}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(r+j)) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_{r-1}} H^{r+i-1}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(r+j-1)) \longrightarrow \\ &\cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H^i(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)), \end{aligned}$$

whose maps have the components $\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$, forms a complex $C_n^{i,j}(X)$. It was a major motivation for this paper to understand the maps $\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$ and these complexes in terms of étale duality.

0.2. Étale duality. A very general duality for constructible étale torsion sheaves has been established in [SGA4]. This is a *relative* duality, encoded in an adjunction

$$(0.2.1) \quad \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, Rf^! \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Hom}_S(Rf_! \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$$

for a separated morphism of finite type $f : X \longrightarrow S$ and bounded complexes of étale torsion sheaves \mathcal{F} (on X) and \mathcal{G} (on S) with constructible cohomology sheaves. There is also a derived version, replacing Hom by $R\mathcal{H}om$. To obtain an *absolute* duality for the cohomology groups of sheaves on X , in the spirit of Poincaré duality, one needs an additional duality on the base scheme S . For arithmetic applications one is interested in schemes X of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . Therefore we may assume that $S = \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$, where \mathfrak{o}_k is the ring of integers in a number field k . Here one has the Artin-Verdier duality

$$(0.2.2) \quad H_c^m(S, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Ext}_S^{3-m}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H_c^3(S, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where H_c^m denotes the ‘cohomology with compact support’ [KCT] which takes care of the archimedean places of k . But the figuring ‘dualizing sheaf’ \mathbb{G}_m is not torsion, so the

relative duality above, for a scheme X/S , does not apply. Nevertheless, for such a higher-dimensional arithmetic scheme X , various absolute duality theorems have been obtained (cf. [Dn], [Sp], [Mo], [Mi2], [Ge]), although always under some restrictions. For example n -torsion sheaves for n invertible on X have been considered, or X was assumed to be smooth over S , or X was assumed to be a scheme over a finite field.

Our approach is to introduce a complex of torsion sheaves $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S$ on S (see Definition 3.9.1, (4.1.3)) so that one has a perfect duality as in (0.2.2) when replacing $\mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ by $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S$. Next we define the dualizing ‘sheaf’ (it is really a complex of sheaves) on S as

$$\mathcal{D}_S = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S[2],$$

and on every separated S -scheme X of finite type as

$$\mathcal{D}_X = Rf^! \mathcal{D}_S,$$

where $f : X \rightarrow S$ is the structural morphism. Then, by using (0.2.1), (0.2.2) and additional arguments, one gets a duality (cf. §4.4)

$$H_c^m(X, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Ext}_X^{1-m}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D}_X) \longrightarrow H_c^1(X, \mathcal{D}_X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

This is more or less formal, but we make the following three points. First, the duality is completely general: X and the constructible complex \mathcal{F} can be arbitrary. Hence X may be highly singular, and we may consider p -torsion sheaves even if p is not invertible on X (so in particular, if X is an algebraic scheme over \mathbb{F}_p), and the approach connects this ‘ p -case’ and the case ‘away from p ’ in a nice way. Secondly, we have a lot of information on the complex \mathcal{D}_X . Thirdly, it is this information that we need for the applications we have in mind, cf. [JS].

We describe the information on \mathcal{D}_X separately for each p -primary part \mathcal{D}_{X,p^∞} , where p is a prime. Put

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^\infty := \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{p^\infty} := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mu_{p^n}.$$

In the rest of this §0.2, suppose $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. First we describe $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S$.

(i) Let $S = \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$ be as before. The complex $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S$ is, by definition, the mapping fiber of a morphism

$$\delta_S^{\text{val}} = \delta_{S,p^n}^{\text{val}} : Rj_* \mu_{p^n} \longrightarrow i_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1].$$

Here $j : U = \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k[p^{-1}]) \hookrightarrow S$ is the open immersion, $i : Z = S \setminus U \hookrightarrow S$ is the closed immersion of the complement, \mathbb{Z}/p^n is the constant sheaf on Z , and μ_{p^n} is the sheaf of p^n -th roots of unity on U (note that p is invertible on U). One has $R^q j_* \mu_{p^n} = 0$ for $q \geq 2$, and hence δ_S^{val} is determined by the morphism $R^1 j_* \mu_{p^n} \rightarrow i_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ it induces, and by adjunction and localization, this is in turn completely described by the induced morphisms

$$\partial_x : k^\times/p^n = H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)) \longrightarrow H^0(x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) = \mathbb{Z}/p^n$$

for each closed point $x \in Z = S \setminus U$. Then δ_S^{val} is completely determined by defining ∂_x to be the residue map (0.1.1), i.e., as $\text{ord}_x \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n$, where $\text{ord}_x : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the normalized discrete valuation corresponding to x .

Moreover, we will show that the mapping fiber of δ_S^{val} is unique up to unique isomorphism in the derived category of sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}$. In other words, $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S$ is the unique complex \mathcal{F} with $\mathcal{F}|_U = \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)$, $Ri^!\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-2]$, and for which the canonical morphism $Rj_*\mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow i_*Ri^!\mathcal{F}[1]$ is the morphism δ_S^{val} described above. See the remarks after Definition 3.9.1 for details.

Now we list the properties of $\mathcal{D}_{X,p^n} = Rf^!\mathcal{D}_{S,p^n} = Rf^!\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S[2]$ for $f : X \rightarrow S$ separated and of finite type.

(ii) For p invertible on X , \mathcal{D}_{X,p^∞} is the usual dualizing sheaf for the ‘prime-to- p theory’ over $\mathfrak{o}_K[p^{-1}]$. In particular, $\mathcal{D}_{X,p^\infty} = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(d)[2d]$ if X is regular of pure dimension d .

(iii) For X of characteristic p , i.e., of finite type over the prime field \mathbb{F}_p , and of dimension d , \mathcal{D}_{X,p^∞} is represented by the explicit complex

$$\mathcal{M}_X : \bigoplus_{x \in X_d} W_\infty \Omega_{x,\log}^d \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_{d-1}} W_\infty \Omega_{x,\log}^{d-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

introduced by Moser [Mo] (except that we put the rightmost term in degree zero, while Moser rather considers the complex $\tilde{v}_{\infty,X}^d := \mathcal{M}_X[-d]$). In fact, we generalize Moser’s duality over finite fields

$$H_c^m(X, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Ext}_X^{d+1-m}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M}_X[-d]) \rightarrow H_c^{d+1}(X, \mathcal{M}_X[-d]) = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

in the following way: We extend the duality to arbitrary perfect ground fields k of characteristic p , and show that \mathcal{M}_X is in fact $Rg^!\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, where $g : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the structural morphism. (Together with the well-known duality of finite fields, this immediately gives back Moser’s theorem). By Gros and Suwa [GrSu], one has $\mathcal{M}_X = W_\infty \Omega_{X,\log}^d[d]$, if X is regular.

(iv) Finally, for X flat over $S = \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_K)$, consider the closed immersion

$$i : Y := X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow X$$

and the open immersion

$$j : U := X[p^{-1}] \hookrightarrow X$$

of the complement. There is a morphism

$$\delta_X^{S\text{-val}} = \delta_{X,p^\infty}^{S\text{-val}} : Rj_*\mathcal{D}_{U,p^\infty} \longrightarrow i_*\mathcal{D}_{Y,p^\infty}[1]$$

obtained from δ_S^{val} (cf. (i)) via $Rf^!$, where $f : X \rightarrow S$ denotes the structural map. The source and target are studied in (ii) and (iii) above, respectively, and it is clear from the definitions that \mathcal{D}_{X,p^∞} is a mapping fiber of $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$. In general, such a mapping fiber is not unique (for the lack of the unicity of isomorphisms), but one of our main results is the following: \mathcal{D}_{X,p^∞} is a unique mapping fiber of $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ up to unique isomorphism (cf. Theorem 3.11.1) and moreover when U is smooth, $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ is uniquely characterized by the property that, for every generic point $y \in Y$ and every generic point $\xi \in U$ which specializes to y , the induced map

$$H^d(\xi, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(d)) \longrightarrow H^{d-1}(y, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(d-1)) = H^0(y, W_\infty \Omega_{y,\log}^{d-1})$$

coincides with the residue map in (0.1.1), cf. Theorem 3.2.1 (3). When X is proper (but U arbitrary), we have a similar uniqueness property.

There is another morphism

$$\delta_{U,Y}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D}_{X,p^\infty}) : Rj_*\mathcal{D}_{U,p^\infty} \longrightarrow i_*\mathcal{D}_{Y,p^\infty}[1],$$

the connecting morphism of localization theory for \mathcal{D}_{X,p^∞} . We will also prove that this morphism agrees with $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ up to a sign, cf. (3.9.5).

0.3. Étale homology. Let k be a perfect field, and let X be a separated scheme of finite type over k . For integers $n > 0$, a and b , we define the étale homology of X by

$$H_a(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) = H^{-a}(X, Rf^1\mathbb{Z}/n(-b)),$$

where $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is the structural morphism. Note that for $\text{ch}(k) = p > 0$, we have $\mathbb{Z}/p^r(-b) = W_r\Omega_{k,\log}^{-b}[b]$, which is the constant sheaf \mathbb{Z}/p^r for $b = 0$, zero for $b < 0$ (because k is perfect), and zero by definition for $b > 0$. Therefore we will either assume that n is invertible in k , or that $b = 0$. These groups satisfy all properties of a (Borel-Moore type) homology theory, cf. [BO], [JS]. Thus the method of Bloch and Ogus provides a converging niveau spectral sequence (loc. cit.)

$$(0.3.1) \quad E_{s,t}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H_{s+t}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) \implies H_{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)).$$

Here we put

$$H_a(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) = \varinjlim_{V \subset \overline{\{x\}}} H_a(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(b))$$

and the limit is taken over all non-empty open subvarieties $V \subset \overline{\{x\}}$. If V is smooth of pure dimension d over k , then one has a canonical purity isomorphism

$$H_a(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) \cong H^{2d-a}(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(d-b))$$

between homology and cohomology. This is one of the main results of the Artin-Verdier duality [SGA4] in the case n is invertible in k , and follows from our results in §2 for the other case. As a consequence, one has canonical isomorphisms

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H_{s+t}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H^{s-t}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-b)),$$

and the complex $E_{*,t}^1$ of E^1 -terms of the spectral sequence can be identified with a complex

$$(0.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H^{s-t}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-b)) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_{s-1}} H^{s-t-1}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-b-1)) \longrightarrow \\ &\cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H^{-t}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b)), \end{aligned}$$

where we place the last term in degree zero. Another main result of this paper is that this complex coincides with the Kato complex $C_n^{-t,-b}(X)$ mentioned in §0.1, up to well-defined signs. In §1 we also give an absolute variant of this result, for the case that X is a regular excellent noetherian scheme and n is invertible on X .

Finally let X be a separated scheme of finite type over $S = \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_K)$, where K is a number field, and let n , a and b be integers. If n is invertible on X , we define the étale homology as

$$H_a(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) = H^{-a}(X, Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/n(-b)),$$

where $f : X \rightarrow S[n^{-1}]$ is the structural morphism. If n is not invertible on X , we just consider the case $b = -1$ and define

$$H_a(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(-1)) = H^{-a}(X, Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/n(1)'_S),$$

where $f : X \rightarrow S$ is the structural morphism, and $\mathbb{Z}/n(1)'_S$ has the p -primary components $\mathbb{Z}/p^{r_p}(1)'_S$ from (i) for $n = \prod p^{r_p}$. Again, in both cases this defines a homology theory in the sense of [BO] and [JS], and one gets a niveau spectral sequence with exactly the same numbering as in (0.3.1). By the purity isomorphisms explained above, the complex of E^1 -terms is identified with a complex

$$(0.3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H^{s-t-2}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-b-1)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_{s-1}} H^{s-t-3}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-b-2)) \longrightarrow \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H^{-t-2}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b-1)), \end{aligned}$$

cf. [JS]. The difference in numbering between (0.3.2) and (0.3.3) is explained by the purity results for the inclusion of the fibers $X_P \hookrightarrow X$ over closed points $P \in S$. A third main result of this paper is that, also in this mixed characteristic case, this complex coincides with a Kato complex, viz., $C_n^{-t-2, -b-1}(X)$. In fact, this gives an alternative definition of the Kato complexes under consideration, which is very useful for working with them.

0.4. Notations and conventions. For an abelian group M and a positive integer n , M/n (resp. ${}_n M$) denotes the cokernel (resp. the kernel) of the map $M \xrightarrow{\times n} M$.

In this paper, unless indicated otherwise, all cohomology groups of schemes are taken for the étale topology.

For a scheme X , we will use the following notation. For a non-negative integer q , X_q denotes the set of points on X whose closure in X has dimension q . If X is pure-dimensional, then we will often write X^q for the set of points on X of codimension q . For a point $x \in X$, $\kappa(x)$ denotes its residue field, and \bar{x} denotes $\text{Spec}(\overline{\kappa(x)})$, the spectrum of a separable closure of $\kappa(x)$. For a point $x \in X$ and an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , we will often write $H_x^*(X, \mathcal{F})$ for $H_x^*(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}), \mathcal{F})$.

Let X be a scheme and let n be a non-negative integer. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion, and let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ be the open complement $X \setminus Z$. For an object $\mathcal{K} \in D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$, we define the morphism

$$\delta_{U,Z}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K}) : Rj_* j^* \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow Ri_* Ri^! \mathcal{K}[1] \quad \text{in } D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

as the connecting morphism associated with the semi-splitting short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow i_* i^! I^\bullet \longrightarrow I^\bullet \longrightarrow j_* j^* I^\bullet \longrightarrow 0$$

([SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Catégories Dérivées I.1.2.4), where I^\bullet is a resolution of \mathcal{K} by injective \mathbb{Z}/n -sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$. It induces the usual connecting morphisms

$$\delta_{U,Z}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K}) : R^q j_* j^* \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow i_* R^{q+1} i^! \mathcal{K},$$

or the connecting morphisms in the localization sequence for (X, Z, U) :

$$\delta_{U,Z}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K}) : H^q(U, j^* \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow H_Z^{q+1}(X, \mathcal{K}).$$

The morphism $\delta_{X,U}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})$ is functorial in \mathcal{K} , but does not commute with shift functors in general. In fact, we have

$$(0.4.1) \quad \delta_{U,Z}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})[q] = (-1)^q \cdot \delta_{U,Z}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K}[q]) \quad \text{for } q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By the convention in [SGA4] XVII.1.1.1 (which we follow and is usually taken, but which is opposite to the one in [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Catégories Dérivées I.1.2.1), the following triangle is distinguished in $D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$:

$$(0.4.2) \quad Ri_* Ri^! \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{j^*} Rj_* j^* \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{-\delta_{U,Z}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})} Ri_* Ri^! \mathcal{K}[1],$$

where the arrow i_* (resp. j^*) denotes the adjunction morphism $Ri_* Ri^! \rightarrow \text{id}$ (resp. $\text{id} \rightarrow Rj_* j^*$). We generalize the above definition of connecting morphisms to the following situation. Let y and x be points on X such that x has codimension 1 in the closure $\overline{\{y\}} \subset X$. Put $Y := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\{y\}}, x})$, and let i_x (resp. i_y, i_Y) be the natural map $x \rightarrow X$ (resp. $y \rightarrow X, Y \rightarrow X$). Then we define a morphism

$$\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K}) : Ri_{y*} Ri_y^! \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow Ri_{x*} Ri_x^! \mathcal{K}[1] \quad \text{in } D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

as $Ri_{Y*}(\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(Ri_Y^! \mathcal{K}))$.

0.5. Derived categories. We shall often use the following facts. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category, and let $D^*(\mathcal{A})$ be its derived category with boundary condition $*$ $\in \{\emptyset, +, -, b\}$.

0.5.1. A sequence $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \xrightarrow{\gamma} A[1]$ in $D^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a distinguished triangle if and only if $B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \xrightarrow{\gamma} A[1] \xrightarrow{-\alpha[1]} B[1]$ is a distinguished triangle. (This is the axiom (TR2) for triangulated categories, [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Catégories Dérivées I.1.1.)

0.5.2. Given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & C & \longrightarrow & A[1] \\ f \downarrow & & & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow f[1] \\ A' & \xrightarrow{a'} & B' & \xrightarrow{b'} & C' & \longrightarrow & A'[1] \end{array}$$

in which the rows are distinguished triangles and the last square commutes, there is a morphism $g : B \rightarrow B'$ making the remaining squares commutative, i.e., giving a morphism of distinguished triangles. Moreover one has

Lemma 0.5.3. *The morphism g is unique in the following three cases:*

- (1) $\text{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(B, A') = 0$.
- (2) $\text{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(C, B') = 0$.

$$(3) \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(C, A') = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}^{-1}(A, C') = 0.$$

Proof. There is an induced commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{Hom}(C, A') & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}(B, A') & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \operatorname{Hom}(C, B') & \xrightarrow{b^*} & \operatorname{Hom}(B, B') & \xrightarrow{a^*} & \operatorname{Hom}(A, B') \\ \downarrow b'_* & & \downarrow b'_* & & \\ \operatorname{Hom}^{-1}(A, C') & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}(C, C') & \xrightarrow{b^*} & \operatorname{Hom}(B, C'). \end{array}$$

Suppose g_1 and g_2 both make the previous diagram commutative. Then the element $g_1 - g_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(B, B')$ is mapped to zero in $\operatorname{Hom}(A, B')$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(B, C')$. Under conditions (1) and (2), either the right hand b'_* or a^* is injective, so the claim follows. Under condition (3), the left hand b'_* and the lower b^* are both injective, and again we get $g_1 - g_2 = 0$. \square

0.5.4. Let q, r be integers, and let M be an object in $D(\mathcal{A})$ which is concentrated in degrees $\leq r$. Let N be an object in $D(\mathcal{A})$ which is concentrated in degrees ≥ 0 . Then we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(M, N[-q]) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{H}^q(M), \mathcal{H}^0(N)) & (\text{if } q = r) \quad \dots\dots (1) \\ 0 & (\text{if } q > r) \quad \dots\dots (2) \end{cases}$$

Here for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{H}^s(M)$ denotes the s -th cohomology object of M . These facts are well-known and easily proved, using [BBD] 1.3.2 and [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Catégories Dérivées I.1.2.

0.6. **Kato's residue maps.** We recall Kato's definition of the residue maps in (0.1.1). Consider a noetherian excellent scheme X and points $x, y \in X$ such that x lies in $Z = \overline{\{y\}}$ and has codimension 1 in Z . The construction only depends on Z (with the reduced subscheme structure). Put $A := \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$, a local domain of dimension 1. We may further replace Z with $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

(I) *Regular case.* First consider the case that A is regular, i.e., a discrete valuation ring. Then $K := \kappa(y) = \operatorname{Frac}(A)$ is a discrete valuation field and $k := \kappa(x)$ is the residue field of A , i.e., of the valuation. The residue map

$$\partial^{\text{val}} = \partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}} : H^{i+1}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) \longrightarrow H^i(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r))$$

is obtained by restricting to the henselization K^{h} (which corresponds to restricting to the henselization $A^{\text{h}} = \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}^{\text{h}}$) and defining a map for the discrete valuation field K^{h} which has the same residue field k . Hence we may and will assume that K is henselian (i.e., $A = A^{\text{h}}$ and $K = K^{\text{h}}$). Let K^{sh} be the maximal unramified extension of K (corresponding to the strict henselization $A^{\text{sh}} = \mathcal{O}_{Z,\bar{x}}$).

(I.1) If $p \neq \operatorname{ch}(k)$, we first have a map

$$H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)) \xleftarrow{\sim} K^\times / (K^\times)^{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n = H^0(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^n),$$

where the first arrow is the Kummer isomorphism, and the second is induced by the valuation. This is ∂^{val} for $(i, r) = (0, 0)$. In general ∂^{val} is the composition

$$H^{i+1}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) \longrightarrow H^i(k, H^1(K^{\text{sh}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1))) \longrightarrow H^i(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)).$$

Here the first map is an edge morphism from the Hochschild-Serre sequence for K^{sh}/K (note that $\text{cd}(K^{\text{sh}}) = 1$), and the second map is induced by (the Tate twist of) the previously defined map.

(I.2) Now let $p = \text{ch}(k)$ (and recall that K is henselian). In this case $H^i(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)) = 0$ for $i \neq r, r+1$. Assume that $i = r$. Then ∂^{val} is defined by the commutativity of the diagram

$$(0.6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^{r+1}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) & \xrightarrow{\partial^{\text{val}}} & H^r(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)) \\ \uparrow h^{r+1} \wr & & \uparrow h^r \wr \\ K_{r+1}^M(K)/p^n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_r^M(k)/p^n. \end{array}$$

Here $K_r^M(F)$ is the r -th Milnor K -group of a field F , h^r is the symbol map into Galois cohomology, and ∂ is the suitably normalized residue map for Milnor K -theory. By definition,

$$h^r(\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}) = h^1(a_1) \cup \dots \cup h^1(a_r) \in H^r(F, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)),$$

where $h^1 : F^\times/p^n \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1))$ is defined as follows: it is the Kummer isomorphism if p is invertible in F , and it is the isomorphism $d\log : F^\times/p^n \rightarrow H^0(F, W_n \Omega_{F, \log}^1)$ if $\text{ch}(F) = p$. It is known that, under our assumptions, the symbol maps h^i in (0.6.1) are isomorphisms ([BK] §2, §5). Finally, if π is a prime element for K , then ∂ is determined by the property that

$$\partial(\{\pi, a_1, \dots, a_r\}) = \{\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_r\},$$

for units $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A^\times$, where \bar{a}_i denotes the residue class of a_i in the residue field k .

(I.3) Now let $i = r+1$. In this case we assume $[k : k^p] \leq p^r$. Then the residue map ∂^{val} is defined as the composition (\bar{k} denotes the separable closure of k)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(k, H^{r+1}(K^{\text{sh}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1))) & \xrightarrow{(**)} & H^1(k, H^r(\bar{k}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r))) \\ \uparrow (*) \wr & & \uparrow (*) \wr \\ H^{r+2}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) & \xrightarrow{\partial^{\text{val}}} & H^{r+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)). \end{array}$$

Here the isomorphisms $(*)$ come from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences and the fact that $\text{cd}_p(k) \leq 1$ and

$$H^{j+1}(K^{\text{sh}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) = 0 = H^j(\bar{k}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)) \quad \text{for } j > r.$$

The map $(**)$ is induced by the map

$$H^{r+1}(K^{\text{sh}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) \longrightarrow H^r(\bar{k}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r))$$

defined in (I.2). In [KCT] the completion \widehat{K} is used instead of the henselization K^h , but this gives the same, because the map

$$H^j(K^{\text{sh}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) \longrightarrow H^j(\widehat{K}^{\text{sh}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1))$$

is an isomorphism ([KaKu] proof of Theorem 1). Indeed $\widehat{K}^{\text{sh}}/K^{\text{sh}}$ is separable by excellency of X .

(II) *General case.* Now consider the case that A is not necessarily regular. In this case let $Z' \rightarrow Z = \text{Spec}(A)$ be the normalization. Note that Z' is finite over Z because the latter is excellent. Then we define

$$\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}(a) = \sum_{x'|x} \text{Cor}_{\kappa(x')/\kappa(x)}(\partial_{y,x'}^{\text{val}}(a)) \quad (a \in H^{i+1}(y, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)))$$

where the sum is taken over all points $x' \in Z'$ lying over x ,

$$\partial_{y,x'}^{\text{val}} : H^{i+1}(y, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r+1)) \longrightarrow H^i(x', \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r))$$

is the residue map defined for the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z',x'}$, and

$$(0.6.2) \quad \text{Cor}_{\kappa(x')/\kappa(x)} : H^i(x', \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)) \longrightarrow H^i(x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r))$$

is the corestriction map in Galois cohomology. For p invertible in $\kappa(x)$ this last map is well-known. For $\kappa(x)$ of characteristic p and $i = r$, this corestriction map is defined as the composition

$$(0.6.3) \quad H^0(x', W_n \Omega_{x', \log}^r) \xrightarrow{(h^r)^{-1}} K_r^M(\kappa(x'))/p^n \xrightarrow{N_{x'/x}} K_r^M(\kappa(x))/p^n \xrightarrow{h^r} H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r).$$

This implies that the diagram (0.6.1) is also commutative in this case. For the remaining case $i = r + 1$ we may proceed as follows. It is easy to see that the map (0.6.3) is compatible with étale base-change in $\kappa(x)$. Therefore we get an induced corestriction or trace map

$$(0.6.4) \quad \text{tr}_{x'/x} : \pi_* W_n \Omega_{x', \log}^r \longrightarrow W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r.$$

Then we define the corestriction (0.6.2) for $\text{ch}(\kappa(x)) = p$ and $i = r + 1$ as

$$(0.6.5) \quad \text{tr}_{x'/x} : H^1(x', W_n \Omega_{x', \log}^r) \longrightarrow H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r),$$

the map induced by (0.6.4). If $\kappa(x)$ is finitely generated over a perfect field k , the morphisms (0.6.3), (0.6.4) and (0.6.5) agree with the trace map in logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology defined by Gros [Gr]. See the appendix for this and further compatibilities.

1. THE CASE WHERE p IS INVERTIBLE ON THE SCHEME X

In this section, we work in the following setting. Let X be a noetherian excellent regular scheme, let n be a positive integer invertible on X and put $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}/n$. For integers $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, put $\Lambda(q) := \mu_n^{\otimes q}$, the q -fold Tate twist of the étale sheaf Λ on X or X -schemes. Let $Z \subset X$ be a regular closed subscheme of pure codimension c . By Gabber's construction [FG], there is a cycle class $\text{cl}_X(Z)$ in the étale cohomology group $H_Z^{2c}(X, \Lambda(c))$ (without using the absolute purity), which satisfies the following three properties:

- (G1) For an étale morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ and $Z' := Z \times_X X'$, the pull-back of $\text{cl}_X(Z)$ to $H_{Z'}^{2c}(X', \Lambda(c))$ agrees with $\text{cl}_{X'}(Z')$.
- (G2) For regular closed subschemes $Z \subset Y \subset X$, we have $\text{cl}_X(Y) \cap \text{cl}_Y(Z) = \text{cl}_X(Z)$ in $H_Z^{2c}(X, \Lambda(c))$.
- (G3) The image of $\text{cl}_X(Z)$ in $H^0(Z, R^{2c}i^!\Lambda(c))$ coincides with Deligne's cycle class [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle §2.2. Here $i = i_Z$ denotes the closed immersion $Z \hookrightarrow X$.

1.1. Gysin maps and compatibility. For $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, one defines the Gysin map Gys_i as

$$\text{Gys}_i : H^q(Z, \Lambda(r)) \longrightarrow H_Z^{q+2c}(X, \Lambda(r+c)), \quad \alpha \mapsto \text{cl}_X(Z) \cup \alpha.$$

The main aim of this section is the following compatibility result. It will turn out that we do not need the absolute purity in its proof.

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let c be a positive integer, and let $i_x : x \hookrightarrow X$ and $i_y : y \hookrightarrow X$ be points on X of codimension c and $c-1$, respectively, with $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$. Then the following square commutes for integers $q, r \geq 0$:*

$$(1.1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^{q+1}(y, \Lambda(r+1)) & \xrightarrow{-\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}} & H^q(x, \Lambda(r)) \\ \text{Gys}_{i_y} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Gys}_{i_x} \\ H_y^{q+2c-1}(X, \Lambda(r+c)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\Lambda(r+c)_X)} & H_x^{q+2c}(X, \Lambda(r+c)). \end{array}$$

To prove the theorem, we may assume that X is local with closed point x . Put $Z := \overline{\{y\}} \subset X$, which has dimension 1 and consists of two points $\{y, x\}$. Let i_Z (resp. ι_x) be the closed immersion $Z \hookrightarrow X$ (resp. $x \hookrightarrow Z$). The proof will be finished in §1.4 below.

1.2. Regular case. We first prove Theorem 1.1.1, assuming that Z is regular. In this case Z is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring A , and we have the cycle classes

$$\text{cl}_X(Z) \in H_Z^{2(c-1)}(X, \Lambda(c-1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{cl}_Z(x) \in H_x^2(Z, \Lambda(1))$$

by Gabber's construction, where $\text{cl}_Z(x)$ agrees with Deligne's construction in [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle §2.2 by (G3). There is a diagram of boundary maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^{q+1}(y, \Lambda(r+1)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_y}} & H_y^{q+2c-1}(X, \Lambda(r+c)) \\ & \swarrow -\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}} & \downarrow \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\Lambda(r+1)_Z) & & \downarrow \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\Lambda(r+c)_X) \\ H^q(x, \Lambda(r)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{\iota_x}} & H_x^{q+2}(Z, \Lambda(r+1)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_Z}} & H_x^{q+2c}(X, \Lambda(r+c)). \end{array}$$

Here Gys_{i_y} is the map taking the cup-product with $\text{cl}_X(Z)|_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,y})}$, by the property (G1), and hence the right square commutes by the naturality of cup products and (0.4.1). The composite of the bottom row agrees with Gys_{i_x} by (G2). Thus we obtain the commutativity of the diagram (1.1.2), once we show the left triangle commutes. But this commuting follows from (G3) and [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle 2.1.3. Indeed, by noting that

$$R^q j_* \Lambda(r+1) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \geq 2, \text{ where } j : y \hookrightarrow Z,$$

the left triangle is induced by the following square in $D^b(x_{\acute{e}t}, \Lambda)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota_x^* R^1 j_* \Lambda(r+1)[-1] & \xleftarrow{\text{canonical}} & \tau_{\leq 1} \iota_x^* R j_* \Lambda(r+1) \\ \downarrow -\partial^{\text{val}}[-1] & & \downarrow \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\Lambda(r+1)_Z) \\ \Lambda(r)[-1] & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{\iota_x}} & R\iota_x^! \Lambda(r+1)[1], \end{array}$$

where $\partial^{\text{val}} : \iota_x^* R^1 j_* \Lambda(r+1) \rightarrow \Lambda(r)$ denotes a map of sheaves on $x_{\acute{e}t}$ induced by the valuation of A . We note $R\iota_x^! \Lambda(r+1)[1]$ is concentrated in degree 1. Therefore it suffices to show its commutativity after taking the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^1(-)$ in degree 1, so that we are reduced to showing the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota_x^* R^1 j_* \Lambda(r+1) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \iota_x^* R^1 j_* \Lambda(r+1) \\ \downarrow -\partial^{\text{val}} & & \downarrow \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\Lambda(r+1)_Z) \\ \Lambda(r) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{\iota_x}} & R^2 \iota_x^! \Lambda(r+1), \end{array}$$

where $\text{Gys}_{\iota_x} : \Lambda(r) \rightarrow R^2 \iota_x^! \Lambda(r+1)$ is given by $a \mapsto \text{cl}_Z(x) \cup a$. By looking at the stalks, we are now reduced to the case that A is strictly henselian and to showing the anti-commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \kappa(y)^\times / n & \xrightarrow[\sim]{h^1} & H^1(y, \Lambda(1)) \\ \text{ord}_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\Lambda(r+1)_Z) \\ A & \xrightarrow[\text{cl}_Z(x)]{\text{Gys}_{\iota_x}} & H_x^2(Z, \Lambda(1)), \end{array}$$

which is a consequence of [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle 2.1.3 (h^1 is the Kummer isomorphism). Note that we have $\partial^{\text{val}} = \text{ord}_A \circ (h^1)^{-1}$ by §0.6 (I.1), and that $\text{Gys}_{\iota_x} \circ \text{ord}_A$ sends a prime element π of A to $\text{cl}_Z(x)$ and hence agrees with the map induced the composition

$$\kappa(y)^\times \xrightarrow{\delta} H_x^1(Z, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_x^2(Z, \Lambda(1))$$

by loc. cit. 2.1.2.

1.3. General case. We prove Theorem 1.1.1 in the case that Z is not regular. Take the normalization $f : T \rightarrow Z$. Note that T is regular and semi-local and that ν is finite by the excellence of Z . The composite morphism $T \rightarrow Z \hookrightarrow X$ is finite (hence projective by [EGA2] 6.1.11), and factors as $T \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^d \rightarrow X$ for some integer $d \geq 1$. Let ι_y be the

composite map $y \hookrightarrow T \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^d$. Put $\Sigma := f^{-1}(x) \subset T$ with reduced subscheme structure, which consists of closed points on T . There is a commutative diagram of schemes

$$(1.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma & \hookrightarrow & T & \xrightarrow{i_T} & \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}_X^d \\ h \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & g \downarrow \\ x & \xrightarrow{i_x} & Z & \xrightarrow{i_Z} & X. \end{array}$$

Let i_x (resp. i_Σ) be the closed immersion $x \hookrightarrow X$ (resp. $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$). Now put $c' := c + d = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}}(\Sigma)$, and consider the diagram in Figure 1.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{q+1}(y, \Lambda(r+1)) & \xrightarrow{-\partial_{y,\Sigma}^{\text{val}}} & & & H^q(\Sigma, \Lambda(r)) \\ & \searrow \text{Gys}_{i_y} & (1) & & \swarrow \text{Gys}_{i_\Sigma} \\ & & H_y^{q+2c'-1}(\mathbb{P}, \Lambda(r+c')) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{y,\Sigma}^{\text{loc}}(\Lambda(r+c'))} & H_\Sigma^{q+2c'}(\mathbb{P}, \Lambda(r+c')) \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ & & H_y^{q+2c-1}(X, \Lambda(r+c)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\Lambda(r+c))} & H_x^{q+2c}(X, \Lambda(r+c)) \\ & \nearrow \text{Gys}_{i_y} & (2) & & \nwarrow \text{Gys}_{i_x} \\ H^{q+1}(y, \Lambda(r+1)) & \xrightarrow{-\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}} & & & H^q(x, \Lambda(r)) \\ & & & & \downarrow \text{tr}_h \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. A diagram for the proof in the general case

In the diagram, the arrows α and β are induced by the composite morphism

$$\gamma : Rf_* Ri_T^! \Lambda(r+c')_{\mathbb{P}}[2d] \xrightarrow{(*)} Ri_Z^! Rg_* \Lambda(r+c')_{\mathbb{P}}[2d] \xrightarrow{Ri_Z^!(\text{tr}_g)} Ri_Z^! \Lambda(r+c)_X$$

in $D^+(Z_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$, where $(*)$ is the cobase-change morphism (cf. [SGA4] XVIII.3.1.13.2) for the right square of (1.3.1). More precisely, α is obtained by restricting γ to y , and β is defined as the composite

$$\beta : Rh_* Ri_\Sigma^! \Lambda(r+c')_{\mathbb{P}}[2d] \xrightarrow{(**)} Rv_x^! Rf_* Ri_T^! \Lambda(r+c')_{\mathbb{P}}[2d] \xrightarrow{Rv_x^!(\gamma)} Ri_x^! \Lambda(r+c)_X,$$

where $(**)$ is the cobase-change morphism for the left square of (1.3.1). Therefore the square (3) is commutative by (0.4.1). On the other hand, the diagram (1) is commutative by the regular case §1.2, and moreover, the outer large square of Figure 1 commutes by the definition of $\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$ (cf. §0.6 (II)) and the fact that the trace map tr_h coincides with the corestriction map of Galois cohomology groups (cf. [SGA4] XVIII.2.9 (Var 4)). Therefore, once we show that the diagrams (2) and (4) commute, we will have obtained the commutativity of (5), i.e., Theorem 1.1.1. In what follows, we prove only the commutativity of (4); the diagram (2) is simpler and left to the reader. Put

$$Q := \mathbb{P}_x^d,$$

and take a section $s : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$. Let $t : x \hookrightarrow Q$ be the restriction of s to x , and let i_Q (resp. ι_Σ) be the closed immersion $Q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ (resp. $\Sigma \hookrightarrow Q$). Consider the following diagram:

$$(1.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^q(x, \Lambda(r)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_x}} & H_x^{q+2c}(X, \Lambda(r+c)) \\ & \nearrow \text{tr}_h & \downarrow \text{Gys}_t & \text{(6)} & \downarrow \text{Gys}_s \\ H^q(\Sigma, \Lambda(r)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{\iota_\Sigma}} & H^{q+2d}(Q, \Lambda(r+d)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_Q}} & H_Q^{q+2c'}(\mathbb{P}, \Lambda(r+c')) \\ & \searrow \text{tr}_h & \downarrow \text{tr}_{Q/x} & \text{(8)} & \downarrow \beta' \\ & & H^q(x, \Lambda(r)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_x}} & H_x^{q+2c}(X, \Lambda(r+c)). \end{array}$$

Here the square (6) commutes by (G2). The arrow β' is a trace map defined in a similar way as for β . The diagram (4) in question is related to the large tetragon (7)+(8) in (1.3.2) by a diagram

$$(1.3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^q(\Sigma, \Lambda(r)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_\Sigma}} & H_\Sigma^{q+2c'}(\mathbb{P}, \Lambda(r+c')) \\ \downarrow \text{Gys}_{i_x} \circ \text{tr}_h & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \iota_{\Sigma*} \\ H_x^{q+2c}(X, \Lambda(r+c)) & \xleftarrow{\beta'} & H_Q^{q+2c'}(\mathbb{P}, \Lambda(r+c')). \end{array} \quad \text{(7)+(8)}$$

Here the arrow φ denotes the composite of the middle row of (1.3.2), and the upper right triangle of (1.3.3) is obviously commutative. The composition $\beta' \circ \iota_{\Sigma*}$ is β , and the square agrees with the diagram (4) in Figure 1. To prove the commutativity of (4), it thus suffices to check that of the lower left triangle of (1.3.3), i.e., the tetragon (7)+(8) in (1.3.2). To prove this, it suffices to check the following claims concerning the diagram (1.3.2):

- Lemma 1.3.4.** (a) *The triangle (7) is commutative.*
 (b) *The composite of the right vertical column is the identity map.*
 (c) *The composite of the middle vertical column is the identity map.*
 (d) *We have $\text{Gys}_{\iota_\Sigma} = \text{Gys}_t \circ \text{tr}_h$, i.e., the upper triangle of (1.3.2) commutes.*

The claims (a)–(c) follow from standard arguments using [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle 2.3.8 (i), (ii). The details are straight-forward and left to the reader. We prove (d) in §1.4 below.

Remark 1.3.5. *By the absolute purity and the claims (b) and (c), one can easily show the commutativity of the square (8). However the claim (d) enables us to show the commutativity of the large tetragon (7)+(8) without the absolute purity.*

1.4. Proof of Lemma 1.3.4 (d). To prove Lemma 1.3.4 (d), it is enough to show the following lemma:

Lemma 1.4.1. *Let F be a field, let d be a positive integer and put $Q := \mathbb{P}_F^d$. Let n be a positive integer prime to $\text{ch}(F)$ and put $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}/n$. Let $i_x : x \hookrightarrow Q$ and $i_y : y \hookrightarrow Q$ be closed points on Q with $\kappa(x) = F$, and let $f : y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F)$ be the natural map. Then*

for integers $q, r \geq 0$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(y, \Lambda(r)) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_f} & H^q(x, \Lambda(r)) \\ & \searrow^{\text{Gys}_{i_y}} & \downarrow^{\text{Gys}_{i_x}} \\ & & H^{q+2d}(Q, \Lambda(r+d)). \end{array}$$

Proof. Because the case $x = y$ is obvious, we suppose that x and y are distinct points. Put $L := \kappa(y)$.

(i) First assume that L/F is separable. In this case, y is a closed point on some linear subspace of Q of dimension 1. Because any two F -rational points on Q are linearly equivalent, we may assume that $Q = \mathbb{P}_F^1$. Then there is a direct decomposition

$$H^{q+2}(Q, \Lambda(r+1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(F, \Lambda(r)) \oplus H^{q+2}(x, \Lambda(r+1))$$

sending $a \in H^{q+2}(Q, \Lambda(r+1))$ to $(\text{tr}_{Q/F}(a), i_x^*(a))$ (cf. Lemma 1.3.4 (c)). In view of Lemma 1.3.4 (a), our task is to show that the following composite map is zero:

$$(1.4.2) \quad H^q(y, \Lambda(r)) \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_y}} H^{q+2}(Q, \Lambda(r+1)) \xrightarrow{i_x^*} H^{q+2}(x, \Lambda(r+1)).$$

We prove this claim. Indeed, Gys_{i_y} factors through $H_y^{q+2}(Q, \Lambda(r+1))$ and i_x^* factors through $H^{q+2}(Q \setminus y, \Lambda(r+1))$. Hence (1.4.2) is the zero map and we obtain the assertion.

(ii) In the case that L/F is inseparable, let F' be the perfection of F , let K be the separable closure of F in L , and put $K' := K \otimes_F F'$, $Q' := Q \otimes_F F'$; K' is a perfect field and $L' := L \otimes_F F'$ is a finite local K' -algebra with residue field K' . Let u (resp. v) be the projection $Q' \rightarrow Q$ (resp. $\text{Spec}(F') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F)$). By a standard norm and limit argument, it is enough to show the commutativity of a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(z, \Lambda(r)) & \xrightarrow{v^*(\text{tr}_f)} & H^q(x', \Lambda(r)) \\ & \searrow^{u^*(\text{cl}_Q(y)) \cup ?} & \downarrow^{u^*(\text{cl}_Q(x)) \cup ?} \\ & & H^{q+2d}(Q', \Lambda(r+d)). \end{array}$$

Here z is the closed point of $y' := y \otimes_F F' = \text{Spec}(L')$, we put $x' := x \otimes_F F'$, and we identified $H^q(z, \Lambda(r))$ with $H^q(y', \Lambda(r))$ by the restriction for $z \hookrightarrow y'$. We compute these maps. The map $v^*(\text{tr}_f)$ agrees with $[L : K] \cdot \text{tr}_{z/x'}$ (cf. [SGA4] XVIII.2.9). On the other hand, we have

$$u^*(\text{cl}_Q(y)) = \text{cl}_{Q'}(z; L') = [L : K] \cdot \text{cl}_{Q'}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad u^*(\text{cl}_Q(x)) = \text{cl}_{Q'}(x')$$

by [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle 2.3.8 (i), (ii), where $\text{cl}_{Q'}(z; L')$ denotes the cycle class with coefficients (see loc. cit.). Hence the above diagram commutes by the separable case. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.1.

1.5. Bloch-Ogus complexes and Kato complexes. We use Theorem 1.1.1 to identify the Kato complexes with those defined via the method of Bloch and Ogus. Keep the assumptions as in the beginning: X is a noetherian excellent *regular* scheme, n is a positive integer invertible on X , and $\mathbb{Z}/n(q)$ ($q \in \mathbb{Z}$) is the q -fold Tate twist of the étale sheaf \mathbb{Z}/n on X or X -schemes. Assume that X is of pure dimension d , and that $Z \subset X$ is a closed subscheme. By the method of Bloch and Ogus [BO], there is a niveau spectral sequence

$$(1.5.1) \quad E_{s,t}^1(Z/X, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) = \bigoplus_{x \in Z_s} H_x^{-s-t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b)) \implies H_Z^{-s-t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b))$$

obtained by filtering with respect to the dimension of support. More precisely, it is the niveau spectral sequence on Z (cf. [BO] (3.7) and [JS] 2.7), for the homology theory which is defined on all subschemes V on X by

$$H_a(V/X, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) := H_V^{-a}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b)),$$

if V is a closed subscheme of an open subscheme $U \subset X$. By definition,

$$H_x^a(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b)) = \varinjlim_{x \in U \subset X} H_{\overline{\{x\}} \cap U}^a(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b)),$$

where the limit is taken over all open subsets $U \subset X$ containing x . Since X is excellent, $\overline{\{x\}}$ is excellent as well, and hence there is an open U_0 such that $\overline{\{x\}} \cap U$ is regular for $U \subset U_0$. For such U , by absolute purity [FG], one has a Gysin isomorphism for the closed immersion $i_U : \overline{\{x\}} \cap U \hookrightarrow U$

$$\text{Gys}_{i_U} : H^{s-t-2d}(\overline{\{x\}} \cap U, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-d-b)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\overline{\{x\}} \cap U}^{-s-t}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b))$$

for $x \in X_s$, i.e., of codimension $d-s$ in X . Hence we get an isomorphism

$$(1.5.2) \quad E_{s,t}^1(Z/X, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H^{s-t-2d}(k(x), \mathbb{Z}/n(s-d-b)).$$

For a complex of abelian groups C^* denote by $(C^*)^{(-)}$ the complex with the same components, but with the differentials multiplied by -1 .

Theorem 1.5.3. *The Bloch-Ogus complex $E_{*,t}^1(Z/X, \mathbb{Z}/n(b))$ coincides with the sign-modified Kato complex $C_n^{-t-2d, -d-b}(Z)^{(-)}$ via the Gysin isomorphisms (1.5.2).*

Proof. By definition of the spectral sequence (1.5.1), its d^1 -differentials have the components

$$\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}} : H_y^{-s-t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b)) \longrightarrow H_x^{-s+1-t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(-b))$$

for $y \in X_s$ and $x \in X_{s-1}$ with $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ (cf. [JS] Remarks 2.8). Therefore the claim directly follows from Theorem 1.1.1. \square

Remark 1.5.4. *For $Z = X$ it is often customary in literature to renumber the spectral sequence (1.5.1) into a cohomological coniveau spectral sequence (with $c = -b$)*

$$E_1^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(c)) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} H^{q-p}(k(x), \mathbb{Z}/n(c-p)) \implies H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(c)).$$

This does not change the differentials, and so the E_1 -terms compare in a similar way to the Kato complexes. More precisely one obtains that $E_1^{-*,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(c))$ coincides with $C_n^{q-d, c-d}(X)^{(-)}$.

By this method, we only get Bloch-Ogus complexes for schemes Z which can be globally embedded in a regular scheme X . But the following slight variant covers all the cases considered in [JS] (of course, still under the assumption that n is invertible on these schemes).

Let S be a noetherian excellent regular base scheme of pure dimension d , let n be invertible on S , and let b be an integer. Similarly as in §0.3 we define a homology theory (in the sense of [JS] 2.1) for all separated S -schemes of finite type $f : X \rightarrow S$ by defining

$$H_a(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) := H^{-a}(X, Rf^1\mathbb{Z}/n(-b)).$$

Then one gets a niveau spectral sequence

$$(1.5.5) \quad E_{s,t}^1(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H_{s+t}(x/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) \implies H_{s+t}(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)),$$

where $H_a(x/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b))$ is defined as the inductive limit of $H_a(V/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b))$ over all non-empty open subschemes $V \subset \overline{\{x\}}$. Since $\overline{\{x\}}$, being of finite type over S , is again excellent, there is a non-empty open subset V_0 which is regular. Then, for all non-empty open $V \subset V_0$ one has a canonical purity isomorphism

$$(1.5.6) \quad H_a(V/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) \cong H^{2(s-d)-a}(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-d-b)),$$

where $s = \dim(V)$ is the dimension of x , by the construction in [FG] p. 157. This induces an isomorphism

$$(1.5.7) \quad E_{s,t}^1(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H^{s-t-2d}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-b-d))$$

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1.5.3 (which is the case $X = S$).

Theorem 1.5.8. *The Bloch-Ogus complex $E_{*,t}^1(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b))$ coincides with the sign-modified Kato complex $C_n^{-t-2d, -b-d}(X)^{(-)}$ via the isomorphisms (1.5.7).*

Proof. The question is local on X and S . Thus we can assume that there is a factorization $f = p \circ i$, where $p : P \rightarrow S$ is a smooth morphism of relative dimension N (e.g., $P = \mathbb{A}_S^N$) and $i : X \hookrightarrow P$ is a closed immersion. Then there is a canonical isomorphism from Poincaré duality ([SGA4] XVIII 3.2.5)

$$Rp^1\mathbb{Z}/n(-b) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n(N-b)[2N],$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H_a(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) &= H^{-a}(X, Rf^1\mathbb{Z}/n(-b)) \\ &\cong H^{-a}(X, Ri^1\mathbb{Z}/n(N-b)[2N]) = H_X^{2N-a}(P, \mathbb{Z}/n(N-b)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for a locally closed subset $V \subset P$, say a closed immersion $i_V : V \hookrightarrow U$ with $j_U : U \hookrightarrow P$ open, there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5.9) \quad H_a(V/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(b)) &= H^{-a}(V, R(f \circ j_U \circ i_V)^! \mathbb{Z}/n(-b)) \\
 &\cong H^{-a}(V, R(i_V)^! \mathbb{Z}/n(N-b)[2N]) \\
 &= H_V^{2N-a}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(N-b)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, this is compatible with localization sequences. If V is regular and of dimension s (hence of codimension $d + N - s$ in P), then by definition, the isomorphism (1.5.2) is the composition of this map with the inverse of the Gysin isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gys}_{i_V} : H^{2s-2d-a}(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(s-d-b)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_V^{2N-a}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(N-b)).$$

This shows the following: Via the maps (1.5.9), we get an isomorphism between the homology theory $H_*(-/S, \mathbb{Z}/n(*'))$, restricted to subschemes of X , and the homology theory $H_{*-2N}(-/P, \mathbb{Z}/n(*'+N))$ from (1.5.1), restricted to subschemes of X , and therefore an isomorphism of the corresponding spectral sequence. Moreover, via this isomorphisms, the isomorphisms (1.5.2) and (1.5.7) correspond. Therefore the claim follows from Theorem 1.5.3. \square

2. THE CASE OF p -TORSION OVER A PERFECT FIELD OF CHARACTERISTIC p

Throughout this section, k always denotes a perfect field of positive characteristic p and n denotes a positive integer. We will often write s for $\text{Spec}(k)$.

2.1. Gros' Gysin map. Let us recall that Gros has defined Gysin morphisms

$$\text{Gys}_f : Rf_* W_n \Omega_{Y, \log}^r \longrightarrow W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^{r+c} [c]$$

for any proper morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of smooth equidimensional varieties over k , where $c = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$ ([Gr] II.1). These induce maps

$$\text{Gys}_f : H^q(Y, W_n \Omega_{Y, \log}^r) \longrightarrow H^{q+c}(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^{r+c}).$$

If $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion of smooth k -schemes, it also induces Gysin maps

$$\text{Gys}_i : H^q(Y, W_n \Omega_{Y, \log}^r) \longrightarrow H_Y^{q+c}(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^{r+c}),$$

where c is now the codimension of Y in X . The following result is a p -analogue of Theorem 1.1.1, cf. Remark 2.5.5.

Theorem 2.1.1. *Let X be a smooth variety over k . Let n and c be positive integers. Let $i_x : x \hookrightarrow X$ and $i_y : y \hookrightarrow X$ be points on X of codimension c and $c - 1$, respectively, with $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(y, W_n \Omega_{y, \log}^{r-c+1}) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^r \partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}} & H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^{r-c}) \\ \text{Gys}_{i_y} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Gys}_{i_x} \\ H_y^{c-1}(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r)} & H_x^c(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r). \end{array}$$

In [Sh] 5.4, Shiho proved this compatibility property assuming $r = 1$, but in a more general situation. The proof of Theorem 2.1.1 given below relies on the following properties of the Gysin maps:

- (P1) Local description of Gysin maps. See [Gr] II.3.3.9, but we will only need the case of a regular prime divisors, where one can give a simpler proof.
- (P2) Transitivity of Gysin maps [Gr] II.2.1.1.
- (P3) For a finite map $h : z \rightarrow x$ of spectra of fields which are finitely generated over k , the Gysin map $\text{Gys}_h : H^0(z, W_n \Omega_{z, \log}^r) \rightarrow H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r)$ agrees with the corestriction map (0.6.2), cf. Lemma A.1.1 in the appendix.

To prove the theorem, replacing X with $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$, we suppose that X is local with closed point x . The proof proceeds in three steps, which will be finished in §2.4 below.

2.2. Divisor case. We first prove Theorem 2.1.1 assuming $c = 1$. In this case, $A := \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a discrete valuation ring. Let π be a prime element of A , and put $K := \text{Frac}(A) = \kappa(y)$ and $F := \kappa(x)$. By the Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem [BK] 2.1, the group $H^0(y, W_n \Omega_{y, \log}^r)$ is generated by elements of the forms

$$(i) \quad d \log(\underline{f}_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot d \log(\underline{f}_r) \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad d \log(\underline{\pi}) \cdot d \log(\underline{f}_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot d \log(\underline{f}_{r-1}),$$

where each f_j belongs to A^\times , and for $a \in A$, $\underline{a} \in W_n(A)$ denotes its Teichmüller representative. The diagram in question commutes for elements of the form (i) obviously. We consider the element

$$\alpha := d\log(\underline{\pi}) \cdot d\log(\underline{f_1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot d\log(\underline{f_{r-1}})$$

with each $f_j \in A^\times$, in what follows. By [CTSS] p. 779 Lemma 2, we have

$$W_n \Omega_X^r / W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^r \cong W_n \Omega_X^r / dV^{n-1} \Omega_X^{r-1},$$

which is a finitely successive extension of (locally) free \mathcal{O}_X -modules by [Ill] I.3.9. Hence the natural map

$$\varrho : H_x^1(X, W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^r) \longrightarrow H_x^1(X, W_n \Omega_X^r)$$

is injective, and our task is to show the equality

$$(2.2.1) \quad (-1)^r (\varrho \circ \text{Gys}_{i_x} \circ \partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}})(\alpha) = (\varrho \circ \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^r))(\alpha)$$

in $H_x^1(X, W_n \Omega_X^r)$. We regard the complex

$$W_n \Omega_A^r \xrightarrow{i_y^*} W_n \Omega_K^r$$

as a representative of $R\Gamma_x(X, W_n \Omega_X^r)$, where $W_n \Omega_A^r$ is placed in degree 0, cf. [Gr] II.3.3.3. This identification induces an isomorphism

$$\varphi : W_n \Omega_K^r / W_n \Omega_A^r \xrightarrow{\sim} H_x^1(X, W_n \Omega_X^r).$$

Now consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_n \Omega_F^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{\omega \mapsto \tilde{\omega} \cdot d\log(\underline{\pi})} & W_n \Omega_K^r / W_n \Omega_A^r & \xleftarrow{(-1) \times \text{natural projection}} & W_n \Omega_K^r \\ \parallel & & \varphi \downarrow \wr & & \parallel \\ H^0(x, W_n \Omega_x^{r-1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}'_{i_x}} & H_x^1(X, W_n \Omega_X^r) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_X^r)} & H^0(y, W_n \Omega_y^r) \\ \uparrow & & \varrho \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^{r-1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_x}} & H_x^1(X, W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^r) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^r)} & H^0(y, W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^r), \end{array}$$

where for $\omega \in W_n \Omega_F^{r-1}$, $\tilde{\omega} \in W_n \Omega_A^{r-1}$ denotes a lift of ω . The square (1) commutes by the property (P1) mentioned before. The square (2) commutes by a simple (but careful) computation of boundary maps, cf. [Sh] p. 612. By these commutative squares we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (2.2.1)} &= (-1)^{r-1} (\varrho \circ \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^r)) (d\log(\underline{f_1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot d\log(\underline{f_{r-1}}) \cdot d\log(\underline{\pi})) \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} (-1)^{r-1} (\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_X^r)) (d\log(\underline{f_1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot d\log(\underline{f_{r-1}}) \cdot d\log(\underline{\pi})) \\ &\stackrel{(2)+(1)}{=} (-1)^r \text{Gys}'_{i_x} (d\log(g_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot d\log(g_{r-1})) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \text{LHS of (2.2.1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_j \in W_n(F)^\times$ denotes the residue class of $\underline{f_j}$ for each j . We thus obtain Theorem 2.1.1 in the case $c = 1$.

2.3. Regular case. We next treat the case that c is arbitrary but the closure $Z := \overline{\{y\}} \subset X$ is regular. Let $\iota_x : x \hookrightarrow Z$ and $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the natural closed immersions. Let us consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & H^0(y, W_n \Omega_{y, \log}^{r-c+1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_y}} & H_y^{c-1}(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r) & \\
 & \swarrow (-1)^r \partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}} & & \downarrow \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r) & \\
 & & & & \\
 H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^{r-c}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{\iota_x}} & H_x^1(Z, W_n \Omega_{Z, \log}^{r-c+1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_i} & H_x^c(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r), \\
 & & \downarrow (-1)^{c-1} \delta & & \\
 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where we put $\delta := \delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{Z, \log}^{r-c+1})$ for simplicity. The right upper arrow and the right lower arrow are induced by the Gysin morphism for i , so the right square commutes by (0.4.1). The left triangle commutes by the previous case. The composite of the bottom row coincides with Gys_{i_x} by (P2). Hence the assertion follows in this case.

2.4. General case. We finally consider the general case. The arguments here proceed similarly as for §1.3. Let $Z = \overline{\{y\}} \subset X$ be as in the previous step. We assume that Z is not regular. Take the normalization $f : T \rightarrow Z$. Note that T is regular and semi-local and that ν is finite by the excellence of Z . Since a finite morphism is projective ([EGA2] 6.1.11), the map $T \rightarrow X$ factors as $T \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^e \rightarrow X$ for some integer $e \geq 1$. Let ι_y be the composite map $y \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^e$. Put $\Sigma := f^{-1}(x) \subset T$ with the reduced subscheme structure, i.e., the scheme consisting of the closed points on T . There is a commutative diagram of schemes

$$(2.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Sigma & \hookrightarrow & T & \xrightarrow{i_T} & \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}_X^e \\
 h \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & g \downarrow \\
 x & \xrightarrow{\iota_x} & Z & \xrightarrow{i_Z} & X.
 \end{array}$$

Let i_x (resp. i_Σ) be the closed immersion $x \hookrightarrow X$ (resp. $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$). Now put $c' := c + e = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}}(\Sigma)$, and consider the diagram in Figure 2. The square (3) commutes by (0.4.1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^0(y, W_n \Omega_{y, \log}^{r-c+1}) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^r \partial_{y, \Sigma}^{\text{val}}} & & & H^0(\Sigma, W_n \Omega_{\Sigma, \log}^{r-c}) \\
 \downarrow \text{Gys}_{\iota_y} & & (1) & & \downarrow \text{Gys}_{i_\Sigma} \\
 H_y^{c'-1}(\mathbb{P}, W_n \Omega_{\mathbb{P}, \log}^{r+e}) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^e \delta_{y, \Sigma}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{\mathbb{P}, \log}^{r+e})} & & & H_\Sigma^{c'}(\mathbb{P}, W_n \Omega_{\mathbb{P}, \log}^{r+e}) \\
 \downarrow \text{Gys}_g & & (2) & & \downarrow \text{Gys}_g \\
 H_y^{c-1}(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r)} & & & H_x^c(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r) \\
 \downarrow \text{Gys}_{i_y} & & (3) & & \downarrow \text{Gys}_{i_x} \\
 H^0(y, W_n \Omega_{y, \log}^{r-c+1}) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^r \partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}} & & & H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^{r-c}) \\
 & & (4) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{Gys}_h \\
 & & & & H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^{r-c})
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. A diagram for the proof of the general case

Moreover, the diagrams (2) and (4) commute by the transitivity property (P2). On the

other hand, the diagram (1) is commutative by the result in the previous case. Finally, the outer large square of Figure 2 commutes by Lemma A.1.1 in the appendix and the definition of $\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$, cf. §0.6 (II). Thus the diagram (5) commutes, i.e., Theorem 2.1.1. \square

Corollary 2.4.1. *Let X be a smooth variety of pure dimension d over k , and let c be a positive integer. Let $i_x : x \rightarrow X$ and $i_y : y \rightarrow X$ be points on X of codimension c and $c - 1$, respectively, with $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(y, W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^{d-c+1}) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^d \partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}} & H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^{d-c}) \\ \text{Gys}_{i_y} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Gys}_{i_x} \\ H_y^c(X, W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d)} & H_x^{c+1}(X, W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d). \end{array}$$

Proof. First of all we note that $[\kappa(x) : \kappa(x)^p] = p^{d-c}$, because $\kappa(x)$ has transcendence degree $d - c$ over the perfect field k . Therefore the upper map is well-defined. For the prove of the corollary we just have to consider the case $c = 1$. In fact, the reduction to this case works as in §2.3 and §2.4 for Theorem 2.1.1; we only have to consider the case $n = c$, and to raise the degrees of all cohomology groups by 1. Furthermore we have to replace Lemma A.1.1 by Lemma A.2.8.

In the case $c = 1$ we again may replace X by the spectrum of the discrete valuation ring $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. By the definition of Kato's residue maps (cf. §0.6 (I)), and since

$$H_x^2(X, W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_x^2(X^{\text{h}}, W_n \Omega_{X^{\text{h}},\log}^d)$$

for the henselization X^{h} of X at x , we may furthermore replace X by X^{h} . Then $y = \text{Spec}(K)$ for a henselian discrete valuation field K with residue field $\kappa(x)$. Let $y' = \text{Spec}(K^{\text{sh}})$, where K^{sh} is the strict henselization of K . Put $\bar{x} := \text{Spec}(\overline{\kappa(x)})$ for the separable closure $\overline{\kappa(x)}$ of $\kappa(x)$. Then we get a diagram in Figure 3.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(y, W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^d) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^d \partial^{\text{val}}} & & & H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^{d-1}) \\ & \searrow \simeq a & (1) & & \swarrow \simeq b \\ & H^1(x, H^0(y', W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^d)) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^d \partial^{\text{val}}} & H^1(x, H^0(\bar{x}, W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^{d-1})) & \\ (2) & \parallel & (3) & H^1(x, \text{Gys}) & (4) \\ & & & \downarrow & \downarrow \text{Gys} \\ & H^1(x, H^0(y', W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^d)) & \xrightarrow{H^1(x, \delta^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d))} & H^1(x, H_{\bar{x}}^1(X^{\text{sh}}, W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d)) & \\ & \nearrow \simeq a & (5) & & \swarrow \simeq c \\ H^1(y, W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^d) & \xrightarrow{\delta^{\text{loc}}(W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d)} & & & H_x^2(X, W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. A diagram for the proof of Corollary 2.4.1

Here the isomorphisms a , b and c come from Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences for the pro-étale covering $X^{\text{sh}} \rightarrow X (= X^{\text{h}})$ given by the strict henselization of X . See §0.6 (I.3)

for a and b , and note the isomorphism

$$H_{\bar{x}}^{i+1}(X^{\text{sh}}, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^d) \cong H^i(\bar{x}, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^{d-1}) (= 0 \text{ for } i \neq 0)$$

for c , cf. [Mo] Corollary to 2.4. Then the diagram (1) commutes by the definition of Kato's residue maps. The diagram (2) commutes trivially, and the diagrams (4) and (5) commute, because the vertical maps and the two lower horizontal maps are induced by morphisms of sheaves (Gys and δ^{loc}), and hence are compatible with the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. Finally, it follows from Theorem 2.1.1 that the square (3) commutes. This implies the commutativity of the outer square and hence the corollary. \square

2.5. The complex $\mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet$. Building on work of Moser [Mo], and motivated by Theorem 2.1.1, we introduce a complex of étale sheaves and prove a duality result for it (cf. §2.6 below).

Definition 2.5.1. *Let X be a scheme of finite type over s . For a point x on X , let i_x be the canonical map $x \hookrightarrow X$. We define the complex $\mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet$ of étale sheaves on X as*

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet := \left(\left\{ \bigoplus_{x \in X_{-q}} i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^{-q} \right\}_q, \{ -\partial^q \}_q \right),$$

where ∂^q has the components $\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$ with $y \in X_{-q}$ and $x \in X_{-q-1}$ (cf. §0.6). We often write $\mathcal{M}_{n,X}$ for the image of $\mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet$ in $D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$. See Remark 2.5.5 below for the reason of the sign of the differentials.

The complex $\mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet$ coincides with the complex $\tilde{v}_{r,X}$ defined in [Mo] up to signs of boundary operators and a shift. If X is smooth over s of pure dimension d , then, by a theorem of Gros and Suwa [GrSu], the embedding $W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^d \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_d} i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^d$ induces a canonical quasi-isomorphism

$$(2.5.2) \quad W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^d[d] \xrightarrow{\text{qis.}} \mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet.$$

Note also the following simple facts: For a closed immersion $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ of schemes of finite type over s , there is a natural map of complexes

$$(2.5.3) \quad i_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Z}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet.$$

If X and Z are smooth of pure dimension d and d' , respectively, then this map induces a morphism

$$(2.5.4) \quad \text{Gys}_i^\circ : i_* W_n \Omega_{Z, \log}^{d'}[d'] \longrightarrow W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^d[d] \quad \text{in } D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$$

via (2.5.2) for X and Z , which we call the *modified Gysin morphism* for i .

Remark 2.5.5. *The reason we put the sign -1 on the differentials of $\mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet$ is as follows. Because of these signs, the modified Gysin map (2.5.4) agrees with Gros' Gysin map Gys_i only up to the sign $(-1)^{d-d'}$, cf. [Sa] 2.3.1. However by this fact, if we define $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(r) := W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^r[-r]$ for (essentially) smooth schemes X over k and note property*

(0.4.1), Theorem 2.1.1 for $r = d$ and Corollary 2.4.1 become a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{m-c+1}(y, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-c+1)) & \xrightarrow{-\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}} & H^{m-c}(x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-c)) \\ \text{Gys}_{i_y}^\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Gys}_{i_x}^\circ \\ H_y^{m+c-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d))} & H_x^{m+c}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)). \end{array}$$

Note that the groups in the top row are only non-zero for $m = d, d+1$. This shows the perfect analogy with Theorem 1.1.1.

The following lemma shows that the complex $\mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet$ is suitable for cohomological operations:

Lemma 2.5.6. *Let x be a point on X of dimension $q \geq 0$. Then:*

- (1) *The sheaf $W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^q$ on $x_{\text{ét}}$ is i_{x*} -acyclic.*
- (2) *For a closed immersion $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$, the sheaf $i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^q$ on $X_{\text{ét}}$ is $i^!$ -acyclic.*
- (3) *For an s -morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the sheaf $i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^q$ on $X_{\text{ét}}$ is f_* -acyclic.*

Proof. For (1) and (2), see [Mo] 2.3 and 2.4. We prove (3). For a point $y \in Y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R^m f_*(i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^q))_{\bar{y}} &\cong H^m(X \times_Y \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,\bar{y}}^{\text{sh}}), i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^q) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\cong} H^m(x \times_Y \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,\bar{y}}^{\text{sh}}), W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^q) \end{aligned}$$

and the last group is zero for $m > 0$ by the same argument as in loc. cit. 2.5. \square

Corollary 2.5.7 (cf. [Mo] Corollary to Theorem 2.4). *For a closed immersion $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$, the map (2.5.3) induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Gys}_i^\circ : \mathcal{M}_{n,Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} Ri^! \mathcal{M}_{n,X} \quad \text{in } D^+(Z_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n).$$

2.6. Relative duality theory. Let \mathcal{V}_s be the category of schemes separated of finite type over s and separated s -morphisms of finite type.

Theorem 2.6.1. *Suppose that there exists an assignment of morphisms*

$$\text{Tr} : (f : Y \rightarrow X \text{ in } \mathcal{V}_s) \longmapsto (\text{Tr}_f : Rf_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,X} \text{ in } D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n))$$

which satisfy the following three conditions (i)–(iii):

- (i) *If f is étale, then Tr_f agrees with the composite morphism*

$$Rf_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} = Rf_! f^* \mathcal{M}_{n,X} \xrightarrow{f_!} \mathcal{M}_{n,X},$$

where the arrow $f_!$ denotes the adjunction morphism $Rf_! f^* = Rf_! Rf^! \rightarrow \text{id}$ (cf. [SGA4] XVIII.3).

- (ii) *If f is a closed immersion, then Tr_f agrees with the composite morphism*

$$Rf_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \xrightarrow{Rf_*(\text{Gys}_f^\circ)} Rf_* Rf^! \mathcal{M}_{n,X} \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathcal{M}_{n,X},$$

where the arrow f_* denotes the adjunction morphism $Rf_* Rf^! = Rf_! Rf^! \rightarrow \text{id}$.

(iii) For morphisms $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ with $h := f \circ g$, Tr_h agrees with the composition

$$R h_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Z} = R f_! R g_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Z} \xrightarrow{R f_!(\mathrm{Tr}_g)} R f_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_f} \mathcal{M}_{n,X}.$$

Then for a map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{V}_s , the adjoint morphism Tr^f of Tr_f is an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Tr}^f : \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} R f^! \mathcal{M}_{n,X} \quad \text{in } D^+(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n).$$

This theorem is a variant of Moser's duality [Mo] 5.6 (which itself generalizes Milne's duality for smooth projective varieties [Mi3]). However, because Theorem 2.6.1 looks quite different from Moser's formulation, we outline a proof of our statement below in §2.7. The main result of this section is the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6.2. *There exists a unique assignment of morphisms*

$$\mathrm{tr} : (f : Y \rightarrow X \text{ in } \mathcal{V}_s) \longmapsto (\mathrm{tr}_f : R f_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,X} \text{ in } D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n))$$

that satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 2.6.1 with $\mathrm{Tr} := \mathrm{tr}$. Consequently, for a map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{V}_s , the morphism $\mathrm{tr}^f : \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \rightarrow R f^! \mathcal{M}_{n,X}$ adjoint to tr_f is an isomorphism.

We will prove Theorem 2.6.2 in §§2.8–2.9 below.

2.7. Proof of Theorem 2.6.1. By the transitivity property (iii) of Tr , the assertion is reduced to the case of a structure morphism $f : X \rightarrow s$, and moreover, by the property (i) of Tr , we may suppose that $s = \bar{s}$ (i.e., k is algebraically closed) and that f is proper. In this situation, we claim the following:

Theorem 2.7.1. *Let X be a proper scheme of finite type over the algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$, with structural morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Then, for any constructible \mathbb{Z}/p^n -sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and any integer m , the pairing*

$$\alpha_X(m, \mathcal{F}) : H^m(X, \mathcal{F}) \times \mathrm{Ext}_{X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n}^{-m}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M}_{n,X}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{M}_{n,X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_f} \mathbb{Z}/p^n$$

induced by Yoneda pairing is a non-degenerate pairing of finite groups.

We first prove Theorem 2.6.1, admitting Theorem 2.7.1: Applying 2.7.1 to $\mathcal{F} = j_! \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ with $j : U \rightarrow X$ étale, and noting the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n}^{-m}(j_! \mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathcal{M}_{n,X}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{U, \mathbb{Z}/p^n}^{-m}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathcal{M}_{n,U}) \cong H^{-m}(U, \mathcal{M}_{n,U}),$$

we obtain isomorphisms

$$H^{-m}(U, \mathcal{M}_{n,U}) \stackrel{a}{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\text{-mod}}(H^m(X, j_! \mathbb{Z}/p^n), \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \stackrel{b}{\cong} H^{-m}(U, R g^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $g = f \circ j$, the first isomorphism comes from the pairing, and the second isomorphism comes from the adjunction between $R g^!$ and $R g_!$ and the fact that \mathbb{Z}/p^n is an injective object in the category of \mathbb{Z}/p^n -modules. We verify that this composite map agrees with that coming from Tr^f — then the morphism Tr^f is bijective on cohomology sheaves, and we obtain Theorem 2.6.1. Indeed, by the definition of the

pairing, the map a sends $x \in H^{-m}(U, \mathcal{M}_{n,U}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}(j_! \mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathcal{M}_{n,X}[-m])$ to the composition

$$H^m(X, j_! \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \xrightarrow{x} H^0(X, \mathcal{M}_{n,X}) = H^0(s, Rf_* \mathcal{M}_{n,X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_f} \mathbb{Z}/p^n,$$

which, by 2.6.1 (i) and (iii), coincides with the map induced by

$$Rg_! \mathbb{Z}/p^n[m] \xrightarrow{x} Rg_! \mathcal{M}_{n,U} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_g} \mathbb{Z}/p^n.$$

By definition (and functoriality of adjunction), the map b sends this to the composition

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n[m] \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{M}_{n,U} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_g} Rg^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n,$$

which shows the claim, again by 2.6.1 (i) and (iii). \square

As for Theorem 2.7.1, it follows from the arguments in [Mo] 5.6. More precisely, it follows from the properties (i)–(iii) of Tr , the steps (a)–(c), (f)–(k) of loc. cit. 5.6, and the following lemma:

Lemma 2.7.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow s(= \bar{s})$ be a proper smooth morphism with X connected. Then for an integer m and a positive integer $t \leq r$, the pairing $\alpha_X(m, \mathbb{Z}/p^t)$ (cf. Theorem 2.7.1) is a non-degenerate pairing of finite groups.*

Proof of Lemma 2.7.2. The problem is reduced to the case $t = r$ by (2.5.2) and [Mo] 5.4. Now we note that Milne duality [Mi3] 1.11 gives an isomorphism of finite groups in our case, because, with the notation of [Mi3] p. 305, there are no unipotent parts in the cohomology of the complex of perfect group schemes $\underline{H}^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$. Therefore it is enough to show that the composite map

$$\mathrm{Tr}'_f : H^d(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^d) \stackrel{(2.5.2)}{\cong} H^0(X, \mathcal{M}_{n,X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_f} \mathbb{Z}/p^n$$

with $d := \dim(X)$ coincides with the trace map η_n in [Mi3] p. 308, up to a sign. But, for a closed point $i_x : x \hookrightarrow X$, Tr'_f sends the cycle class $\mathrm{Gys}_{i_x}^\circ(1) \in H^d(X, W_n \Omega_{X, \log}^d)$ (= the image of 1 under $\mathrm{Gys}_{i_x}^\circ$) to 1 by the properties (ii), (iii) of Tr , and hence $\mathrm{Tr}'_f = (-1)^d \cdot \eta_n$ by Remark 2.5.5 (1). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7.2, Theorem 2.7.1 and Theorem 2.6.1. \square

Remark 2.7.3. *Note that step (j) of [Mo] 5.6 uses de Jong's alteration theorem [dJ] 4.1.*

Corollary 2.7.4. *Suppose that there exist two assignments $\sigma : f \mapsto \sigma_f$ and $\tau : f \mapsto \tau_f$ satisfying (i)–(iii) in Theorem 2.6.1 with $\mathrm{Tr} := \sigma$ and τ , respectively. Then we have $\sigma = \tau$.*

Proof. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism in \mathcal{V}_s . We show that $\sigma_f = \tau_f$ as morphisms $Rf_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,X}$ in $D^+(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$, in two steps. We first prove the case $X = s$ (hence $\mathcal{M}_{n,X} = \mathbb{Z}/p^n$). By the properties (i) and (iii), we may suppose that f is proper. Then $Rf_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} = Rf_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Y}$ is computed by the complex $f_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Y}^\bullet$ by Lemma 2.5.6 (3), and the morphisms σ_f and τ_f are determined by maps $f_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Y}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ of sheaves on $s_{\acute{e}t}$ by §0.5.4 (1). Hence in view of the properties (ii) and (iii) and the assumption that s is perfect, the problem is reduced to the case where f is étale, and we obtain $\sigma_f = \tau_f$ by the property (i). This completes the first step. Next we prove the general case. Let σ^f

and τ^f be the adjoint morphisms of σ_f and τ_f , respectively. By adjunction, it is enough to show $\sigma^f = \tau^f$ as morphisms $\mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \rightarrow Rf^! \mathcal{M}_{n,X}$ in $D^+(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$. Let $g : X \rightarrow s$ be the structure map and put $h := g \circ f$. By the first step and the property (iii), we have

$$Rf^!(\sigma^g) \circ \sigma^f = \sigma^h = \tau^h = Rf^!(\tau^g) \circ \tau^f$$

as morphisms $\mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \rightarrow Rh^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n$. On the other hand, we have $Rf^!(\sigma^g) = Rf^!(\tau^g)$ by the first step, and these are isomorphisms in $D^+(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ by Theorem 2.6.1. Hence we have $\sigma^f = \tau^f$. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.7.4. \square

2.8. Covariant functoriality. In this subsection, we prove Lemma 2.8.2 stated below (cf. [Mo] 4.1), which is a key ingredient of Theorem 2.6.2. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism in \mathcal{V}_s . Let q be a non-negative integer and let x (resp. y) be a point on X (resp. on Y) of dimension q . Let f_y (resp. i_x) be the composite map $y \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ (resp. $x \rightarrow X$). We define a map of sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$

$$\text{tr}_{f,(y,x)} : f_{y*} W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^q \longrightarrow i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^q$$

as Gros' Gysin map for $y \rightarrow x$ ([Gr] II.1.2.7), if y is finite over x via f . We define $\text{tr}_{f,(y,x)}$ as zero otherwise. Collecting this map for points on Y and X , we obtain a map of *graded abelian sheaves* on $X_{\text{ét}}$

$$\text{tr}_f^\bullet : f_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Y}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet.$$

By definition and [Gr] II.2.1.1, this map of graded sheaves satisfies transitivity, that is, for morphisms $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{V}_s , we have the equality

$$(2.8.1) \quad \text{tr}_f^\bullet \circ f_*(\text{tr}_g^\bullet) = \text{tr}_{f \circ g}^\bullet$$

of maps of graded sheaves on $Y_{\text{ét}}$. We prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.8.2. *Suppose that f is proper. Then tr_f^\bullet is a map of complexes. Consequently, tr_f^\bullet induces a morphism*

$$\text{tr}_f : Rf_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,X} \quad \text{in } D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$$

by Lemma 2.5.6 (3).

Proof. Let q be a negative integer, and let z and x be points on X of dimension $-q$ and $-q-1$, respectively. Our task is to show the commutativity of a diagram

$$(2.8.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{w \in Y_{-q} \cap f^{-1}(\overline{\{z\}})} f_{w*} W_n \Omega_{w,\log}^{-q} & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_y (\sum_w \partial_{w,y}^{\text{val}})} & \bigoplus_{y \in Y_{-q-1} \cap f^{-1}(\overline{\{x\}})} f_{y*} W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^{-q-1} \\ \downarrow \sum_w \text{tr}_{f,(w,z)} & & \downarrow \sum_y \text{tr}_{f,(y,x)} \\ i_{z*} W_n \Omega_{z,\log}^{-q} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{z,x}^{\text{val}}} & i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^{-q-1}, \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\{z\}}$ and $\overline{\{x\}}$ denote the closure of z and w in X , respectively. If z does not specialize to x , then both horizontal maps are zero and the diagram commutes. In what

follows, we assume that z specializes to x . Let T be the localization of $\overline{\{z\}}$ at x , and let w be a point on Y with $w \in Y_{-q} \cap f^{-1}(\overline{\{z\}})$. Put

$$Z_w := \overline{\{w\}} \times_X T (= \overline{\{w\}} \cap f^{-1}(T)),$$

where $\overline{\{w\}}$ denotes the closure of w in Y , regarded as an X -scheme via f . If $y \in Y_{-q-1} \cap f^{-1}(\overline{\{z\}})$ is away from Z_w , then y is outside of $\overline{\{w\}} \cap f^{-1}(x)$ and hence at least one of $\partial_{w,y}^{\text{val}}$ and $\text{tr}_{f,(y,x)}$ is zero. Thus the commutativity of (2.8.3) is reduced to that of the following diagram:

$$(2.8.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f_{w*} W_n \Omega_{w,\log}^{-q} & \xrightarrow{\oplus_y \partial_{w,y}^{\text{val}}} & \bigoplus_{y \in Y_{-q-1} \cap Z_w} f_{y*} W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^{-q-1} \\ \text{tr}_{f,(w,z)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sum_y \text{tr}_{f,(y,x)} \\ i_{z*} W_n \Omega_{z,\log}^{-q} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{z,x}^{\text{val}}} & i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^{-q-1}. \end{array}$$

Note that there happen only the following three cases:

- (1) Z_w is empty (i.e., w maps to a point on $\overline{\{z\}}$ outside of T).
- (2) w maps to x .
- (3) w maps to z .

In the case (1), the problem is obvious, because the upper right sheaf and the left vertical map are trivial by definition. Therefore, we restrict our attention to the cases (2) and (3), in what follows. Note that Z_w is integral with generic point w in these cases. We claim here the following:

Claim. (a) In the case (2), Z_w is a proper curve over x .

(b) In the case (3), the canonical morphism $f_T : Z_w \rightarrow T$ is finite.

(c) In both cases, the set $Y_{-q-1} \cap Z_w$ agrees with the set of all closed points on Z_w .

Proof of Claim. (a) Since Z_w is proper over x by the properness of f , it suffices to show that Z_w is one-dimensional. This follows from [Ha] III.9.6.

(b) By Zariski's Main Theorem (see e.g., [Mi1] I.1.10) and the properness of f_T , it suffices to show that f_T is quasi-finite. Note that $\kappa(w)$ is a finite field extension of $\kappa(z)$. Let $\nu : U \rightarrow T$ be the normalization of T in $\kappa(w)$. Then ν is finite (cf. [Ha] I.3.9A) and U is the spectrum of a Dedekind ring, which imply that ν factors as $U \rightarrow Z_w \rightarrow T$ by the valuative criterion for proper morphisms (cf. loc. cit. II.4.7). Here the map $U \rightarrow Z_w$ is surjective, because it is proper and dominant. Hence f_T is quasi-finite by the finiteness of ν and we obtain the assertion.

(c) immediately follows from the description of Z_w in (a) and (b). \square

We turn to the proof of Lemma 2.8.2 and prove the commutativity of (2.8.4). We first consider the case (3). Recall that Z_w is then finite over T by *Claim* (b). Since the problem is étale local at $x \in T$, we assume that T and Z_w are strictly henselian by replacing them with $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{T,\bar{x}}^{\text{sh}})$ and a connected component of $Z_w \times_T \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{T,\bar{x}}^{\text{sh}})$, respectively. Then by the Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem ([BK] 2.1) and Lemma A.1.1 in the appendix, we are reduced to the commutativity of residue maps of Milnor K -groups (§0.6 (I.2)) via norm

maps due to Kato [Ka2], Lemma 3 (which assumes the domains concerned are normal, but is easily generalized to our situation by a standard argument using normalization):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_{-q}^M(\kappa(w))/p^n & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_y \partial_{w,y}} & \bigoplus_{y \in (Z_w)_0} K_{-q-1}^M(\kappa(y))/p^n \\
 \downarrow N_{\kappa(w)/\kappa(z)} & & \downarrow \sum_y N_{\kappa(y)/\kappa(x)} \\
 K_{-q}^M(\kappa(z))/p^n & \xrightarrow{\partial_{z,x}} & K_{-q-1}^M(\kappa(x))/p^n .
 \end{array}$$

Hence (2.8.4) commutes in this case.

Finally we prove the commutativity of (2.8.4) in the case (2). In this case, the map $\mathrm{tr}_{f,(w,z)}$ is zero by definition, and our task is to show that the composite of the top horizontal arrow and the right vertical arrow is zero. Moreover, by *Claim* (a) and Lemma A.1.1, we are reduced to the case that Z_w is a projective line over x . The assertion then follows from *Claim* in the proof of Lemma A.1.1. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.8.2. \square

Remark 2.8.5. *If f is finite and étale, then tr_f^\bullet coincides with the adjunction map*

$$f_* : f_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Y}^\bullet = f_* f^* \mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,X}^\bullet .$$

Indeed, the claim is reduced to the case of a finite separable extension of a point, which follows from a standard base-change argument and [Mi1] V.1.12.

2.9. Proof of Theorem 2.6.2. By Corollary 2.7.4, it remains to show the existence of a desired assignment. For a map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{V}_s , we define the morphism tr_f as follows. If f is proper, then we define tr_f as that constructed in Lemma 2.8.2. Next suppose that f is not proper. Take a compactification of f , i.e., an open immersion $j : Y \hookrightarrow Z$ and a proper map $g : Z \rightarrow X$ with $f = g \circ j$, and define $\mathrm{tr}_{f,(Z,j,g)}$ as the composite morphism

$$(2.9.1) \quad \mathrm{tr}_{f,(Z,j,g)} : Rf_! \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} = Rg_* Rj_! j^* \mathcal{M}_{n,Z} \xrightarrow{j_!} Rg_* \mathcal{M}_{n,Z} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_g} \mathcal{M}_{n,X} ,$$

where the arrow $j_!$ is defined by the adjunction morphism $Rj_! j^* \mathcal{M}_{n,Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,Z}$. We are going to define

$$\mathrm{tr}_f := \mathrm{tr}_{f,(Z,j,g)} .$$

To verify the well-definedness, it suffices to show the following:

Lemma 2.9.2. *Let $Y \xrightarrow{e} V \xrightarrow{h} X$ be another compactification of f . Then we have*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{f,(Z,j,g)} = \mathrm{tr}_{f,(V,e,h)} .$$

Proof. Replacing Z by the closure of the image of $Y \xrightarrow{(j,e)} Z \times_X V$, we may suppose that there exists a proper morphism $\pi : Z \rightarrow V$ fitting into a commutative diagram with cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{j} & Z \\
 \parallel & \square & \downarrow \pi \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{e} & V \xrightarrow{h} X .
 \end{array}$$

Since $\mathrm{tr}_g = \mathrm{tr}_h \circ Rh_*(\mathrm{tr}_\pi)$ by (2.8.1) and Lemma 2.5.6 (3), it remains to show that $\mathrm{tr}_{\varrho, (Z, j, \pi)}$ agrees with the adjunction morphism

$$\varrho_! : \varrho_! \mathcal{M}_{n, Y} = \varrho_! \varrho^* \mathcal{M}_{n, V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n, V}.$$

Indeed, since $\pi^{-1}(Y) = Y$, $\varrho^*(\mathrm{tr}_{\varrho, (Z, j, h)})$ is the identity morphism of $\mathcal{M}_{n, Y}$ (cf. (2.9.1)), which implies that $\mathrm{tr}_{\varrho, (Z, j, h)} = \varrho_!$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Thus we obtained a well-defined assignment $f \mapsto \mathrm{tr}_f$. We show that this satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 2.6.1. The condition (ii) holds obviously by definition (cf. (2.5.3), §2.8). We next show the condition (i). Suppose that $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is étale. Take an open immersion $j : Y \hookrightarrow Z$ and a finite map $g : Z \rightarrow X$ with $f = g \circ j$ (cf. [Mi1] 1.8). We claim that the morphism $\mathrm{tr}_{f, (Z, j, g)}$ coincides with the adjunction $f_!$, which implies (i). Indeed, since f is étale and g is finite, $\mathrm{tr}_{f, (Z, j, g)}$ is represented by the composite map of complexes

$$f_! \mathcal{M}_{n, Y}^\bullet = g_* j_! j^* \mathcal{M}_{n, Z}^\bullet \xrightarrow{g_*(j_!)} g_* \mathcal{M}_{n, Z}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_g^\bullet} \mathcal{M}_{n, X}^\bullet,$$

which agrees with $f_!$ by a similar argument as for Remark 2.8.5 (see also [Mi1] II.3.18). We finally show the condition (iii), that is, for two maps $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{V}_s , we prove

$$(2.9.3) \quad \mathrm{tr}_h = \mathrm{tr}_f \circ Rf_!(\mathrm{tr}_g) \quad \text{with } h := f \circ g.$$

If f and g are open immersions, (2.9.3) follows from the property (i) and the transitivity of adjunction maps for open immersions. If f and g are proper, (2.9.3) follows from (2.8.1) and Lemma 2.5.6 (3). Hence, if g is an open immersion or f is proper, then we obtain (2.9.3) by the previous two cases. We show the general case. Take compactifications of f and g as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & T & & V \\ & \nearrow j & & \searrow q & \nearrow \varrho \\ Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ & & & & \searrow \pi \end{array}$$

where j and ϱ are open immersions and q and π are proper maps which make the triangles commutative. Because we already know, by the previous cases, that

$$\mathrm{tr}_h = \mathrm{tr}_\pi \circ R\pi_*(\mathrm{tr}_\alpha) \circ R(f \circ \varrho)_!(\mathrm{tr}_j) \quad \text{with } \alpha := \varrho \circ q,$$

it remains to show the following composite morphism agrees with tr_α :

$$R\alpha_! \mathcal{M}_{n, T} \xrightarrow{R\varrho_!(\mathrm{tr}_q)} R\varrho_! \mathcal{M}_{n, Y} \xrightarrow{\varrho_!} \mathcal{M}_{n, V}.$$

We prove this equality. Take an open immersion $\beta : T \hookrightarrow W$ with *dense image* and a proper map $\gamma : W \rightarrow V$ satisfying $\alpha = \gamma \circ \beta$. Then one can easily check that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\beta} & W \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & V \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^*(R\gamma_*(\beta_!)) &= \mathrm{id}_{Rq_*} & (\beta_! \text{ denotes } R\beta_!\beta^* \rightarrow \mathrm{id}) \\ \varrho^*(\mathrm{tr}_\gamma) &= \mathrm{tr}_q & (\text{cf. §2.8}) \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\varrho^*(\mathrm{tr}_{\alpha,(W,\beta,\gamma)}) = \mathrm{tr}_q$, which implies $\varrho_! \circ R\varrho_!(\mathrm{tr}_q) = \mathrm{tr}_{\alpha,(W,\beta,\gamma)} = \mathrm{tr}_\alpha$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.2. \square

2.10. Purity for logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaves. Theorem 2.6.2 implies the following purity result, whose special case was needed in [JS].

Corollary 2.10.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth varieties of pure dimension d and e , respectively over $s = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Then there is a canonical Gysin isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{tr}^f : W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d[d] \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf^! W_n \Omega_{Y,\log}^e[e].$$

Epecially, for $g : X \rightarrow s$ smooth of dimension d we get a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{tr}^g : W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d[d] \xrightarrow{\sim} Rg^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n.$$

Proof. The first claim follows from the isomorphisms

$$W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^d[d] \xrightarrow[(2.5.2)]{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{n,X} \xrightarrow[2.6.2]{\sim} Rf^! \mathcal{M}_{n,Y} \xleftarrow[(2.5.2)]{\sim} Rf^! W_n \Omega_{Y,\log}^e[e].$$

For the special case note that $W_n \Omega_{s,\log}^0 = \mathbb{Z}/p^n$. \square

Remark 2.10.2. *With the notation $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)_X := W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^r[-r]$ the purity isomorphism in Corollary 2.10.1 becomes*

$$(2.10.3) \quad \mathrm{tr}^f : \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)_X[2d] \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n(e)_Y[2e].$$

When f is a closed immersion, tr^f is adjoint to the modified Gysin morphism (2.5.4). When f is proper, tr^f is adjoint to Gros' Gysin morphism Gys_f only up to the sign $(-1)^{d-e}$, cf. Remark 2.5.5.

2.11. Bloch-Ogus complexes and Kato complexes. Finally we have the following application to Kato complexes, which is analogous to Theorem 1.5.8. Let S be a smooth scheme of pure dimension d over k . (Most interesting is the case $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$, $d = 0$, which was needed in [JS].) For a separated scheme of finite type over S , $f : X \rightarrow S$, define its homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d)$ by

$$(2.11.1) \quad H_a(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d)) := H^{-a}(X, Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)_S).$$

These groups define a homology theory on the category of separated S -schemes of finite type, in the sense of [JS] 2.1 (cf. loc. cit. 2.2), and in a standard way one obtains a niveau spectral sequence

$$(2.11.2) \quad E_{q,t}^1(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d)) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_q} H_{q+t}(x/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d)) \Rightarrow H_{q+t}(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d))$$

for X as above (cf. §1.5 and [JS] 2.7).

Theorem 2.11.3. *Let X be a separated S -scheme of finite type.*

(1) *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$E_{q,t}^1(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d)) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X_q} H^{q-t-2d}(x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(q)) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_q} H^{-t-2d}(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^q).$$

(2) *Via these isomorphisms, the Bloch-Ogus complex $E_{*,t}^1(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ coincides with the sign-modified modified Kato complex $C_{p^n}^{-t-2d,0}(X)^{(-)}$.*

(3) *Especially, for a separated k -scheme X of finite type, purity induces an isomorphism $E_{*,t}^1(X/k, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \cong C_{p^n}^{-t,0}(X)^{(-)}$.*

Proof. (1) follows from the purity isomorphism

$$(2.11.4) \quad \begin{aligned} H_a(V/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d)) &= H^{-a}(V, Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)_S) \\ &\cong_{(2.10.3)} H^{-a+2q-2d}(V, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(q)_V) \end{aligned}$$

for $f : V \rightarrow S$ with V smooth of pure dimension q .

Since (3) is a special case of (2), we prove (2) in what follows, by similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.5.8. The question is local in S and X . Therefore we may assume that $f : X \rightarrow S$ factors as follows:

$$X \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{\pi} S,$$

where π is a smooth morphism of pure relative dimension N and i is a closed immersion. The Gysin isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d+N)[2N] \simeq R\pi^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)$ from (2.10.3) induces an isomorphism of homology theories

$$\gamma : H_{*-2N}(-/P, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d-N)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_*(-/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-d))$$

on all subschemes of P , and therefore an isomorphism between the corresponding spectral sequences. Moreover, for an open subscheme $j_U : U \hookrightarrow P$ and a closed subscheme $i_V : V \hookrightarrow U$ of dimension q , the purity isomorphism (2.10.3) for the composition

$$g = \pi \circ j_U \circ i_V : V \xrightarrow{i_V} U \xrightarrow{j_U} P \xrightarrow{\pi} S$$

factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}/p^n(q)_V[2q] &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}^{i_V}} Ri_V^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d+N)_U[2(d+N)] \\ &\xrightarrow{Ri_V^! j_U^*(\mathrm{tr}^\pi)} Ri_V^! j_U^* R\pi^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)_S[2d] \\ &= Rg^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)_S[2d]. \end{aligned}$$

The first morphism here induces the modified Gysin map

$$\mathrm{Gys}_{i_V}^\circ : H^{m+2q}(V, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(q)_V) \longrightarrow H_V^{m+2(d+N)}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d+N)_U)$$

in (2.5.4). Thus the compatibility facts in Remark 2.5.5 implies the claim. \square

3. THE CASE OF p -TORSION IN MIXED CHARACTERISTIC $(0, p)$

Let S be the spectrum of a henselian discrete valuation ring A with fraction field K of characteristic zero and *perfect* residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Consider a diagram with cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_X} & X & \xleftarrow{i_X} & X_s \\ f_\eta \downarrow & \square & f \downarrow & \square & f_s \downarrow \\ \eta & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & s, \end{array}$$

where η (resp. s) is the generic point (resp. closed point) of S , and f is separated of finite type. Let n be a positive integer, and let \mathcal{E}_η (resp. \mathcal{E}_s) be the étale sheaf μ_{p^n} on η (resp. the constant étale sheaf \mathbb{Z}/p^n on s). We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} &:= Rf_\eta^! \mathcal{E}_\eta \in D^+(X_{\eta, \text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n), \\ \mathcal{E}_{X_s} &:= Rf_s^! \mathcal{E}_s \in D^+(X_{s, \text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n). \end{aligned}$$

We recall some standard facts on \mathcal{E}_{X_η} (compare Theorems 2.6.2 and 2.1.1 for \mathcal{E}_{X_s})

3.0.1. If X_η is smooth over η of pure dimension d , then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{tr}^{f_\eta} : \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[2d] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}$$

in $D^+(X_{\eta, \text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ by the relative Poincaré duality [SGA4] XVIII.3.25.

3.0.2. For points $y \in (X_\eta)_q$ and $x \in (X_\eta)_{q-1}$ with $x \in \overline{\{y\}} \subset X_\eta$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota_x^* R\iota_{y*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1}[2q] & \xrightarrow{-\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}} & \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q}[2q-1] \\ \downarrow \iota_x^* R\iota_{y*}(\tau_y) \wr & & \downarrow \wr \tau_x[1] \\ \iota_x^* R\iota_{y*} R\iota_y^! \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} & \xrightarrow{\iota_x^* \{\delta_{y,x}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta})\}} & R\iota_x^! \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}[1] \end{array}$$

in $D^+(x_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$. Here for a point $v \in (X_\eta)_m$, ι_v denotes the canonical map $v \hookrightarrow X_\eta$ and τ_v denotes the canonical isomorphism $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes m+1}[2m] \cong R\iota_v^! \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}$ obtained from §3.0.1 for a smooth dense open subset of $\overline{\{v\}}$. The top arrow is given by the boundary map

$$\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}} : R^1 \iota_{y*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1} \longrightarrow \iota_{x*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q} \quad (\text{cf. §0.6})$$

and the fact that $\iota_x^* R^u \iota_{y*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1} = 0$ for $u \geq 2$ (cf. [SGA5] I.5). One can check this commutativity in the following way. Localizing and embedding X_η into an affine space, we may suppose that X_η is smooth. Because $R\iota_x^! \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}[1]$ (resp. $\iota_x^* R\iota_{y*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1}[2q]$) is concentrated in degree $-2q+1$ (resp. $\leq -2q+1$), the problem is reduced, by §0.5.4 (1), to the commutativity at the $(-2q+1)$ -st cohomology sheaves, which follows from Theorem 1.1.1 and [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle, 2.3.8 (i).

3.1. Condition \mathbb{K}_q . The complexes \mathcal{E}_{X_η} and \mathcal{E}_{X_s} are important for the theory of duality and homology over η and s , as we have seen in §1 and §2. For working over S , we study morphisms

$$Rj_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow Ri_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1],$$

see §3.2 and §3.6 below. In particular, we want to investigate local conditions. For a point $v \in X$, let i_v be the canonical map $v \hookrightarrow X$. Let q be a non-negative integer, and take points $y \in (X_\eta)_q$ and $x \in (X_s)_q$ with $x \in \overline{\{y\}} \subset X$. Put $Y := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\{y\}}, x})$ and $x' := Y \times_X X_s$ and let $\pi : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be the natural map. Then we have cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} y & \xrightarrow{j_Y} & Y & \xleftarrow{i_Y} & x' \\ \iota_y \downarrow & \square & \pi \downarrow & \square & \epsilon_{x'} \downarrow \\ X_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_X} & X & \xleftarrow{i_X} & X_s, \end{array}$$

and a canonical nilpotent closed immersion $x \hookrightarrow x'$. Now let

$$\delta_X : Rj_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow Ri_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1]$$

be a morphism in $D^+(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$. Applying $R\pi_*R\pi^!$ to δ_X , we obtain a morphism

$$(3.1.1) \quad R\pi_*R\pi^!(\delta_X) : Ri_{y*}R\iota_y^!\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow Ri_{x*}R\epsilon_x^!\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1],$$

where ϵ_x denotes the canonical map $x \hookrightarrow X_s$, and we have used base-change isomorphisms

$$R\pi^!Rj_{X*} = Rj_{Y*}R\iota_y^! \quad \text{and} \quad R\pi^!Ri_{X*} = Ri_{Y*}R\epsilon_{x'}^!,$$

and the isomorphism

$$R\epsilon_{x'*}R\epsilon_{x'}^! = R\epsilon_{x*}R\epsilon_x^!$$

by the invariance of étale topology. Furthermore, we have $R\iota_y^!\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \cong \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1}[2q]$ by §3.0.1, and we have $R\iota_x^!\mathcal{E}_{X_s} \cong W_n\Omega_{x, \log}^q[q]$ by Theorem 2.6.2. Therefore the morphism (3.1.1) is identified with a morphism $Ri_{y*}\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1}[2q] \rightarrow Ri_{x*}W_n\Omega_{x, \log}^q[q-1]$, which induces a map of cohomology sheaves in degree $-q+1$:

$$\delta_X(y, x) : R^{q+1}i_{y*}\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1} \longrightarrow i_{x*}W_n\Omega_{x, \log}^q.$$

We are going to compare this map of sheaves on $X_{\acute{e}t}$ with Kato's residue map (cf. (0.1.1)):

$$\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}} : R^{q+1}i_{y*}\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1} \longrightarrow i_{x*}W_n\Omega_{x, \log}^q.$$

Definition 3.1.2. We say that δ_X satisfies \mathbb{K}_q if the induced map $\delta_X(y, x)$ agrees with $\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$ for all points $y \in (X_\eta)_q$ and $x \in (X_s)_q$ with $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$.

Remark 3.1.3. In view of §0.5.4 (1), the morphism (3.1.1) is determined by $\delta_X(y, x)$. In fact, we have $R^m i_{y*}\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1} = 0$ for any $m > q+1$ by a similar argument as for Lemma 3.5.1 below.

3.2. Functoriality of Kato's residue maps. Let

$$\delta_S^{\text{val}} : Rj_*\mathcal{E}_\eta \longrightarrow Ri_*\mathcal{E}_s[-1]$$

be the composite morphism

$$Rj_*\mathcal{E}_\eta \cong \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathcal{E}_\eta \longrightarrow R^1j_*\mathcal{E}_\eta[-1] \longrightarrow i_*\mathcal{E}_s[-1]$$

in $D^b(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$, where the first isomorphism follows from a theorem of Lang: $\text{cd}(\eta) = 1$ (cf. Lemma 3.5.1 below) and the last morphism is induced by Kummer theory and the normalized valuation v_A on K^\times , i.e., Kato's residue map (cf. §0.1). By the base-change isomorphisms

$$Rf^!Rj_*\mathcal{E}_\eta = Rj_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad Rf^!Ri_*\mathcal{E}_s = Ri_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s},$$

we obtain a morphism

$$\delta_X^{S\text{-val}} := Rf^!(\delta_S^{\text{val}}) : Rj_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow Ri_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1] \quad \text{in } D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n).$$

The first main result of this section is the following theorem:

- Theorem 3.2.1.** (1) *The morphism $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_q for all $q \geq 0$.*
 (2) *$\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ is the only morphism that satisfies \mathbb{K}_q for all $q \geq 0$.*
 (3) *If X_η is smooth of pure dimension d , $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ is the only morphism satisfying \mathbb{K}_d .*

The proof of this result will be finished in §3.8 below.

3.3. First reductions. We first note that, to prove Theorem 3.2.1, we may assume that X is reduced and the closure of X_η . In fact, let $X' \subset X$ be the closure of X_η with the reduced subscheme structure. Then we get cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X_\eta)_{\text{red}} & \xrightarrow{j_{X'}} & X' & \xleftarrow{i_{X'}} & X'_s \\ \kappa_\eta \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \kappa & \square & \downarrow \kappa_s \\ X_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_X} & X & \xleftarrow{i_X} & X_s, \end{array}$$

where κ is the closed immersion. They induce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \kappa_*Rj_{X'*}\mathcal{E}_{X'_\eta} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_*R\kappa^!(\delta_X)} & \kappa_*i_{X'*}\mathcal{E}_{X'_s} \\ \kappa_{\eta*} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \kappa_{s*} \\ Rj_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & i_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}, \end{array}$$

for any given morphism δ_X at the bottom. The left adjunction map is an isomorphism by topological invariance of étale cohomology. Moreover, $R\kappa^!(\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}) = \delta_{X'}^{S\text{-val}}$, and evidently δ_X satisfies \mathbb{K}_q if and only if $R\kappa^!(\delta_X)$ does. This shows that the claims of Theorem 3.2.1 hold for X if and only if they hold for X' . We also note the following reduction:

Lemma 3.3.1. *A morphism δ_X satisfies \mathbb{K}_q if and only if for all integral closed subschemes $\iota_Z : Z \hookrightarrow X$ of dimension q the morphism $R\iota_Z^!(\delta_X)$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_q . In particular, Theorem 3.2.1 (1) holds for X if and only if $\delta_Z^{S\text{-val}}$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_q for all integral subschemes $Z \subset X$ of dimension d .*

Proof. Let X be arbitrary. Take a point $y \in (X_\eta)_q$ ($0 \leq q \leq d := \dim(X_\eta)$), let Z be its closure in X , and take an $x \in (X_s)_q$ with $x \in Z$. Let $\iota_Z : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the natural inclusion. We have base-change isomorphisms

$$R\iota_Z^! Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} = Rj_{Z*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad R\iota_Z^! Ri_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s} = Ri_{Z*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_s},$$

and it follows from the definitions in §3.1 that $\delta_X(y, x) = (R\iota_Z^!(\delta_X))(y, x)$, if we regard these as maps $\iota_x^* R^{q+1} \iota_{y*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes q+1} \rightarrow W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^q$. This shows the first claim. The second claim follows, because $R\iota_Z^!(\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}) = \delta_Z^{S\text{-val}}$. \square

Finally we note:

Remark 3.3.2. *To prove that $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_q it suffices to assume that $f : X \rightarrow S$ is proper by taking a compactification of f .*

3.4. Criterion in the proper case. Suppose that we are given two morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_S : Rj_* \mathcal{E}_\eta &\longrightarrow Ri_* \mathcal{E}_s[-1] && \text{in } D^+(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n), \\ \delta_X : Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} &\longrightarrow Ri_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1] && \text{in } D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that f is proper, we give a simple criterion as to when δ_X agrees with $Rf^!(\delta_S)$, that is, as to when the following diagram commutes in $D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$:

$$(3.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & Ri_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1] \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ Rf^! Rj_* \mathcal{E}_\eta & \xrightarrow{Rf^!(\delta_S)} & Rf^! Ri_* \mathcal{E}_s[-1], \end{array}$$

where the equalities mean the identifications by base-change isomorphisms.

Proposition 3.4.2. *Suppose that f is proper. Then the diagram (3.4.1) commutes if and only if the following diagram is commutative:*

$$(3.4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X_{\tilde{\eta}}, \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & H^0(X_{\bar{s}}, \mathcal{E}_{X_s}) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ H^1(\tilde{\eta}, \mathcal{E}_\eta) & \xrightarrow{\delta_S} & H^0(\bar{s}, \mathcal{E}_s), \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\eta}$ denotes the generic point of the maximal unramified extension \tilde{S} of S (\bar{s} is the closed point of \tilde{S}); the vertical maps are defined by the adjunction map $Rf_! Rf^! \rightarrow \text{id}$ and the properness of f , that is, $Rf_! = Rf_*$.

Proof. By the adjointness between $Rf^!$ and $Rf_!$, we have the adjunction maps $f^! : \text{id} \rightarrow Rf^! Rf_!$ and $f_! : Rf_! Rf^! \rightarrow \text{id}$, which satisfy the relation that the composite

$$Rf^! \xrightarrow{f^!} Rf^! Rf_! Rf^! \xrightarrow{f_!} Rf^!$$

is the identity map. By these facts, it is easy to see that the commutativity of (3.4.1) is equivalent to that of the following diagram in $D^+(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$:

$$(3.4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Rf_! Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} & \xrightarrow{Rf_!(\delta_X)} & Rf_! Ri_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1] \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ Rj_* \mathcal{E}_\eta & \xrightarrow{\delta_S} & Ri_* \mathcal{E}_s[-1], \end{array}$$

where α is defined as the composite

$$\alpha : Rf_! Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \xrightarrow{\text{base-change}} Rf_! Rf^! Rj_* \mathcal{E}_\eta \xrightarrow{f_!} Rj_* \mathcal{E}_\eta$$

and β is defined in a similar way (note that we do not need the properness of f for this equivalence). We prove that the commutativity of (3.4.4) is equivalent to that of (3.4.3). For this, we first show the following:

Claim. $i^* Rf_! Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} = i^* Rf_* Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}$ is concentrated in degrees ≤ 1 .

Proof of Claim. Because the stalk at \bar{s} of the m -th cohomology sheaf is

$$\mathcal{H}^m(i^* Rf_* Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta})_{\bar{s}} \cong H^m(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta})$$

by the properness of f , it suffices to show that the group on the right hand side is zero for $m > 1$. Take an open subset $U_\eta \subset X_\eta$ which is smooth over η of pure dimension $d := \dim(X_\eta)$ and such that $\dim(Z_\eta) < d$, where Z_η denotes the closed complement $X_\eta \setminus U_\eta$. By (0.4.2), there is a localization exact sequence

$$(3.4.5) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow H^m(Z_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}) \longrightarrow H^m(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}) \longrightarrow H^m(U_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Now we have $\mathcal{E}_{U_\eta} \cong \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[2d]$ by §3.0.1 for U_η , so that

$$H^m(U_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta}) \cong H^{m+2d}(U_{\bar{\eta}}, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}),$$

which vanishes for $m > 1$ because $\text{cd}(U_{\bar{\eta}}) \leq 2d + 1$, cf. Lemma 3.5.1 below. Thus the vanishing of $H^m(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta})$ for $m > 1$ is shown by induction on $\dim(X_\eta)$ and we obtain the claim. \square

We turn to the proof of Proposition 3.4.2. By the above claim and §0.5.4 (1), a morphism $i^* Rf_! Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s[-1]$ is determined by the map of the 1st cohomology sheaves, and thus determined by the associated map of their stalks at \bar{s} . Hence by the adjointness between Ri_* and i^* , the diagram (3.4.4) commutes if and only if the diagram (3.4.3) does. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.4.2. \square

3.5. Result for smooth generic fiber. In Proposition 3.5.2 below we obtain a first step towards part (3) of Theorem 3.2.1 which will also be used for the other parts. We first show:

Lemma 3.5.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a torsion sheaf on $(X_\eta)_{\text{ét}}$. Then $R^m j_{X*} \mathcal{F} = 0$ for any $m > \dim(X_\eta) + 1$.*

Proof. Clearly $R^m j_{X_*} \mathcal{F}$ is trivial on X_η if $m > 0$. Hence the problem is étale local on X_s and we may suppose that $s = \bar{s}$. Let x be a point on X_s . The stalk of $R^m j_{X_*} \mathcal{F}$ at \bar{x} is isomorphic to $H^m(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}^{\text{sh}}[p^{-1}]), \mathcal{F})$, where $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}^{\text{sh}}[p^{-1}])$ is written as a projective limit of affine varieties over η of dimension $\leq \dim(X_\eta)$. Hence the assertion follows from the affine Lefschetz theorem ([SGA4] XIV.3.2) and Lang's theorem: $\text{cd}(\eta) = 1$ ([Se] II.3.3). \square

Proposition 3.5.2. *If X_η is smooth of pure dimension d , then there exists a unique morphism $\delta_X : Rj_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \rightarrow Ri_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1]$ satisfying \mathbb{K}_d .*

Proof. By §3.3 we may assume that $\dim(X_s) \leq d$. We have $\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \cong \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[2d]$ by §3.0.1, and $Rj_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}$ is concentrated in $[-2d, -d+1]$ by Lemma 3.5.1. On the other hand, $Ri_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1]$ is concentrated in degree $[-d+1, 1]$ by Theorem 2.6.2 and the assumption $\dim(X_s) \leq d$. Hence a morphism $\delta_X : Rj_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \rightarrow Ri_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1]$ is determined by the map $\mathcal{H}^{-d+1}(\delta_X)$ of the $(-d+1)$ -st cohomology sheaves by §0.5.4 (1). Moreover, for a given δ_X , there is a commutative diagram of sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^{-d+1}(Rj_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & R^{d+1} j_{X_*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigoplus_{y \in (X_\eta)_d} R^{d+1} i_{y*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} \\ \mathcal{H}^{-d+1}(\delta_X) \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathcal{H}^{-d+1}(Ri_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1]) & \xlongequal{\quad} & i_{X_*} \mathcal{H}^{-d}(\mathcal{E}_{X_s}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \bigoplus_{x \in (X_s)_d} i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^d, \end{array}$$

where α is the adjunction map, β is an inclusion obtained from Theorem 2.6.2 and γ is the sum of $\delta_X(y, x)$'s. These facts show the uniqueness of δ_X satisfying \mathbb{K}_d . Next we prove its existence. For this, let us consider the following diagram of sheaves:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} R^{d+1} j_{X_*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \bigoplus_{y \in (X_\eta)_d} R^{d+1} i_{y*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & \bigoplus_{w \in (X_\eta)_{d-1}} R^d i_{w*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d} \\ \downarrow \varrho & & \downarrow \partial_2 & & \downarrow \partial_3 \\ 0 \longrightarrow & i_{X_*} \mathcal{H}^{-d}(\mathcal{E}_{X_s}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \bigoplus_{x \in (X_s)_d} i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^d & \xrightarrow{\partial_4} & \bigoplus_{z \in (X_s)_{d-1}} i_{z*} W_n \Omega_{z, \log}^{d-1}, \end{array}$$

where α and β are the same maps as above, and each ∂_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) is the sum of Kato's residue maps. We have the following facts for this diagram: the right square is anti-commutative by [KCT] 1.7 for X ; the upper row is a complex by §3.0.2; the lower row is exact by Theorem 2.6.2. Hence ∂_2 induces a map ϱ as in the diagram, and we obtain a morphism δ_X satisfying \mathbb{K}_d by extending this map (cf. §0.5.4 (1)). This completes the proof. \square

3.6. Case of points. We will prove Theorem 3.2.1 (1) by induction on $\dim(X_\eta)$. We start with:

Lemma 3.6.1. *Theorem 3.2.1 is true for X with $\dim(X_\eta) = 0$.*

Proof. First we show 3.2.1 (1). By Lemma 3.3.1 and Remark 3.3.2 we may assume that X is integral and proper. Then $f : X \rightarrow S$ is flat and finite by Zariski's main theorem, and moreover, X_s is irreducible because S is henselian and X is irreducible. Let $j' : \eta' \hookrightarrow X$ (resp. $i' : s' \hookrightarrow X$) be the generic (resp. closed) point. Then $\eta' = X_\eta$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \eta$ is finite étale, because X is integral and $\text{ch}(K) = 0$. On the other hand, $s' \rightarrow s$ is finite étale as well by the perfectness of k , and this map factors as the composite of a nilpotent closed immersion $s' \hookrightarrow X_s$ with $f_s : X_s \rightarrow s$. Therefore we have $\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} = \mu_{p^n}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{X_s} = \mathbb{Z}/p^n$. Now let

$$\delta_X : Rj_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow Ri_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1]$$

be the composite morphism $Rj_{X*}\mu_{p^n} \rightarrow R^1j_{X*}\mu_{p^n}[-1] \rightarrow i_{X*}\mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1]$, where the last morphism is given by the map $\partial_{\eta',s'}^{\text{val}}$. Because δ_X satisfies \mathbb{K}_0 by definition, our task is to show the equality $\delta_X = \delta_X^{S\text{-val}}(:= Rf^!(\delta_s^{\text{val}}))$. Moreover, by the finiteness of f and Proposition 3.4.2, we have only to show the commutativity of the diagram

$$(3.6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\eta', \mu_{p^n}) & & \\ \text{tr}_f \downarrow & \searrow \partial_{\eta',s}^{\text{val}} & \\ H^1(\eta, \mu_{p^n}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\eta,s}^{\text{val}}} & H^0(s, \mathbb{Z}/p^n), \end{array}$$

assuming that $s = s' = \bar{s}$ (that is, k is algebraically closed). We show this commutativity. Let B_0 be the affine ring of X , let B be the normalization of B_0 , let L be the fraction field of B and let x be the closed point of $\text{Spec}(B)$. By definition, $\partial_{\eta',s}^{\text{val}}$ is the composite

$$H^1(\eta', \mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow H^0(x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(s, \mathbb{Z}/p^n).$$

where the first map is given by the normalized valuation v_B on L^\times and the second map is induced by the isomorphism $x \cong s$. On the other hand, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^\times/p^n & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\eta', \mu_{p^n}) \\ N_{L/K} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{tr}_f \\ K^\times/p^n & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\eta, \mu_{p^n}), \end{array}$$

where $N_{L/K}$ denotes the norm map (cf. [SGA4] XVIII.2.9 (Var 4)), and the horizontal arrows are boundary maps coming from the Kummer theory for η' and η , respectively. Therefore the commutativity of (3.6.1) follows from the fact that $v_B = v_A \circ N_{L/K}$. Now we prove the other parts of Theorem 3.2.1 for X . By §3.3 we may assume that X is reduced. Then, since $\dim(X_\eta) = 0$, X_η is smooth, and Proposition 3.5.2 implies that $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ is the only morphism satisfying \mathbb{K}_0 . \square

3.7. Induction step. Consider the following situation. Suppose that X is reduced, separated of finite type over S , that X_η has dimension $d \geq 1$, and that X_η is dense in X . Choose a *smooth affine* dense open subset $U_\eta \subset X_\eta$. Let $Z_\eta := X_\eta \setminus U_\eta$ with the reduced structure, let Z be the closure of Z_η in X , and let $U = X \setminus Z$. Then the composite morphism $f_Z : Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow S$ is flat, and hence we have

$$(3.7.1) \quad (U_s)_d = (X_s)_d.$$

We name the canonical immersions as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_U} & U & \xleftarrow{i_U} & U_s \\ \phi_\eta \downarrow & \square & \phi \downarrow & \square & \phi_s \downarrow \\ X_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_X} & X & \xleftarrow{i_X} & X_s \\ \psi_\eta \uparrow & \square & \psi \uparrow & \square & \psi_s \uparrow \\ Z_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_Z} & Z & \xleftarrow{i_Z} & Z_s. \end{array}$$

Consider a diagram of the following type in $D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$:

$$(3.7.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} R\psi_* Rj_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta} & \xrightarrow{\psi_*} & Rj_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & R\phi_* Rj_{U^*} \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta} & \xrightarrow{-\epsilon_1} & R\psi_* Rj_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}[1] \\ \delta_Z^S \downarrow & & \delta_1 \downarrow & & \delta_2 \downarrow & & \delta_Z^S[1] \downarrow \\ R\psi_* Ri_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_s}[-1] & \xrightarrow{\psi_*} & Ri_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1] & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & R\phi_* Ri_{U^*} \mathcal{E}_{U_s}[-1] & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2[-1]} & R\psi_* Ri_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_s}. \end{array}$$

Here we put

$$\delta_Z^S := R\psi_*(\delta_Z^{S\text{-val}}) = R\psi_* Rf_Z^!(\delta_S^{\text{val}}), \quad \epsilon_1 := \delta_{U,Z}^{\text{loc}}(Rj_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}), \quad \epsilon_2 := \delta_{U,Z}^{\text{loc}}(Ri_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}),$$

the horizontal rows are the distinguished triangles deduced from the obvious localization triangles (cf. (0.4.2)) and the base-change isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} R\psi^! Rj_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} &= Rj_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}, & \phi^* Rj_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} &= Rj_{U^*} \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta}, \\ R\psi^! Ri_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s} &= Ri_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_s}, & \phi^* Ri_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s} &= Ri_{U^*} \mathcal{E}_{U_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7.3. *If δ_2 is given, there is at most one morphism δ_1 making the squares (1) and (2) in (3.7.2) commutative.*

Proof. We want to apply Lemma 0.5.3 (3). Because U_η is smooth and affine, we have $\mathcal{E}_{U_\eta} \cong \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[2d]$ by §3.0.1, and $C = R(\phi j_U)_* \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta}$ is concentrated in $[-2d, -d+1]$ by a similar argument as for Lemma 3.5.1. On the other hand, because $\dim(X_s) \leq d$, $Ri_{X^*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}$ is concentrated in $[-d, 0]$ by Theorem 2.6.2 (note that i_X is a closed immersion). Similarly, $A' = R\psi_* Ri_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_s}$ is concentrated in $[-d+1, 0]$, because we have $\dim(Z_s) \leq d-1$ by the flatness of $f_Z : Z \rightarrow S$. Therefore we get

$$\text{Hom}_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}(C, A') = 0.$$

On the other hand, for $A = R\psi_* Rj_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}$ and $C' = R\phi_* Ri_{U^*} \mathcal{E}_{U_s}[-1]$ we have

$$\text{Hom}_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}^{-1}(A, C') = \text{Hom}_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}(R\psi_* Rj_{Z^*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}, R\phi_* Ri_{U^*} \mathcal{E}_{U_s}[-2])$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathrm{Hom}_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}(\phi^* R\psi_* Rj_{Z*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}, Ri_{U*} \mathcal{E}_{U_s}[-2]) && \text{(adjunction)} \\
 &= 0 && (\phi^* R\psi_* = 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

So the lemma follows from Lemma 0.5.3 (3). \square

Lemma 3.7.4. *Consider the diagram (3.7.2) and assume that $\delta_2 = R\phi_*(\delta_U)$ where $\delta_U : Rj_{U*} \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta} \rightarrow Ri_{U*} \mathcal{E}_{U_s}$ denotes the morphism obtained by applying Proposition 3.5.2 to U . Assume that \mathbb{K}_{d-1} holds for $\delta_Z^{S\text{-val}}$.*

- (i) *If X is integral, then the square (3) in (3.7.2) commutes. Consequently, there exists a morphism δ_1 which makes the squares (1) and (2) in (3.7.2) commutative at the same time.*
- (ii) *If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is proper, then any morphism δ_1 making the square (1) in (3.7.2) commutative necessarily coincides with $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.7.4. (i) As we have seen in the proof of lemma 3.7.3, $R(\phi j_U)_* \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta}$ is concentrated in $[-2d, -d+1]$ and $R\psi_* Ri_{Z*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_s}$ is concentrated in $[-d+1, 0]$. By these facts, the square (3) commutes if and only if the square of the induced homomorphisms on the $(-d+1)$ -st cohomology sheaves commutes. We prove this commutativity on cohomology sheaves. By Theorem 2.6.2, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{-d+1}(R\psi_* Ri_{Z*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_s}) = \psi_* i_{Z*} \mathcal{H}^{-d+1}(\mathcal{E}_{Z_s}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (Z_s)_{d-1}} i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^{d-1}.$$

Hence we may suppose that $(Z_s)_{d-1}$ is not empty, and the problem is local at each point in $(Z_s)_{d-1}$. Now fix a point $x \in (Z_s)_{d-1}$, and define B (resp. C, D) as $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ (resp. $U \times_X B, Z \times_X B$), and let σ be the open immersion $C_\eta \hookrightarrow B$. Note that B is integral local of dimension two and that D_η and $E := (C_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ are finite sets of points in $B^1 \subset X^1$. Our task is to show the commutativity of the following diagram on $B_{\text{ét}}$:

$$(3.7.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 R^{d+1} \sigma_* \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \bigoplus_{z \in D_\eta} R^d i_{z*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d} \\
 \downarrow \delta_4 & & \downarrow \delta_5 \\
 \bigoplus_{y \in E} i_{y*} W_n \Omega_{y, \log}^d & \xrightarrow{\delta_6} & i_{x*} W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^{d-1},
 \end{array}$$

where for a point $v \in B$, we wrote i_v for the map $v \rightarrow B$ and we have used the isomorphisms $\mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}|_{D_\eta} \cong \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d}[2(d-1)]$ (cf. §3.0.1) and $\mathcal{E}_{U_s}|_{C_s} \cong W_n \Omega_{E, \log}^d$ (cf. Theorem 2.6.2). Each δ_i ($i = 3, \dots, 6$) denotes the map obtained by restricting the corresponding morphism in the square (3) of (3.7.2). Now let w be the generic point of B and let α be the adjunction map $R^{d+1} \sigma_* \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} \rightarrow R^{d+1} i_{w*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}$. We have the following facts for the maps in (3.7.5).

- δ_3 factors, by §3.0.2, as

$$\delta_3 : R^{d+1} \sigma_* \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} R^{d+1} i_{w*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_z \partial_{w,z}^{\mathrm{val}}} \bigoplus_{z \in D_\eta} R^d i_{z*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d}.$$

- δ_4 factors as

$$\delta_4 : R^{d+1}\sigma_*\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} R^{d+1}i_{w*}\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_y \partial_{w,y}^{\text{val}}} \bigoplus_{y \in E} i_{y*} W_n \Omega_{y,\log}^d$$

by the construction of δ_U (cf. Proposition 3.5.2).

- $\delta_5 = \sum_{z \in D_\eta} \partial_{z,x}^{\text{val}}$ by the assumption of the lemma.
- $\delta_6 = -\sum_{y \in E} \partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$ by Theorem 2.6.2 and the construction of $\mathcal{M}_{n,X_s}^\bullet$, cf. §2.5.

Therefore we obtain the commutativity of (3.7.5) from a result of Kato [KCT] 1.7 for B , by noting that $B^1 = (C_\eta)^1 \amalg D_\eta \amalg E$ and that $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ is contained in the kernel of the map

$$\bigoplus_v \partial_{w,v}^{\text{val}} : R^{d+1}i_{w*}\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in (C_\eta)^1} R^d i_{v*}\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d}$$

(cf. proof of Proposition 3.5.2). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.7.4 (i), because its second claim follows with §0.5.2.

(ii) By the properness of f and Proposition 3.4.2, we have only to show the commutativity of the right square (1)'' of the following diagram, assuming that $s = \bar{s}$:

$$(3.7.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(Z_\eta, \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*} & H^1(X_\eta, \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H^1(\eta, \mathcal{E}_\eta) \\ Rf_*(\delta_Z^S) \downarrow & & (1)' \quad Rf_*(\delta_1) \downarrow & & (1)'' \quad \delta_S^{\text{val}} \downarrow \\ H^0(Z_s, \mathcal{E}_{Z_s}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*} & H^0(X_s, \mathcal{E}_{X_s}) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H^0(s, \mathcal{E}_s), \end{array}$$

where for a proper morphism g of schemes, we wrote g_* for the adjunction map $Rg_*Rg^! \rightarrow \text{id}$. The outer square of this diagram commutes, because $\delta_Z^S = R\psi_*Rf_Z^!(\delta_S^{\text{val}})$ and the composite

$$Rf_{Z*}Rf_Z^! = Rf_*R\psi_*R\psi^!Rf^! \xrightarrow{\psi_*} Rf_*Rf^! \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{id}$$

is functorial (in fact, this coincides with f_{Z*}). On the other hand, the square (1)' commutes, because δ_1 makes the square (1) in (3.7.2) commutative. Moreover, in view of the exact sequence (3.4.5), the upper horizontal arrow ψ_* in (1)' is surjective, because we have

$$H^1(U_\eta, \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta}) \cong H^{2d+1}(U_\eta, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}) = 0$$

by the assumptions that $s = \bar{s}$ and that U_η is smooth affine of dimension $d \geq 1$ (cf. Lemma 3.5.1). Therefore (1)'' is commutative, and we obtain Lemma 3.7.4 (ii). \square

3.8. Proof of Theorem 3.2.1. First consider Theorem 3.2.1 (1). By Lemma 3.3.1 and Remark 3.3.2 it suffices to show:

(#) For integral X , $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}} := Rf^!(\delta_S^{\text{val}})$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_d with $d := \dim(X_\eta)$.

We show this property by induction on $d = \dim(X_\eta)$. The case $d = 0$ is settled by Lemma 3.6.1. Now let $\dim(X_\eta) \geq 1$ and choose U and $Z = X \setminus U$ as in §3.7. Assume that $\delta_2 = R\phi_*(\delta_U)$ with δ_U as in Lemma 3.7.4. The assumption of this lemma holds because (#) holds for Z by induction assumption. Therefore there is a morphism δ_1 making (3.7.2) commutative, and this morphism is $\delta_1 = \delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$. We conclude that $\delta_U = \phi^*(\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}) =$

$\delta_U^{S\text{-val}}$. Hence $\delta_U^{S\text{-val}}$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_q (by choice of δ_U), and $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_q as well, because $(X_\eta)_d = (U_\eta)_d$ by density of U in X , and $(X_s)_d = (U_s)_d$ as noted in (3.7.1).

Theorem 3.2.1 (3) now follows from Proposition 3.5.2, because $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_d .

Theorem 3.2.1 (2) follows once more by induction on $d = \dim(X_\eta)$, the case $d = 0$ being given by Lemma 3.6.1. If $d \geq 1$ we may assume that X is reduced and then again choose U and $Z = X \setminus U$ as in §3.7. Assume that a morphism

$$\delta_1 : Rj_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow Ri_{X*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1]$$

satisfies \mathbb{K}_q for all $q \geq 0$. Then $R\psi^!(\delta_1)$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_q for all $q \geq 0$ and agrees with $\delta_Z^{S\text{-val}}$ by induction assumption. On the other hand, $\phi^*(\delta_1)$ satisfies \mathbb{K}_d and thus coincides with $\delta_U^{S\text{-val}}$ by Theorem 3.2.1 (3) just proved. The conclusion is that δ_1 makes the square (1) of (3.7.2) commutative with $\delta_Z^S = R\psi_*(\delta_Z^{S\text{-val}})$, and the square (2) of (3.7.2) commutative with $\delta_2 = R\phi_*(\delta_U^{S\text{-val}})$. Since obviously $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ makes these diagrams commutative as well, Lemma 3.7.3 implies $\delta_1 = \delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ as wanted. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2.1. \square

3.9. Dualizing complexes. We apply our results to the study of dualizing complexes as indicated in part §0.2 of the introduction. Recall the following diagram:

$$\eta \xhookrightarrow{j} S \xleftarrow{i} s.$$

Definition 3.9.1. For each integer $r \geq 1$ define

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S := \text{Cone}(\delta_S^{\text{val}} : Rj_*\mu_{p^n} \rightarrow i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1])[-1] \in D^b(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n),$$

the mapping fiber of the morphism δ_S^{val} defined in §3.2.

In general, mapping cone or fiber of a morphism in a derived category is only well-defined up to non-canonical isomorphism. However in our case it is well-defined up to a unique isomorphism, because we can apply the criterion of Lemma 0.5.3 (1). Indeed the complex $Rj_*\mu_{p^n}$ is concentrated in $[0, 1]$, $A[1] = i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1]$ is concentrated in degree 1, and δ_S induces a surjection $R^1j_*\mu_{p^n} \twoheadrightarrow i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ so that the mapping fiber B is concentrated in $[0, 1]$ as well. Therefore $\text{Hom}_{D(S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}(B, A) = 0$. (This argument should replace the reasoning in [JS] p. 497, where the criterion is misstated.)

By the above, there is a canonical exact triangle

$$(3.9.2) \quad i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n[-2] \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S \xrightarrow{t} Rj_*\mu_{p^n} \xrightarrow{\delta_S^{\text{val}}} i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1],$$

which induces canonical isomorphisms

$$(3.9.3) \quad t : j^*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S) \cong \mu_{p^n} \quad \text{and} \quad g : \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-2] \cong Ri^!(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S).$$

Now let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be separated of finite type, and define

$$\mathcal{E}_X := Rf^!\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S \in D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n).$$

Also, let $\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} = Rf_\eta^!\mu_{p^n}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{X_s} = Rf_s^!\mathbb{Z}/p^n$, as we defined at the beginning of this section. Then, by applying $Rf^!$ to the exact triangle (3.9.2) and using the base-change

isomorphisms as in (3.4.1) we get a canonical isomorphism of exact triangles

$$(3.9.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} i_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-2] & \xrightarrow{g_X} & \mathcal{E}_X & \xrightarrow{t_X} & Rj_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} & \xrightarrow{\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}} & i_{X_*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-1] \\ \parallel & & \text{(def)} \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ Rf^! i_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-2] & \xrightarrow{Rf^!(g)} & Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S & \xrightarrow{Rf^!(t)} & Rf^! Rj_* \mu_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{Rf^!(\delta_S^{\text{val}})} & Rf^! i_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1], \end{array}$$

where g_X and t_X are the adjunction maps for i_X and j_X , respectively. By Theorem 3.2.1 the morphism $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ satisfies the localization property \mathbb{K}_q for all $q \geq 0$ (i.e., is locally given by Kato's residue maps), and is determined by this property (and just by \mathbb{K}_d if X_η is smooth of dimension d). Moreover, by Lemma 3.9.6 below (see also (4.2.2) below), we see that

$$(3.9.5) \quad \delta_X^{S\text{-val}} = -\delta_{X_\eta, X_s}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E}_X).$$

Because the dualizing complex is $\mathcal{D}_{X, p^n} = \mathcal{E}_X[2]$ by definition (cf. §0.2), this equality implies the last claim in the part (iv) of §0.2. In fact, it is easy to see that the local version treated in this section can be extended to the more global situation described in the introduction.

Lemma 3.9.6. *Consider cartesian squares of schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_Z & \hookrightarrow & X & \longleftarrow & X_U \\ \downarrow & \square & f \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ Z & \hookrightarrow & Y & \longleftarrow & U, \end{array}$$

where i' is a closed immersion and j' is the open immersion of the complement $U = Y \setminus Z$. Then, for any complex of torsion sheaves $\mathcal{K} \in D^+(Y_{\text{ét}})$ the base-change isomorphisms give an identification

$$Rf^!(\delta_{U, Z}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})) = \delta_{X_U, X_Z}^{\text{loc}}(Rf^! \mathcal{K}).$$

Proof. There is a commutative diagram with distinguished rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} i_* Ri^!(Rf^! \mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & Rf^! \mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & Rj_* j^*(Rf^! \mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{-\delta_{X_U, X_Z}^{\text{loc}}(Rf^! \mathcal{K})} & Ri_* Ri^!(Rf^! \mathcal{K})[1] \\ \beta \downarrow \wr & & \parallel & & \alpha \downarrow \wr & & \beta[1] \downarrow \wr \\ Rf^!(i'_* Ri^! \mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{Rf^!(i'_*)} & Rf^! \mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{Rf^!(j'^*)} & Rf^!(Rj'_* j'^* \mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{-Rf^!(\delta_{U, Z}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K}))} & Rf^!(i'_* Ri^! \mathcal{K})[1], \end{array}$$

where the top row is the localization exact triangle (0.4.2) for $Rf^! \mathcal{K}$, the bottom row is obtained by applying $Rf^!$ to a localization exact triangle for \mathcal{K} and the arrow β is a base-change isomorphism. By adjunction, the left hand square commutes. Therefore there exists a morphism α which makes the other squares commute (see §0.5.2). By the commutativity of the middle square, α is mapped to the identity under the canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{D(X)}(Rj_* j^* Rf^! \mathcal{K}, Rf^! Rj'_* j'^* \mathcal{K}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{D(U)}(j^* Rf^! \mathcal{K}, j^* Rf^! Rj'_* j'^* \mathcal{K}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{D(U)}(j^* Rf^! \mathcal{K}, j^* Rf^! \mathcal{K}). \end{aligned}$$

But this means that α is the base-change isomorphism, and the claim follows. \square

3.10. Bloch-Ogus complexes and Kato complexes. As an application, used in [JS], we deduce the following result on Kato complexes, analogous to §1.5 and §2.11. As in [JS] 2.C we define a homology theory on all separated S -schemes $f : X \rightarrow S$ of finite type by letting

$$H_a(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)) := H^{-a}(X, Rf^!(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S)),$$

and, following the method of Bloch and Ogus, a niveau spectral sequence

$$(3.10.1) \quad E_{q,t}^1(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_q} H_{q+t}(x/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)) \Rightarrow H_{q+t}(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)),$$

where $H_a(x/S; \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1))$ is defined as the inductive limit over all $H_a(U/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1))$, for all non-empty open subschemes $V \subset \overline{\{x\}}$. Then we have

Theorem 3.10.2. (1) *For $X = X_\eta$ the spectral sequence (3.10.1) is canonically isomorphic to the spectral sequence from (1.5.5)*

$$E_{q,t}^1(X_\eta/\eta, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)) = \bigoplus_{x \in (X_\eta)_q} H_{q+t}(x/\eta, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)) \Longrightarrow H_{q+t}(X_\eta/\eta; \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)).$$

(2) *For $X = X_s$ the spectral sequence (3.10.1) is canonically isomorphic to the spectral sequence*

$$E_{q,t+2}^1(X_s/s, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) = \bigoplus_{x \in (X_s)_q} H_{q+t+2}(x/s, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \Longrightarrow H_{q+t+2}(X_s/s; \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$$

obtained from (2.11.2) after a shift in the second degree.

(3) *Let $x \in X_q \cap X_s = (X_s)_q$ and $y \in X_{q+1} \cap X_\eta = (X_\eta)_q$ with $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$. Then there are canonical purity isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{q+1+t}(y/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)) &\cong H^{q-t-1}(y, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(q+1)), \\ H_{q+t}(x/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)) &\cong H^{q-t-2}(x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(q)). \end{aligned}$$

Via these isomorphisms, the (y, x) -component

$$d_{q+1,t}^1(y, x) : H^{q-t-1}(y, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(q+1)) \rightarrow H^{q-t-2}(x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(q))$$

of the differential $d_{q+1,t}^1$ in (3.10.1) coincides with $-\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$.

(4) *The isomorphisms in (1), (2) and (3) induce isomorphisms*

$$E_{*,t}^1(X/S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(-1)) \cong C_{p^n}^{-t-2,0}(X)^{(-)}$$

between Bloch-Ogus complexes and sign-modified Kato complexes.

Proof. (1) and (2) are obvious from the isomorphisms (3.9.3). The first claim in (3) is clear from the fact that $\overline{\{y\}}$ meets X_s , and the isomorphisms then follow from (1) and (2) and the purity isomorphisms (1.5.6) and (2.11.4), respectively. For the third statement of (3) we recall that the upper exact triangle in (3.9.4) induces isomorphisms

$$t_X : j_X^* \mathcal{E}_X \cong \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad g_X : \mathcal{E}_{X_s} \cong Ri_X^! \mathcal{E}_X$$

identifying $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ with the connecting morphism $-\delta_{X_\eta, X_s}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E}_X)$, cf. (3.9.5). Since $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$ induces Kato's residue maps, we get the claim. As for (4), the compatibility $d^1(y, x) = -\partial_{y,x}^{\text{val}}$ between the differentials and Kato's residue maps follow from (1) and Theorem 1.5.8 for $y, x \in X_\eta$, and from (2) and Theorem 2.11.3 for $y, x \in X_s$. The remaining case is covered by (3). \square

Remark 3.10.3. *It is easy to see that this theorem proves the claims in [JS] 2.20 and 2.21, except that the signs needed to be corrected. The reason for this lies in the interpretation of the connecting morphism and the resulting minus sign in (0.4.2).*

3.11. Unicity of the cone. As a complement we show the following unicity result for $\mathcal{E}_X = Rf^!(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S)$. Recall the situation at the beginning of this section

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_X} & X & \xleftarrow{i_X} & X_s \\ f_\eta \downarrow & \square & f \downarrow & \square & f_s \downarrow \\ \eta & \xrightarrow{j} & S & \xleftarrow{i} & s, \end{array}$$

and the associated exact triangle, cf. (3.9.4)

$$i_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_X \longrightarrow Rj_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \xrightarrow{\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}} i_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_X[-1].$$

Theorem 3.11.1. *The object \mathcal{E}_X is uniquely determined, up to unique isomorphism, as the mapping fiber of $\delta_X^{S\text{-val}}$.*

By Lemma 0.5.3 (3) it suffices to show

$$(i) \quad \text{Hom}_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}^{-1}(i_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-2], Rj_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}) = 0.$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Hom}_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}(Rj_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}, i_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-2]) = 0.$$

(i) follows by adjunction for j_X , because $j_X^*i_{X_*} = 0$. As for (ii), since

$$\text{Hom}_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}(Rj_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}, i_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-2]) = \text{Hom}_{D(s, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)}(Rf_{s!}i_X^*Rj_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-2])$$

by adjunction for i_X and f_s , it suffices to show

Lemma 3.11.2. *$Rf_{s!}i_X^*Rj_{X_*}\mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}$ is concentrated in $[-2d, 1]$, where $d = \dim(X_\eta)$.*

We first show the following result, which may be of own interest.

Lemma 3.11.3. *Let k be a field, and let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ be separated of finite type, and let n be a positive integer which is invertible in k . Then $Rf_!Rf^!\mathbb{Z}/n(i)$ is concentrated in $[-2d, 0]$, where $d := \dim(X)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $d = \dim(X)$. We may assume that k is separably closed, that $i = 0$ and that X is reduced, and then the case $d = 0$ is clear. Choose

an affine open subset $U \subset X$ which is smooth of pure dim d and whose complement $Z := X \setminus U$ has dimension $e \leq d - 1$. We get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X & \xleftarrow{\psi} & Z \\ & \searrow f_U & \downarrow f & \swarrow f_Z & \\ & & \text{Spec}(k), & & \end{array}$$

where ϕ (resp. ψ) denotes the natural open (resp. closed) immersion, and we defined $f_U := f \circ \phi$ and $f_Z := f \circ \psi$. We note that ϕ is affine, because X is separated over k (if $V \subset X$ is affine, then $\phi^{-1}(V) = U \cap V$ is affine). There is an exact triangle

$$Rf_{!}\psi_* R\psi^! Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow Rf_{!} Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow Rf_{!} R\phi_* \phi^* Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/n \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Since U is smooth of pure dimension d , we have

$$\phi^* Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/n = Rf_U^! \mathbb{Z}/n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n(d)[2d].$$

Moreover we have $R\psi^! Rf^! = Rf_Z^!$. Therefore we can identify the above triangle with

$$(3.11.4) \quad Rf_{Z!} Rf_Z^! \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow Rf_{!} Rf^! \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow Rf_{!} R\phi_* \mathbb{Z}/n(d)[2d] \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Since $Rf_{Z!} Rf_Z^! \mathbb{Z}/n$ is concentrated in $[-2d + 2, 0]$ by induction, it is enough to show that $A := Rf_{!} R\phi_* \mathbb{Z}/n(d)[2d]$ is concentrated in $[-2d, 0]$. Obviously A is concentrated in degrees $\geq -2d$, because this holds for $\mathbb{Z}/n(d)[2d]$. On the other hand, we note that $\mathbb{Z}/n(d)[d]$ is a perverse sheaf on U ([BBD] p. 102), so that $R\phi_* \mathbb{Z}/n(d)[d]$ is perverse, because ϕ is an affine open immersion (and hence t -exact for the perverse t -structure loc. cit. 4.1.10 (i)), and that $A = Rf_{!} R\phi_* \mathbb{Z}/n(d)[d]$ is of perversity $\leq d$ (loc. cit. 4.2.4), i.e., lies in $D_c^{p \leq d}(k, \mathbb{Z}/n) = D_c^{p \leq 0}(k, \mathbb{Z}/n)[-d]$. This means that

$$A \in D_c^{p \leq 0}(k, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

Since the perverse t -structure is the classical t -structure on $\text{Spec}(k)$, we get that A is concentrated in degrees ≤ 0 . Thus we obtain Lemma 3.11.3. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.11.2. We may assume that X is reduced and the closure of X_η . Then we prove the lemma by induction on $d = \dim X_\eta$. The case $d = 0$ is easy and left to the reader. Suppose $d > 1$. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X & \xleftarrow{\psi} & Z \\ & \searrow & \downarrow f & \swarrow g & \\ & & S, & & \end{array}$$

where ϕ is an open immersion, U_η is affine, smooth over η and has pure dimension d , ψ is the closed immersion of the complement $Z = X \setminus U$ (with reduced subscheme structure), and $\dim Z_\eta \leq d - 1$. We get an exact triangle

$$(3.11.5) \quad i_X^* Rj_{X*} \psi_{\eta*} R\psi_\eta^! \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow i_X^* Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow i_X^* Rj_{X*} R\phi_{\eta*} \phi_\eta^* \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \xrightarrow{+1},$$

where we used morphisms in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
U_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_U} & U & \xleftarrow{i_U} & U_s \\
\downarrow \phi_\eta & \square & \downarrow \phi & \square & \downarrow \phi_s \\
X_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_X} & X & \xleftarrow{i_X} & X_s \\
\downarrow \psi_\eta & \square & \downarrow \psi & \square & \downarrow \psi_s \\
Z_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_Z} & Z & \xleftarrow{i_Z} & Z_s
\end{array}
\begin{array}{l}
\searrow f_s \\
\nearrow g_s
\end{array}
\rightarrow s.$$

By the proper base-change theorem for ψ we identify

$$i_X^* Rj_{X*} \psi_{\eta*} R\psi_\eta^! \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} = i_X^* \psi_* Rj_{Z*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta} = \psi_{s*} i_Z^* Rj_{Z*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta}.$$

Because ϕ is étale and U_η is smooth of pure dimension d , we have

$$R\phi_{\eta*} \phi_\eta^* \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} = R\phi_{\eta*} \mathcal{E}_{U_\eta} = R\phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[2d].$$

Therefore triangle (3.11.5), after application of $Rf_{s!}$, leads to an exact triangle

$$Rg_{s!} i_Z^* Rj_{Z*} \mathcal{E}_{Z_\eta} \longrightarrow Rf_{s!} i_X^* Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \longrightarrow Rf_{s!} i_X^* Rj_{X*} R\phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[2d] \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Since the first term is concentrated in $[-2d + 2, 1]$ by induction, it suffices to show that

$$(3.11.6) \quad A := Rf_{s!} i_X^* Rj_{X*} R\phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[2d]$$

is concentrated in $[-2d, 1]$. It is clearly concentrated in degrees $\geq -2d$, because this holds for $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[2d]$. We prove that A is concentrated in degrees ≤ 1 in what follows. By the proof of Lemma 3.11.3, $R\phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[d]$ is a perverse sheaf, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}^q := \mathcal{H}^q(R\phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[d]) = R^{q+d} \phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}$$

has support in dimension $\leq -q$. In particular, it is non-zero only for $-d \leq q \leq 0$. We will prove

Claim. The sheaf $i_X^ R^m j_{X*} \mathcal{P}^q$ is zero for $m + q > 1$.*

We see that $i_X^* Rj_{X*} R\phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[d]$ is concentrated in degrees ≤ 1 by the claim and the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{a,b} = i_X^* R^a j_{X*} \mathcal{P}^b \implies \mathcal{H}^{a+b}(i_X^* Rj_{X*} R\phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[d]).$$

Moreover since $\dim X_s \leq d$ (see the beginning of proof of Lemma 3.11.2) and $\text{ch}(s) = p$, we see that

$$A[d] = Rf_{s!} i_X^* Rj_{X*} R\phi_{\eta*} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes d+1}[d]$$

is concentrated in degrees $\leq d + 1$, so that A is concentrated in degrees ≤ 1 . Thus it remains to show the above claim. By the remark before the claim, it suffices to prove

Lemma 3.11.7. *If \mathcal{F} is an étale sheaf on X_η with $\dim(\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}) \leq b$, then we have*

$$\dim(\text{Supp } i_X^* R^m j_{X*} \mathcal{F}) \leq b \quad \text{for } m \geq 0,$$

and $i_X^* R^m j_{X*} \mathcal{F} = 0$ for $m > b + 1$.

Proof. By assumption, there is a closed subset $V \xrightarrow{\iota} X_\eta$ of dimension $\leq b$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \iota_* \mathcal{G}$ with $\mathcal{G} = \iota^* \mathcal{F}$. Let $Y = \overline{V}$, the closure of V in X endowed with the reduced subscheme structure. Then $V = Y_\eta$, and Y_s has dimension $\leq b$. We get cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_Y} & Y & \xleftarrow{i_Y} & Y_s \\ \downarrow \iota = \kappa_\eta & \square & \downarrow \kappa & \square & \downarrow \kappa_s \\ X_\eta & \xrightarrow{j_X} & X & \xleftarrow{i_X} & X_s \end{array}$$

with κ, κ_η and κ_s being closed immersions. Since $\mathcal{F} = \kappa_{\eta*} \mathcal{G}$, we get

$$i_X^* R^a j_{X*} \mathcal{F} = i_X^* R^a j_{X*} \kappa_{\eta*} \mathcal{G} = i_X^* \kappa_* R^a j_{Y*} \mathcal{G} = \kappa_{s*} i_Y^* R^a j_{Y*} \mathcal{G},$$

where the last equality is a base-change isomorphism. This shows that $i_X^* R^m j_{X*} \mathcal{F}$ has support in Y_s , i.e., in dimension $\leq b$. Finally, since $R^m j_{Y*} \mathcal{G} = 0$ for $m > b + 1$ by Lemma 3.5.1, we have $i_X^* R^m j_{X*} \mathcal{F} = \kappa_{s*} i_Y^* R^m j_{Y*} \mathcal{G} = 0$ for $m > b + 1$. \square

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.11.2 and Theorem 3.11.1. \square

By the above results, we obtain the following bounds for $\mathcal{E}_X = Rf^!(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S)$.

Corollary 3.11.8. *Put $d := \max(\dim X_\eta, \dim X_s)$. Then:*

- (1) $i^* Rf_! \mathcal{E}_X$ is concentrated in $[-2d, 2]$.
- (2) $j^* Rf_! \mathcal{E}_X$ is concentrated in $[-2d, 0]$.

In particular, $Rf_! \mathcal{E}_X$ is concentrated in $[-2d, 2]$.

Proof. (1) Consider the exact triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} i^* Rf_! i_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-2] & \longrightarrow & i^* Rf_! \mathcal{E}_X & \longrightarrow & i^* Rf_! Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} \xrightarrow{+1} \cdot \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ A & & B & & C \end{array}$$

Here

$$A = Rf_{s!} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}[-2] \quad \text{and} \quad C = Rf_{s!} i_X^* Rj_{X*} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta}$$

by the proper base-change theorem. Since C is concentrated in $[-2d, 1]$ by Lemma 3.11.2, it is enough to show that A is concentrated in $[-d+2, 2]$. Since $\mathcal{E}_{X_s} \cong \mathcal{M}_{n, X_s}$ by Theorem 2.6.2, the complex \mathcal{E}_{X_s} is concentrated in $[-d, 0]$ and any non-zero section of $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{E}_{X_s})$ has support of dimension $\leq -q$. This implies that

$$R^m f_{s!} \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{E}_{X_s}) = 0 \quad \text{for } m + q > 0.$$

Indeed, $Rf_{s!}$ commutes with inductive limits of sheaves, and for any separated of finite type morphism $g : Z \rightarrow s$ with $\dim(Z) = e$ and any p -primary torsion sheaf \mathcal{F} on $Z_{\text{ét}}$, the complex $Rg_! \mathcal{F}$ is concentrated in $[0, e]$. Therefore $Rf_{s!} \mathcal{E}_{X_s}$ is concentrated in $[-d, 0]$, and A is concentrated in $[-d+2, 2]$.

(2) Since

$$j^* Rf_! \mathcal{E}_X = Rf_{\eta!} \mathcal{E}_{X_\eta} = Rf_{\eta!} Rf_\eta^! \mu_{p^n},$$

the assertion follows from Lemma 3.11.3. \square

4. DUALITY FOR ARITHMETIC SCHEMES

The aim of this section is to prove a general duality for constructible sheaves on separated schemes of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . The main result of this section will be stated in §4.4 below. We begin by reviewing the Artin-Verdier duality for number fields (cf. [AV], [Ma], [Mi2] II.2–3).

4.1. Artin-Verdier duality. Let k be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{o}_k , and let $S = \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$. For an étale sheaf or a complex of étale sheaves \mathcal{F} on S let $H_c^m(S, \mathcal{F})$ be its étale cohomology group with compact support (see e.g., [Mi2] II.2, [KCT] §3 for generalities). Let $\mathbb{G}_m := \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ be the sheaf on $S_{\text{ét}}$ given by the multiplicative group. By global class field theory, we have

$$(4.1.1) \quad H_c^m(S, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & (m = 3) \\ 0 & (m = 2 \text{ or } m \geq 4). \end{cases}$$

We normalize the isomorphism for $m = 3$ as follows. For a closed point y of S , let G_y be the absolute Galois group of $\kappa(y)$, and let

$$\text{tr}_{y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} : H^1(y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

be its trace map, i.e., the unique homomorphism that evaluates a continuous character $\chi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G_y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ at the arithmetic Frobenius substitution $\varphi_y \in G_y$. Then for any closed point $i_y : y \hookrightarrow S$ of S the composition

$$H^1(y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(y, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{i_y, \mathbb{G}_m}} H_c^3(B, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{(4.1.1)} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

coincides with $\text{tr}_{y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\text{Gys}_{i_y, \mathbb{G}_m}$ denotes the Gysin map $\mathbb{Z}[-1] \rightarrow Ri_y^! \mathbb{G}_m$ defined in [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle 2.1.1 (see also Proposition 4.2.1 (1) below), and the map δ is the connecting homomorphism associated with the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The Artin-Verdier duality shows that for an integer m and a constructible sheaf \mathcal{F} on $S_{\text{ét}}$, the pairing

$$(4.1.2) \quad H_c^m(S, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Ext}_S^{3-m}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H_c^3(S, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{(4.1.1)} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

induced by Yoneda pairing is a non-degenerate pairing of finite groups. The first step for a higher-dimensional duality is to replace \mathbb{G}_m by the object

$$(4.1.3) \quad \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S := \bigoplus_p \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S \in D^b(S_{\text{ét}}),$$

where p runs through all rational prime numbers and n runs through all natural numbers. See Definition 3.9.1 for $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S$, and note that for $r \geq n$ there is a unique transition map $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)'_S$ induced by the natural inclusion $\mu_{p^n} \hookrightarrow \mu_{p^r}$ on $S[p^{-1}]$, cf. Lemma 0.5.3 (1). We will explain a version of Artin-Verdier duality using $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S$ in §4.3 below. Our main result on the higher-dimensional duality will be stated in §4.4 below.

4.2. **Kummer theory.** We discuss the Kummer theory for $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let p be a prime number and let n be positive integer. Let i be the closed immersion $Y := S \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow S$, and let j be the open immersion of the complement $U := S[p^{-1}] \hookrightarrow S$. Finally let $\mathcal{G}_{p^n} := R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Then:*

- (1) *There is a canonical isomorphism $\beta_U : \mu_{p^n} \xrightarrow{\sim} j^*\mathcal{G}_{p^n}$ on $U_{\text{ét}}$.*
- (2) *For any closed subscheme $i_Z : Z \hookrightarrow S$ of codimension 1 there are canonical Gysin isomorphisms on $Z_{\text{ét}}$*

$$\text{Gys}_{i_Z, \mathbb{G}_m} : \mathbb{Z}[-1] \xrightarrow{\sim} Ri_Z^! \mathbb{G}_m \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Gys}_{i_Z, p^n} : \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-2] \xrightarrow{\sim} Ri_Z^! \mathcal{G}_{p^n}.$$

- (3) *There is a unique isomorphism $\beta : \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{p^n}$ completing the following diagram to an isomorphism of distinguished triangles*

$$(4.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n[-2] & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S & \xrightarrow{t} & Rj_*\mu_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{\delta_S^{\text{val}}} & i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1] \\ i_*(\text{Gys}_{i, p^n}) \downarrow \wr & & \beta \downarrow \wr & & Rj_*(\beta_U) \downarrow \wr & & i_*(\text{Gys}_{i, p^n})[1] \downarrow \wr \\ i_* Ri^! \mathcal{G}_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{G}_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & Rj_* j^* \mathcal{G}_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{-\delta^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}_{p^n})} & i_* Ri^! \mathcal{G}_{p^n}[1]. \end{array}$$

Here the top triangle comes from the definition of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S$, and the bottom triangle from the localization sequence (0.4.2).

- (4) *There is a canonical distinguished triangle (in $D^b(S_{\text{ét}})$)*

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\times p^n} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S[1].$$

Proof. (1) The exact sequence of sheaves $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times p^n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n \rightarrow 0$ induces a canonical distinguished triangle

$$(4.2.3) \quad \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times p^n} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tr}}} \mathbb{Z}[1].$$

Applying the exact functor $R\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathbb{G}_m)$, we get a canonical distinguished triangle

$$(4.2.4) \quad \mathcal{G}_{p^n} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\times p^n} \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}}} \mathcal{G}_{p^n}[1],$$

where $\iota := R\mathcal{H}om(\text{can}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}} := R\mathcal{H}om(\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tr}}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1]$. On the other hand, since p is invertible on U , there is an exact sequence

$$(4.2.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,U} \xrightarrow{\times p^n} \mathbb{G}_{m,U} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This gives canonical isomorphisms $j^*\mathcal{G}_{p^n} = R\mathcal{H}om_U(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{G}_{m,U}) \cong \mu_{p^n}$ as claimed.

- (2) First one notes that

$$R^m i_Z^! \mathbb{G}_m \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (m = 1) \\ 0 & (m \neq 1) \end{cases}$$

(cf. e.g., [Mi2] p. 185, bottom). Therefore

$$\text{Hom}_Z(\mathbb{Z}[-1], Ri_Z^! \mathbb{G}_m) = H_Z^1(S, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \bigoplus_{z \in Z} \mathbb{Z},$$

and to get a canonical isomorphism $\text{Gys}_{i_Z, \mathbb{G}_m}$ it suffices to replace Z by a point $z \in Z$ and to find a canonical generator of $H_z^1(S, \mathbb{G}_m)$. This is done by the localization sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{S,z}^\times \longrightarrow k^\times \xrightarrow{\delta} H_z^1(S, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^1(k, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$$

for the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,z}$. Now we take $\delta(\pi)$ as a generator for any prime element π of $\mathcal{O}_{S,z}$.

As for the second Gysin isomorphism in (2), consider a diagram on $Z_{\text{ét}}$

$$(4.2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z}[-1] & \xrightarrow{\times p^n} & \mathbb{Z}[-1] & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1] & \xrightarrow{-\delta_Z^{\text{tr}}[-1]} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{Gys} \downarrow \wr & & (*) & \text{Gys} \downarrow \wr & \beta_Z \downarrow \dots & & \text{Gys}[1] \downarrow \wr \\ Ri_Z^! \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\times p^n} & Ri_Z^! \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{-Ri_Z^!(\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}})} & Ri_Z^! \mathcal{G}_{p^n}[1] & \xrightarrow{Ri_Z^!(\iota)[1]} & Ri_Z^! \mathbb{G}_m, \end{array}$$

where Gys denotes $\text{Gys}_{i_Z, \mathbb{G}_m}$. The top sequence is a distinguished triangle by (4.2.3) and the rule recalled in §0.5.1. The bottom distinguished triangle is obtained by applying $Ri^!$ to (4.2.4) and shifting suitably. Now the commutativity of the square $(*)$ implies the existence of a morphism β_Z making the diagram commutative (cf. §0.5.2), which then necessarily is an isomorphism. Moreover, since

$$\text{Hom}_{D(S_{\text{ét}})}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[-1], Ri_Z^! \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \text{Hom}_{D(S_{\text{ét}})}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Z}[-1]) = 0 \quad (\text{cf. §0.5.4 (2)}),$$

such β_Z is unique by Lemma 0.5.3 (1). So $\text{Gys}_{i_Z, p^n} := \beta_Z$ gives the desired canonical isomorphism.

(The sign -1 on $Ri_Z^!(\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}})$ is motivated by the fact that the restriction $(-\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}})|_U$ is the connecting morphism $\mathbb{G}_{m,U} \rightarrow \mu_{p^n}[1]$ associated with the short exact sequence (4.2.5), which appears in the definition of Deligne's cycle class [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Cycle. In particular, by our choice, Gys_{i_Z, p^n} agrees with the Gysin morphism in §1.1 when Z is contained in U .)

(3) Since $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S$ is concentrated in $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{D(S_{\text{ét}})}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S, i_* Ri^! \mathcal{G}_{p^n}) \cong \text{Hom}_{D(S_{\text{ét}})}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S, i_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n[-2]) = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 0.5.3 (1) and the fact that β_U and Gys_{i, p^n} are isomorphisms, our task is to show that the right hand square of (4.2.2) is commutative, which we prove in what follows. There is a commutative diagram of distinguished triangles

$$(4.2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} i_* Ri^! \mathcal{G}_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{G}_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & Rj_* j^* \mathcal{G}_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{-\delta^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}_{p^n})} & \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \\ i_* Ri^! \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{j^*} & Rj_* j^* \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{-\delta^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{G}_m)} & \\ \times p^n \downarrow & & \times p^n \downarrow & & \times p^n \downarrow & & \\ i_* Ri^! \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{j^*} & Rj_* j^* \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{-\delta^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{G}_m)} & \\ \delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}} \downarrow & & \delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}} \downarrow & & \delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}} \downarrow & & \end{array},$$

where the columns are the distinguished triangles coming from (4.2.4), and the rows are localization triangles. We now obtain the following diagram of sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R^1 j_* \mu_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{\delta_S^{\text{val}}} & i_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n \\
 \beta_U \searrow & & \swarrow \text{Gys}_{i,p^n} \\
 R^1 j_* \mathcal{G}_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{\delta^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}_{p^n})} & i^* R^2 i^! \mathcal{G}_{p^n} \\
 \uparrow -\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}} & (c) & \uparrow -\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}} \\
 j_* j^* \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\delta^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{G}_m)} & i_* R^1 i^! \mathbb{G}_m \\
 \uparrow -\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}} & (e) & \swarrow \text{Gys}_{i,\mathbb{G}_m} \\
 j_* \mathbb{G}_{m,U} & \xrightarrow{\text{ord}} & i_* \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

(a) (b) (c) (d) (e)

The middle square (c) with the four δ 's comes from diagram (4.2.7) and anti-commutes, because δ^{loc} is functorial for the morphism $\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{tr}} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{p^n}[1]$ and $\delta^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}_{p^n}[1]) = -\delta^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G}_{p^n})[1]$, cf. (0.4.1). The top arrow δ_S^{val} is induced by residue maps, so the outer square of the diagram commutes by the remark after the proof of (2). The diagram (b) commutes by the definition of β_U , and the diagram (d) commutes by the definition of Gys_{i,p^n} , i.e., by the commutativity of the diagram (4.2.6). The bottom arrow is induced by the normalized discrete valuations for the points $y \in Y$, and the diagram (e) commutes by the definition of the Gysin map $\text{Gys}_{i,\mathbb{G}_m}$. Consequently the diagram (a) anti-commutes, and the right hand square of (4.2.2) commutes by §0.5.4 (1). Thus we obtain (3).

Finally (4) follows from (4.2.4) and the isomorphism β in (3) by letting $\gamma := \iota \circ \beta$. \square

4.3. Artin-Verdier duality revisited. We formulate a version of Artin-Verdier duality using $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S$ defined in (4.1.3). Let p be a prime number and let n be a positive integer. There is a commutative diagram of canonical morphisms in $D^b(S_{\text{ét}})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathbb{G}_m \\
 \alpha_0 \downarrow & \nearrow \tau_0 & \\
 \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S & &
 \end{array}$$

where γ is given in Proposition 4.2.1 (4), and τ_0 is the inductive limit of γ on $r \geq 1$ and primes p . Concerning these morphisms, we prepare the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3.1. *The map α_0 induces an isomorphism*

$$\alpha : \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S \xrightarrow{\sim} R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S) \quad \text{in } D^+(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n),$$

which fits into a commutative diagram in $D^+(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta} & R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{G}_m) \\
 \alpha \downarrow \wr & \nearrow \tau & \\
 R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S) & &
 \end{array}$$

Here β denotes the isomorphism given in Proposition 4.2.1 (3), and τ is induced by τ_0 . In particular, τ is an isomorphism as well.

Proof. We define α as the composite of canonical morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S &= R\mathcal{H}om_{S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S) \\ &\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S). \end{aligned}$$

By this definition, the diagram in the lemma commutes obviously. We show that α is an isomorphism. Put

$$\mathcal{H}_{p^n} := R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S)$$

for simplicity. Applying $R\mathcal{H}om_S(\bullet, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S)$ to the short exact sequence (4.2.3), we get a canonical distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{H}_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S \xrightarrow{\times p^n} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)}} \mathcal{H}_{p^n}[1].$$

Applying $R\mathcal{H}om_S(\bullet, \mathbb{G}_m)$ to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^t \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+t} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n \rightarrow 0$, we get another canonical distinguished triangle from Proposition 4.2.1 (3)

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+t}(1)'_S \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^t(1)'_S \xrightarrow{\delta_{t,n}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S[1].$$

These triangles form a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+t}(1)'_S & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p^t(1)'_S & \xrightarrow{\delta_{t,n}} & \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S[1] \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha_0 \text{ for } r+t \downarrow & & \alpha_0 \text{ for } t \downarrow & & \alpha[1] \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_{p^n} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S & \xrightarrow{\times p^n} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)}} & \mathcal{H}_{p^n}[1]. \end{array}$$

Therefore we see that α is an isomorphism by taking the inductive limit on $t \geq 1$ of the upper row. \square

The next result replaces \mathbb{G}_m by $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S$ in the duality for constructible torsion sheaves.

Proposition 4.3.2. *For $\mathcal{L} \in D^-(S_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$, there is a commutative diagram of functorial isomorphisms in $D^+(S_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\mathcal{H}om_{S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n}(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} & R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \alpha_{0*} \parallel & \nearrow \tau_{0*} & \\ R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S) & & \end{array}$$

Moreover, τ_0 induces a functorial isomorphism

$$R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S) = R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

for $\mathcal{L} \in D^-(S_{\acute{e}t})$ with constructible torsion cohomology sheaves.

Proof. Let f be the natural embedding functor of sheaves: $\mathcal{S}(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(S_{\text{ét}})$. Then the functor $\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, ?) : \mathcal{S}(S_{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ preserves injective objects, because its left adjoint f is exact. Hence we have

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n}(\bullet, R\mathcal{H}om_S(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, ?)) = R\mathcal{H}om_S(\bullet, ?)$$

as bifunctors from $D^-(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\text{op}} \times D^+(S_{\text{ét}})$ to $D^+(S_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ by [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Catégories Dérivées II.1.2.3 (3). The first assertion follows from this fact and Lemma 4.3.1. To show the second assertion, we may assume that \mathcal{L} is a constructible torsion sheaf by a standard argument using spectral sequences. Then by the constructibility, we may further assume that \mathcal{L} is annihilated by some positive integer, which reduces the problem to the first assertion by considering its p -primary components for each prime p . \square

This result implies the following variant of Artin-Verdier duality.

Corollary 4.3.3. (1) *There is a canonical trace isomorphism*

$$\text{tr}_S : H_c^3(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) *For $\mathcal{L} \in D^b(S_{\text{ét}})$ with constructible torsion cohomology sheaves, the pairing*

$$H_c^m(S, \mathcal{L}) \times \text{Ext}_S^{3-m}(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S) \longrightarrow H_c^3(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_S} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

induced by Yoneda pairing is a non-degenerate pairing of finite groups.

Proof. By Proposition 4.2.1 (4), we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\longrightarrow H_c^m(S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S) \longrightarrow H_c^m(S, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\times p^n} H_c^m(S, \mathbb{G}_m) \\ &\longrightarrow H_c^{m+1}(S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1.1) and this exact sequence, we obtain $H_c^m(S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S) = 0$ for $m \geq 4$ and a trace isomorphism

$$\text{tr}_{S, p^n} : H_c^3(S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/p^n.$$

We get the trace isomorphism in (1) by passing to the limit on $r \geq 1$ and then taking the direct sum on p .

The claim (2) follows from the non-degeneracy of (4.1.2) and Proposition 4.3.2. \square

4.4. Higher-dimensional duality. Now let X be a separated scheme of finite type over $S := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, with structural morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}_S := \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S[2] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_X := Rf^! \mathcal{D}_S \quad (\text{cf. §0.2}).$$

For $\mathcal{L} \in D^+(X_{\text{ét}})$, we define the m -th étale cohomology group *with compact support* as

$$H_c^m(X, \mathcal{L}) := H_c^m(S, Rf_! \mathcal{L}),$$

where $H_c^m(S, \bullet)$ denotes the étale cohomology group with compact support of S as recalled before. The main result of this section is the following duality (see also [Dn], [Sp]):

Theorem 4.4.1. (1) *There is a canonical trace map*

$$\text{tr}_X : H_c^1(X, \mathcal{D}_X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) For $\mathcal{L} \in D^b(X_{\acute{e}t})$ with constructible torsion cohomology sheaves, the pairing

$$H_c^m(X, \mathcal{L}) \times \text{Ext}_X^{1-m}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_X) \longrightarrow H_c^1(X, \mathcal{D}_X) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_X} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

induced by Yoneda pairing is a non-degenerate pairing of finite groups.

Proof. (1) Let $\text{tr}_f : Rf_! \mathcal{D}_X = Rf_! Rf^! \mathcal{D}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_S$ be the canonical trace map, i.e., the adjunction morphism for the adjunction between $Rf^!$ and $Rf_!$ ([SGA4] XVIII.3.1.4). We then define the trace map tr_X as the composite

$$\text{tr}_X : H_c^1(X, \mathcal{D}_X) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_f} H_c^1(S, \mathcal{D}_S) = H_c^3(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)'_S) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_S} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) There is a commutative diagram of Yoneda pairings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_c^m(X, \mathcal{L}) \times \text{Ext}_X^{1-m}(\mathcal{L}, Rf^! \mathcal{D}_S) & \longrightarrow & H_c^1(X, Rf^! \mathcal{D}_S) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \downarrow \text{tr}_f \\ H_c^m(B, Rf_! \mathcal{L}) \times \text{Ext}_S^{1-m}(Rf_! \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_S) & \longrightarrow & H_c^1(S, \mathcal{D}_S), \end{array}$$

and the result follows from Corollary 4.3.3. □

APPENDIX A. TRACE MAPS FOR LOGARITHMIC HODGE-WITT COHOMOLOGY

For the definition of the Kato complexes one needs corestriction maps

$$(A.0.1) \quad \text{Cor}_{L/K} : H^j(L, W_n \Omega_{L, \log}^r) \longrightarrow H^j(K, W_n \Omega_{K, \log}^r)$$

for logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology and finite extensions L/K of fields of characteristic $p > 0$ (cf. §0.6 or [KCT]). These are not defined explicitly in [KCT], but Kato constructed such maps in earlier papers and referred to results in these papers. In this appendix we discuss Kato's construction and some alternative descriptions used in the main body of the paper (cf. Lemmas A.1.1, A.2.6 and Corollary A.2.8 below). Recall that the groups above are non-zero only for $j = 0, 1$.

A.1. The case $j = 0$. First we consider the case $j = 0$. Here the definition (0.6.3) works for arbitrary extensions L/K . But in the following situation this corestriction map coincides with Gros' Gysin maps.

Lemma A.1.1. *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. For a finite extension L/K of finitely generated fields over k , the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_r^M(L)/p^n & \xrightarrow{N_{L/K}} & K_r^M(K)/p^n \\ \downarrow h^r = d\log & & \downarrow h^r = d\log \\ H^0(z, W_n \Omega_{z, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_f} & H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r), \end{array}$$

where $z := \text{Spec}(L)$, $x := \text{Spec}(K)$ and Gys_f denotes Gros' Gysin map for the finite morphism $f : z \rightarrow x$, cf. §2.1. The vertical arrows are the differential symbol maps, and $N_{L/K}$ denotes the norm map of Milnor K -groups. In other words, the corestriction map $\text{Cor}_{L/K}$ of (0.6.3) coincides with Gys_f .

This property was first shown by Shiho under the assumption $r = [K : K^p]$ (unpublished). Later he gave a proof for general r but under the assumption $n = 1$ ([Sh] p. 624 Claim 2). We include a simplified proof of Lemma A.1.1 to extend his result to general r and n , which will be useful in §A.2 below.

Proof. By the transitivity properties of Gros' Gysin maps (cf. (P2) in §2.1) and the norm maps ([Ka1] p.626 Proposition 5), we may suppose that L/K is a simple extension, i.e., $L = K(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in L$. Fix an K -rational point ∞ on $\mathbb{P}^1 := \mathbb{P}_K^1$ and an affine coordinate t on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$. We regard $z = \text{Spec}(L)$ as the closed point on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ corresponding to the minimal polynomial (in t) of α over K . By a result of Bass and Tate [BT] p. 379 (7), there is an exact sequence

$$(A.1.2) \quad K_{r+1}^M(K(t)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} K_r^M(\kappa(v)) \xrightarrow{N} K_r^M(K) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where N denotes the sum of the norm maps $(N_{v/x})_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0}$ of Milnor K -groups. This sequence yields the upper exact row in the following commutative diagram:

$$(A.1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_{r+1}^M(K(t))/p^n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} K_r^M(\kappa(v))/p^n & \xrightarrow{N} & K_r^M(K)/p^n \\ \downarrow d\log & & \downarrow d\log & & \\ H^0(\eta, W_n \Omega_{\eta, \log}^{r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} H^0(v, W_n \Omega_{v, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{G} & H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r). \end{array}$$

Here we put $\eta := \text{Spec}(K(t))$, and ∂' is induced by $(\partial_{\eta, v}^{\text{val}})_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0}$. The square commutes by the definition of $\partial_{\eta, v}^{\text{val}}$'s. The arrow G denotes the sum of the maps $(G_v)_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0}$, where G_v is Gros' Gysin map for the morphism $v \rightarrow x$. We will show

Claim. *The lower row of (A.1.3) is a complex.*

This claim implies that the above commutative square induces a map

$$K_r^M(K)/p^n \longrightarrow H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r).$$

Because the ∞ -components of N and G are identity maps, this induced map must be $d\log$. In particular, $N_{z/x}$ commutes with $G_z = \text{Gys}_f$ via the $d\log$ maps. Therefore it remains to show the claim.

Proof of Claim. Let $g : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow x$ be the structure map, and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(\eta, W_n \Omega_{\eta, \log}^{r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} H^0(v, W_n \Omega_{v, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{G} & H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow G' & & \uparrow \text{Gys}_g \\ H^0(\eta, W_n \Omega_{\eta, \log}^{r+1}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, W_n \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1, \log}^{r+1}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, W_n \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1, \log}^{r+1}), \end{array}$$

where G' is induced by the Gros' Gysin maps and the lower row is the localization exact sequence. By the results in §2.2, which does not use this lemma, the left square commutes up to a sign. The right square commutes by the transitivity of Gros' Gysin maps ((P2) in §2.1). Hence the upper row is a complex. \square

This completes the proof of Lemma A.1.1. \square

A.2. The case $j = 1$. Now we consider the case $j = 1$ of (A.0.1). Kato again used a symbol map to define a corestriction map in this case for an arbitrary finite field extension L/K of fields of characteristic p . Recall that one has an exact sequence of étale sheaves on $x = \text{Spec}(K)$

$$0 \longrightarrow W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r \longrightarrow W_n \Omega_x^r \xrightarrow{1-F} W_n \Omega_x^r / dV^{n-1} \Omega_x^{r-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where F denotes the Frobenius operator and V denotes the Verschiebung operator. We get an associated 'long' exact cohomology sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r) \rightarrow W_n \Omega_K^r \xrightarrow{1-F} W_n \Omega_K^r / dV^{n-1} \Omega_K^{r-1} \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r) \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces an isomorphism

$$(A.2.1) \quad \text{Coker}(W_n \Omega_K^r \xrightarrow{1-F} W_n \Omega_K^r / dV^{n-1} \Omega_K^{r-1}) \cong H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r).$$

We adapt the definitions in [Ka1] (where a discrete valuation field with residue field K was treated) to directly define a symbol map for $H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r)$.

Definition A.2.2 ([Ka1]). *Define the group $P_n^r(K)$ as*

$$P_n^r(K) := W_n(K) \otimes (K^\times)^{\otimes r} / J,$$

where J is the subgroup of $W_n(K) \otimes (K^\times)^{\otimes r}$ generated by elements of the following forms:

- (i) $\overbrace{(0, \dots, 0, a, 0, \dots, 0)}^{i \text{ times}} \otimes a \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{r-1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq r-1$, $a, b_1, \dots, b_{r-1} \in K^\times$).
- (ii) $(F(w) - w) \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_r$ ($w \in W_n(K)$, $b_1, \dots, b_r \in K^\times$). Here F denotes the Frobenius operator on $W_n(K)$.
- (iii) $w \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_r$ ($w \in W_n(K)$, $b_1, \dots, b_r \in K^\times$ with $b_i = b_j$ for some $i \neq j$).

We will construct a map $h^r : P_n^r(K) \rightarrow H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r)$, and show that it is bijective. First of all, there is a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} g^r : W_n(K) \otimes (K^\times)^{\otimes r} &\longrightarrow W_n \Omega_K^r / dV^{n-1} \Omega_K^{r-1} \\ w \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_r &\longmapsto w \, d\log(\underline{b}_1) \cdot \dots \cdot d\log(\underline{b}_r) \pmod{dV^{n-1} \Omega_K^{r-1}} \end{aligned}$$

($w \in W_n(K)$, $b_1, \dots, b_r \in K^\times$). For $a \in K$, we wrote $\underline{a} \in W_n(K)$ for its Teichmüller representative. This map g^r annihilates the elements of J of the form (iii).

Lemma A.2.3. *Let ω be an element of J of the form (i) or (ii). Then $g^r(\omega)$ is contained in the image of $1 - F$.*

Proof. The assertion is obvious for ω of the form (ii). We show the case that ω is of the form (i). Let a, b_1, \dots, b_{r-1} be elements of K^\times and let i be an integer with $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i &:= (V^i \underline{a}) \, d\log(\underline{a}) \, d\log(\underline{b}_1) \cdot \dots \cdot d\log(\underline{b}_{r-1}) \in W_n \Omega_K^r, \\ \tau_i &:= d\underline{a} \, d\log(\underline{b}_1) \cdot \dots \cdot d\log(\underline{b}_{r-1}) \in W_{n-i} \Omega_K^r. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove

Claim. We have $\omega_i = V^i \tau_i$ in $W_n \Omega_K^r$.

We first finish the proof of Lemma A.2.3, admitting this claim. By the proof of [III] I.3.26, τ_i is contained in the image of $1 - F : W_{n-i+1} \Omega_K^r \rightarrow W_{n-i} \Omega_K^r$. Hence ω_i is contained in the image of $1 - F : W_{n+1} \Omega_K^r \rightarrow W_n \Omega_K^r$ by the claim and the equality $VF = FV$. The lemma immediately follows from this fact. Thus it remains to show the claim.

Proof of Claim. Since $F \, d\log(b) = d\log(b)$ ($b \in K^\times$) and

$$Vx \cdot y = V(x \cdot Fy) \quad (x \in W_{n-1} \Omega_K^r, y \in W_n \Omega_K^r)$$

by [III] I.2.18.4, we have only to show the equality

$$(V^i \underline{a}) d\log(\underline{a}) = V^i d\underline{a} \quad \text{in } W_n \Omega_K^1.$$

The case $i = 0$ is clear. The case $i = 1$ follows from the equalities

$$(V \underline{a}) d\log(\underline{a}) = (V \underline{a}^{1-p}) d\underline{a} \stackrel{(V3)}{=} V(\underline{a}^{p-1} \cdot \underline{a}^{1-p}) dV \underline{a} = (V \underline{1}) dV \underline{a} \stackrel{(V2)}{=} V d\underline{a},$$

where the first equality follows from loc. cit. 0.1.1.9, and the equalities (V2) and (V3) mean those in loc. cit. I.1.1. Finally for $i \geq 2$, we have

$$(V^i \underline{a}) d\log(\underline{a}) = (V^{i-1}(V \underline{a})) d\log(\underline{a}) = V^{i-1}((V \underline{a}) d\log(\underline{a})) = V^{i-1}(V d\underline{a}) = V^i d\underline{a}.$$

This completes the proof of the claim and Lemma A.2.3. \square

By the above, we get an induced map

$$g^r : P_n^r(K) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(W_n \Omega_K^r \xrightarrow{1-F} W_n \Omega_K^r / dV^{n-1} \Omega_K^{r-1}),$$

and, by composition with the isomorphism (A.2.1), the wanted symbol map

$$h^r : P_n^r(K) \rightarrow H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^r).$$

Proposition A.2.4. *h^r is bijective.*

Proof. The case $n = 1$ follows from [Ka1] p. 616 Corollary. For the case $n \geq 2$, consider the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_{n-1}^r(K) & \longrightarrow & P_n^r(K) & \longrightarrow & P_1^r(K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow h^r & & \downarrow h^r & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(x, W_{n-1} \Omega_{x,\log}^r) & \longrightarrow & H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x,\log}^r) & \longrightarrow & H^1(x, \Omega_{x,\log}^r) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where we put $x := \text{Spec}(K)$. The exactness of the lower row follows from [CTSS] p. 779 Lemma 3 and the Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem [BK] 2.1. The exactness of the upper row is obtained from the natural isomorphisms

$$P_n^r(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^i \cong P_i^r(K) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Therefore the map h^r is bijective by induction on $n \geq 1$. \square

Now we come to the corestriction map defined by Kato. In [Ka1] p. 637 Corollary 4, it is shown that there is an exact sequence

$$C_n^r(K) \longrightarrow C_n^r(K) / \{C_n^{r-1}(K), T\} \longrightarrow P_n^r(K) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $C_n^r(K)$ is a group defined in terms of the group $T\widehat{C}K_{r+1}(K)$ considered by Bloch [B] and T is an indeterminate used in defining $T\widehat{C}K_{r+1}(K)$. See [Ka1] p. 636 for the precise definition of $C_n^r(K)$. By this exact sequence $P_n^r(K)$ is expressed by algebraic K -groups, and in loc. cit. p. 637 Proposition 3 (1), (2) and p. 658, Kato defined a transfer map

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K} : P_n^r(L) \longrightarrow P_n^r(K)$$

using the transfer map in algebraic K -theory. The crucial claim [KCT] 1.9 then relies on a result in [Ka2] and the corestriction map $\text{Cor}_{L/K}$ defined as the composite

$$(A.2.5) \quad \text{Cor}_{L/K} : H^1(z, W_n \Omega_{z, \log}^r) \xrightarrow{(h^r)^{-1}} P_n^r(L) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{L/K}} P_n^r(K) \xrightarrow{h^r} H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r),$$

where $z = \text{Spec}(L)$. We show that this definition agrees with the one given in (0.6.2):

Lemma A.2.6. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_n^r(L) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{L/K}} & P_n^r(K) \\ h^r \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr h^r \\ H^1(z, W_n \Omega_{z, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{z/x}} & H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r), \end{array}$$

where $\text{tr}_{z/x}$ denotes the corestriction map in the sense of (0.6.5).

Proof. We prove this lemma in a similar way as for Lemma A.1.1. By the transitivity properties of the two transfer maps, we may suppose that L/K is a simple extension, i.e., $z = \text{Spec}(L)$ is a closed point on $\mathbb{P}^1 := \mathbb{P}_x^1$. Let η be the generic point of \mathbb{P}^1 , and consider a commutative diagram

$$(A.2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} P_n^{r+1}(\kappa(\eta)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} P_n^r(\kappa(v)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} & P_n^r(\kappa(x)) \\ \uparrow (h^r)^{-1} \wr & & \uparrow (h^r)^{-1} \wr & & \\ H^1(\eta, W_n \Omega_{\eta, \log}^{r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} H^1(v, W_n \Omega_{v, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} & H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Here ∂' is defined as $(\partial_{\eta, v}^{\text{val}})_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0}$, tr is the sum of the maps $\text{tr}_{v/x}$, and Tr is the sum of the maps $\text{Tr}_{v/x} = \text{Tr}_{\kappa(v)/\kappa(x)}$. The arrow ∂ is a residue map induced by the residue maps of algebraic K -groups (cf. [Ka1] §2.1 and p. 637 Proposition 3) and the upper row is a complex obtained from the localization theory in algebraic K -theory. The square commutes up to a sign (loc. cit. p. 660 Proof of Lemma 3). By a similar argument as for Lemma A.1.1, we have only to show that the lower row of (A.2.7) is exact. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_{r+1}^M(\kappa(\eta))/p^n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} K_r^M(\kappa(v))/p^n & \xrightarrow{N} & K_r^M(\kappa(x))/p^n \longrightarrow 0 \\ d \log \downarrow \wr & & d \log \downarrow \wr & & d \log \downarrow \wr \\ H^0(\eta, W_n \Omega_{\eta, \log}^{r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} H^0(v, W_n \Omega_{v, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} & H^0(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

where the maps are defined as in (A.1.3), except that at the bottom we now have the map Cor , the sum of the corestriction maps $\text{Cor}_{v/x}$. Then the left square commutes by the definition of the residue maps, and the right square commutes by the definition (0.6.3) of the corestriction maps. The upper row is exact as we have seen in (A.1.3) and the vertical

maps are all isomorphisms. Therefore the lower sequence is exact as well. Sheafifying in the étale topology for K we obtain an exact sequence of sheaves whose stalks at the separable closure \overline{K} of K are

$$W_n \Omega_{\eta', \log}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} W_n \Omega_{v', \log}^r \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} W_n \Omega_{x', \log}^r \longrightarrow 0,$$

with $x' = \text{Spec}(\overline{K})$, $\eta' = \eta \times_x x'$ and $v' = v \times_x x'$. By taking cohomology $H^1(x, -)$ (which is a right exact functor on p -primary torsion sheaves) and applying Shapiro's lemma, we obtain an exact sequence

$$H^1(\eta, W_n \Omega_{\eta, \log}^{r+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial'} \bigoplus_{v \in (\mathbb{P}^1)_0} H^1(v, W_n \Omega_{v, \log}^r) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where tr is the sum of the trace maps $\text{tr}_{v/x}$ and ∂' coincides with the map ∂' in (A.2.7), by the definition of the maps $\partial_{\eta, v}^{\text{val}}$. Therefore this sequence coincides with the lower row of (A.2.7), which shows the exactness of the latter. \square

By Lemmas A.1.1 and A.2.6 we immediately obtain:

Corollary A.2.8. *Under the same setting as in Lemma A.1.1, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_n^r(L) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{L/K}} & P_n^r(K) \\ h^r \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr h^r \\ H^1(z, W_n \Omega_{z, \log}^r) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_f} & H^1(x, W_n \Omega_{x, \log}^r). \end{array}$$

In other words, the corestriction map in the sense of (A.2.5) coincides with Gys_f .

This property was first shown by Shiho in the case that $[K : K^p] = p^r$ and $n = 1$ ([Sh] p. 630 Claim 3).

REFERENCES

- [AV] Artin, M., Verdier, J.-L.: Seminar on étale cohomology of number fields. Woods Hole, 1964
- [BT] Bass, H., Tate, J.: The Milnor ring of a global field. In: Bass, H. (ed.), *Algebraic K-Theory, II*, (Lecture Notes in Math. 342, pp. 349–446), Springer, Berlin, 1973
- [BBD] Beilinson, A. A., Bernstein, J., Deligne, P.: *Faisceaux Pervers*. (Astérisque 100), Soc. Math. France, 1982
- [B] Bloch, S.: Algebraic K -theory and crystalline cohomology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **47**, 187–268 (1977)
- [BK] Bloch, S., Kato, K.: p -adic étale cohomology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **63**, 107–152 (1986)
- [BO] Bloch, S., Ogus, A.: Gersten’s conjecture and the homology of schemes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **7** (1974), 181–202.
- [CTSS] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Sansuc, J.-J., Soulé, C.: Torsion dans le groupe de Chow de codimension deux. *Duke Math. J.* **50**, 763–801 (1983)
- [Dn] Deninger, C.: Duality in the étale cohomology of one-dimensional schemes and generalizations. *Math. Ann.* **277**, 529–541 (1987)
- [FG] Fujiwara, K.: A proof of the absolute purity conjecture (after Gabber). In: Usui, S., Green, M., Illusie, L., Kato, K., Looijenga, E., Mukai, S., Saito, S. (eds.) *Algebraic Geometry, Azumino, 2001*, (Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. 36), pp. 153–184, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002
- [Ge] Geisser, T.: Duality via cycle complexes. to appear in *Ann. of Math.*
- [Gr] Gros, M.: Classes de Chern et classes des cycles en cohomologie logarithmique. *Bull. Soc. Math. France Mémoire N° 21*, 1985
- [GrSu] Gros, M., Suwa, N.: La conjecture de Gersten pour les faisceaux de Hodge-Witt logarithmique. *Duke Math. J.* **57**, 615–628 (1988)
- [Ha] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*. (Grad. Texts in Math. 49), Springer, New York, 1977
- [Ill] Illusie, L.: Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **12**, 501–661 (1979)
- [JS] Jannsen, U., Saito, S.: Kato homology of arithmetic schemes and higher class field theory over local fields. *Documenta Math. Extra Volume: Kazuya Kato’s Fiftieth Birthday*, 479–538 (2003)
- [dJ] de Jong, A. J.: Smoothness, semi-stability, and alterations. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **83**, 51–93 (1996)
- [Ka1] Kato, K.: A generalization of local class field theory using K -groups. II. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. of Tokyo, Sec. IA* **27**, 602–683 (1980)
- [Ka2] Kato, K.: Residue homomorphisms in Milnor K -theory. In: Ihara, Y. (ed.) *Galois groups and their representations, Nagoya, 1981*, (Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 2, pp. 153–172), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1983.
- [KCT] Kato, K.: A Hasse principle for two-dimensional global fields. (with an appendix by Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.), *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **366**, 142–183 (1986)
- [KaKu] Kato, K., Kuzumaki, T.: The dimension of fields and algebraic K -theory. *J. Number Theory* **24**, 229–244 (1986)
- [Ma] Mazur, B.: Notes on étale cohomology of number fields. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **6**, 521–552 (1973)
- [Mi1] Milne, J. S.: *Étale Cohomology*. (Princeton Math. Ser. 33), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1980
- [Mi2] Milne, J. S.: *Arithmetic duality theorems*. (Perspectives in Math. 1), Academic Press, Boston, 1986
- [Mi3] Milne, J. S.: Values of zeta functions of varieties over finite fields. *Amer. J. Math.* **108**, 297–360 (1986)
- [Mo] Moser, T.: A duality theorem for étale p -torsion sheaves on complete varieties over finite fields. *Compositio Math.* **117**, 123–152 (1999)

- [S] Saito, S.: A global duality theorem for varieties over global fields. In: Jardine, J. F., Snaith, V. P. (eds.) *Algebraic K-Theory: Connection with Geometry and Topology, Lake Louise 1987* (NATO ASI Ser. C 279), pp. 425–444, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1989
- [Sa] Sato, K.: Logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaves on normal crossing varieties. *Math. Z.* **257**, 707–743 (2007)
- [SaH] Sato, K.: p -adic étale Tate twists and arithmetic duality. (with an appendix of Hagihara, K.), *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **40**, 519–588 (2007)
- [Se] Serre, J.-P.: *Cohomologie Galoisienne*. 5^e éd., (Lecture Notes in Math. 5), Springer, Berlin, 1992
- [Sh] Shiho, A.: On logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology of regular schemes. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **14**, 567–635 (2007)
- [Sp] Spiess, M.: Artin-Verdier duality for arithmetic surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **305**, 705–792 (1996)
- [Su] Suwa, N.: A note on Gersten’s conjecture for logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaves. *K-Theory* **9**, 245–271 (1995)
- [T] Tate, J.: Duality theorems in the Galois cohomology of number fields. In: *Proc. Intern. Congress Math., Stockholm, 1962*, pp. 234–241
- [Th] Thomason, R. W.: Algebraic K -theory and étale cohomology. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **18**, 437–552 (1985)
- [EGA2] Grothendieck, A., Dieudonné, J.: Etude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **8** (1961)
- [SGA4] Grothendieck, A., Artin, M., Verdier, J.-L., with Deligne, P., Saint-Donat, B.: *Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas*. (Lecture Notes in Math. 269, 270, 305), Springer, Berlin, 1972–73
- [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$] Deligne, P., with Boutot, J.-F., Grothendieck, A., Illusie, L., Verdier, J.-L.: *Cohomologie Étale*. (Lecture Notes in Math. 569), Springer, Berlin, 1977
- [SGA5] Grothendieck, A., with Bucur, I., Houzel, C., Illusie, L., Jouanolou, J.-P., Serre, J.-P.: *Cohomologie l -adique et Fonctions L* . (Lecture Notes in Math. 589), Springer, Berlin, 1977

Uwe Jannsen:
 Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg
 Universitätsstr. 31, 93040 Regensburg
 GERMANY
 e-mail: uwe.jannsen@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

Shuji Saito:
 Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo
 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914
 JAPAN
 e-mail: sshuji@msb.biglobe.ne.jp

Kanetomo Sato:
 Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University
 Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602
 JAPAN
 email: kanetomo@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp