

The sign of a multiconformal class

Nobuhiko Otoba (UR)*

November 28, 2017[†]

1 Background

The smooth Yamabe invariant $\sigma(M) \in \mathbb{R}$ of a closed manifold M^m is defined through the minimax procedure for the volume-normalized total scalar curvature functional (also called the Einstein–Hilbert functional) as

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(M) &= \sup_{[g]} \mu(M, [g]), && \text{(the smooth Yamabe invariant)} \\ \mu(M, [g]) &= \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \frac{\int_M R_{\tilde{g}} d\mu_{\tilde{g}}}{\left(\int_M d\mu_{\tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}}} && \text{(the conformal Yamabe constant)}\end{aligned}$$

where $[g]$ is an arbitrary conformal class on M . We remark that $\sigma(M) > 0$ if and only if M admits a metric of positive scalar curvature. Due to the saddle point structure of critical points for the Einstein–Hilbert functional, the Yamabe invariant plays a fundamental role in understanding distinguished Riemannian metrics on a manifold.

It has been elusive to determine the Yamabe invariants of such manifolds of crucial importance as compact space-forms and their direct products. For example, it is an open problem to determine $\sigma(S^2 \times S^2)$. In this talk, we study the intrinsic geometry of multiconformal classes with applications to problems of these kinds in mind.

2 Multiconformal classes

Let (M^m, g) be a Riemannian manifold with an orthogonal splitting $TM = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_l$ of the tangent bundle into its subbundles E_i of rank m_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$. We write $g = g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus g_l$ for some fiberwise inner product $g_i \in \Gamma(E_i^* \otimes E_i^*)$.

*joint work with Saskia Roos (MPIM Bonn)

[†]Arbeitsgruppenseminar Professor Dr. Bernd Ammann

Definition 1. We call

$$\llbracket g \rrbracket = \{f_1^2 g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_l^2 g_l \mid f_1, \dots, f_l : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$$

the multiconformal class of g .

We note that a multiconformal class contains not only the whole conformal classes of metrics in $\llbracket g \rrbracket$ but also their warped product deformations of all kinds. This observation leads to define the following invariant

$$\sigma(M, \llbracket g \rrbracket) := \sup_{[\tilde{g}] \subset \llbracket g \rrbracket} \mu(M, [\tilde{g}])$$

of a multiconformal class, which verifies

$$\sigma(M, \llbracket g \rrbracket) \leq \sigma(M).$$

3 The scalar curvature formula

Assume that $g = g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus g_l$ is a product Riemannian metric defined on $M^m = M_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times M_l^{m_l}$. We briefly explain how we calculated the scalar curvature $R_{\tilde{g}}$ of the multiconformally deformed metric $\tilde{g} = f_1^2 g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_l^2 g_l$ as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\tilde{g}} &= \sum_i \frac{R_i}{f_i^2} - 2 \sum_i (m_i - 1) \frac{\Delta_i f_i}{f_i^3} - 2 \sum_{i \neq j} m_j \frac{\Delta_i f_j}{f_i^2 f_j} \\ &\quad - \sum_i (m_i - 1)(m_i - 4) \frac{|\text{grad}_i f_i|^2}{f_i^4} - 2 \sum_{i \neq j} m_j (m_i - 2) \frac{\langle \text{grad}_i f_i, \text{grad}_i f_j \rangle}{f_i^3 f_j} \quad (\star) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i \neq j} m_j (m_j - 1) \frac{|\text{grad}_i f_j|^2}{f_i^2 f_j^2} - \sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i} m_j m_k \frac{\langle \text{grad}_i f_j, \text{grad}_i f_k \rangle}{f_i^2 f_j f_k} \end{aligned}$$

where the indices i, j, k run over $\{1, \dots, l\}$. Here, R_i , Δ_i , and grad_i are the scalar curvature, the Laplacian¹, and the gradient operator of g_i , respectively. A key to deriving this formula is to get rid of the conventional O'Neill's formalism and make use of Karcher's idea [2].

4 Illustrating examples

Instead of describing our results in full generality, we consider the following situation. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let (Σ_i, ds_i^2) be a closed Riemannian surface² of Euler characteristic χ_i .

¹ $\Delta = \frac{d^2}{ds^2}$ on (\mathbb{R}, ds^2)

²a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension 2 without boundary

Theorem 2. (1) *In the multiconformal class $\llbracket ds_1^2 \oplus ds_2^2 \rrbracket$, there exists a metric of constant negative scalar curvature whose energy is arbitrarily large in absolute value, regardless of (Σ_1, ds_1^2) and (Σ_2, ds_2^2) .*

(2) *If $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = 0$, then $\sigma(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, \llbracket ds_1^2 \oplus ds_2^2 \rrbracket) = 0$ is only attained by scalar-flat product metrics.*

(3) *If $\chi_1 = 0$ and $\chi_2 < 0$ or if $\chi_1 < 0$ and $\chi_2 = 0$, then $\sigma(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, \llbracket ds_1^2 \oplus ds_2^2 \rrbracket) = 0$ is not attained.*

(4) *If $\chi_1, \chi_2 < 0$, then $\sigma(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, \llbracket ds_1^2 \oplus ds_2^2 \rrbracket) < 0$ is attained by a unique unit-volume product metric of constant scalar curvature.*

Proof. Certain integral estimates for solutions of the underdetermined PDE (\star) with $R_{\bar{g}}$ an unknown constant. □

Remark 3. • Theorem 2 (1) implies that the space of unit-volume constant scalar curvature metrics within the multiconformal class $\llbracket ds_1^2 \oplus ds_2^2 \rrbracket$ is always noncompact, with respect to an arbitrary topology finer than say C^2 . In contrast, such a space within a conformal class is known to be compact in dimension 4 (cf. Druet [1], Khuri–Marques–Schoen [3]).

- The Einstein–Hilbert functional E behaves along the family

$$\{c_1^2 ds_1^2 \oplus c_2^2 ds_2^2\}_{c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} \subset \llbracket ds_1^2 \oplus ds_2^2 \rrbracket$$

of product metrics on $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ as in Table 1, provided that both ds_1^2 and ds_2^2 have constant Gaussian curvatures. Theorem 2 (2)–(4) implies in particular that $\sigma(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, \llbracket ds_1^2 \oplus ds_2^2 \rrbracket) > 0$ if and only if $\chi_1 > 0$ or $\chi_2 > 0$.

References

- [1] Olivier Druet, *Compactness for Yamabe metrics in low dimensions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2004), no. 23, 1143–1191. MR 2041549
- [2] H. Karcher, *Submersions via projections*, Geom. Dedicata **74** (1999), no. 3, 249–260. MR 1669359
- [3] M. A. Khuri, F. C. Marques, and R. M. Schoen, *A compactness theorem for the Yamabe problem*, J. Differential Geom. **81** (2009), no. 1, 143–196. MR 2477893

Table 1: The behavior of the Einstein–Hilbert functional

