

# The Yamabe invariant and surgery

B. Ammann<sup>1</sup>   M. Dahl<sup>2</sup>   E. Humbert<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universität Regensburg  
Germany

<sup>2</sup>Kungliga Tekniska Högskolan, Stockholm  
Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Université François-Rabelais, Tours  
France

Geometric Analysis in Geometry and Topology 2015  
Tokyo 2015



# Einstein-Hilbert functional

Let  $M$  be a compact  $n$ -dimensional manifold,  $n \geq 3$ .  
The renormalised Einstein-Hilbert functional is

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{E}(g) := \frac{\int_M \text{scal}^g \, dv^g}{\text{vol}(M, g)^{(n-2)/n}}$$

$\mathcal{M} := \{\text{metrics on } M\}$ .

$[g] := \{u^{4/(n-2)}g \mid u > 0\}$ .

Stationary points of  $\mathcal{E} : [g] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  = metrics with constant scalar curvature

Stationary points of  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  = Einstein metrics

# Conformal Yamabe constant

## Inside a conformal class

$$Y(M, [g]) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}) > -\infty.$$

This is the *conformal Yamabe constant*.

$$Y(M, [g]) \leq Y(\mathbb{S}^n)$$

where  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is the sphere with the standard structure.

**Solution of the Yamabe problem** (Trudinger, Aubin, Schoen-Yau)

$\mathcal{E} : [g] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  attains its infimum.

**Remark**  $Y(M, [g]) > 0$  if and only if  $[g]$  contains a metric of positive scalar curvature.

## Obata's theorem

### Theorem (Obata)

*Assume:*

- ▶  $M$  is connected and compact
- ▶  $g_0$  is an Einstein metric on  $M$
- ▶  $g = u^{4/(n-2)}g_0$  with  $\text{scal}^g$  constant
- ▶  $(M, g_0)$  not conformal to  $\mathbb{S}^n$

*Then  $u$  is constant.*

### Conclusion

$$\mathcal{E}(g_0) = Y(M, [g_0])$$

This conclusion also holds if  $g_0$  is a non-Einstein metric with  $\text{scal} = \text{const} \leq 0$  (Maximum principle).

So in these two cases, we have determined  $Y(M, [g_0])$ .  
However in general it is difficult to get explicit “good” lower bounds for  $Y(M, [g_0])$ .



## On the set of conformal classes

$$\sigma(M) := \sup_{[g] \in \mathcal{M}} Y(M, [g]) \in (-\infty, Y(\mathbb{S}^n)]$$

The smooth Yamabe invariant.

Introduced by O. Kobayashi and R. Schoen.

**Remark**  $\sigma(M) > 0$  if and only if  $M$  carries a metric of positive scalar curvature.

**Supremum attained?**

Depends on  $M$ .

## Example $\mathbb{C}P^2$

The Fubini-Study  $g_{\text{FS}}$  metric is Einstein and

$$53.31\dots = \mathcal{E}(g_{\text{FS}}) = Y(\mathbb{C}P^2, [g_{\text{FS}}]) = \sigma(\mathbb{C}P^2).$$

Supremum attained in the Fubini-Study metric.

LeBrun '97 **Seiberg-Witten theory**

LeBrun & Gursky '98 **Twisted Dirac operators**

## Similar examples

- ▶  $\sigma(S^n) = n(n-1)\omega_n^{2/n}$ .
- ▶ Gromov & Lawson, Schoen & Yau  $\approx$ ' 83: Tori  $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ .  
 $\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n) = 0$ . **Enlargeable Manifolds**
- ▶ LeBrun '99: All Kähler-Einstein surfaces with non-positive scalar curvature. **Seiberg-Witten methods**
- ▶ Bray & Neves '04:  $\mathbb{R}P^3$ .  $\sigma(\mathbb{R}P^3) = 2^{-2/3}\sigma(S^3)$ .  
**Inverse mean curvature flow**
- ▶ Perelman, M. Anderson '06 (sketch), Kleiner-Lott '08 compact quotients of 3-dimensional hyperbolic space  
**Ricci flow**

## Example where supremum is not attained

Schoen:  $\sigma(S^{n-1} \times S^1) = \sigma(S^n)$ .

The supremum is **not attained**.

## Some known values of $\sigma$

- ▶ All examples above.
- ▶ Akutagawa & Neves '07: Some non-prime 3-manifolds, e.g.

$$\sigma(\mathbb{R}P^3 \# (S^2 \times S^1)) = \sigma(\mathbb{R}P^3).$$

- ▶ Seiberg-Witten methods have been extended to the  $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ -setting by Ishida, Matsuo and Nakamura '15
- ▶ Compact quotients of nilpotent Lie groups:  $\sigma(M) = 0$ .

## Unknown cases

- ▶ Nontrivial quotients of spheres, except  $\mathbb{R}P^3$ .
- ▶  $S^k \times S^m$ , with  $k, m \geq 2$ .
- ▶ No example of dimension  $\geq 5$  known with  $\sigma(M) \neq 0$  and  $\sigma(M) \neq \sigma(S^n)$ .



Positive scalar curvature  $\Leftrightarrow$  psc  $\Leftrightarrow \sigma(M) > 0$

Suppose  $n \geq 5$ .

1.  $\sigma(M) > 0$  is a “bordism invariant”.
2. Bordism classes admitting psc metrics form a subgroup in the bordism group  $\Omega_n^{\text{spin}}(B\pi_1)$ .
3. If  $P^p \xrightarrow{\pi} B^b$  is a fiber bundle, equipped with a family of vertical metrics  $(g_p)_{p \in B}$  with  $Y(\pi^{-1}(p), [g_p]) > 0$ , then  $\sigma(P) > 0$ .

## Guiding questions of our work, $\epsilon > 0$

1. Is  $\sigma(M) > \epsilon$  a “bordism invariant”? **Yes for  $0 < \epsilon < \Lambda_n$ ,  $\Lambda_5 = 45.1$ ,  $\Lambda_6 = 49.9$ , ADH**
2. Do  $\sigma(M) > \epsilon$ -classes form a subgroup?  
**Yes for  $0 < \epsilon < \Lambda_n$ , ADH**
3. If  $P^p \xrightarrow{\pi} B^b$  is a fiber bundle, equipped with a family of vertical metrics  $(g_p)_{p \in B}$  with  $Y(\pi^{-1}(p), [g_p]) > 0$ ,  $f = p - b \geq 3$ ,  $b = \dim B \geq 3$ , then

$$\sigma(P)^p \geq c_{b,f} \left( \min_{p \in B} Y(\pi^{-1}(p), [g_p]) \right)^f.$$

ADH + M. Streil

# Explicit values for $\Lambda_n$

## Theorem (ADH)

*Let  $M$  be a compact simply connected manifold,  $n = \dim M$ .*

*Then*

$$n = 5 : \quad \Lambda_5 \geq 45.1 < \sigma(M) \leq \sigma(S^5) = 78.9 \dots$$

$$n = 6 : \quad \Lambda_6 \geq 49.9 < \sigma(M) \leq \sigma(S^6) = 96.2 \dots$$

# Gap theorems

## Theorem (ADH)

Let  $M$  is a 2-connected compact manifold of dimension  $n \geq 5$ .

If  $\alpha(M) \neq 0$ , then  $\sigma(M) = 0$ .

If  $\alpha(M) = 0$ , then

$n =$	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$\sigma(M) \geq$	78.9	87.6	74.5	92.2	109.2	97.3	135.9
$\sigma(S^n) =$	78.9	96.2	113.5	130.7	147.8	165.0	182.1

## Theorem (ADH)

Let  $\Gamma$  be group whose homology is finitely generated in each degree. In the case  $n \geq 5$ , we know that

$$\{\sigma(M) \mid \pi_1(M) = \Gamma, \dim M = n\} \cap [0, \Lambda_n]$$

is a well-ordered set (with respect to the standard order  $\leq$ ).  
In other words: there is no sequence of  $n$ -dimensional manifolds  $M_i$  with  $\pi_1(M_i) = \Gamma$  such that  $\sigma(M_i) \in [0, \Lambda_n]$  and such that  $\sigma(M_i)$  is strictly decreasing.

On the other hand it is conjectured that

$$\sigma(S^n/\Gamma) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \#\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$$

# Techniques

## Key ingredients

- (1) A monotonicity formula for surgery, ADH
- (2) A lower bound for products, ADH

## Other techniques

- (3a) Rearranging functions on  $\mathbb{H}_c^r \times \mathbb{S}^s$  to test functions on  $\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{S}^s$ , ADH
- (3b) Conformal Yamabe constants of  $Y(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2})$ , Petean-Ruiz
- (4) Are  $L^p$ -solutions of the Yamabe equation on complete manifolds already  $L^2$ ? Results by ADH
- (5) Obata's theorem about constant scalar metrics conformal to Einstein manifolds
- (6) Standard bordism techniques: Smale, ..., Gromov-Lawson, Stolz

## (1) A Monotonicity formula for surgery

Let  $M_k^\Phi$  be obtained from  $M$  by  $k$ -dimensional surgery,  
 $0 \leq k \leq n - 3$ .

### Theorem (ADH, # 1)

*There is  $\Lambda_{n,k} > 0$  with*

$$\sigma(M_k^\Phi) \geq \min\{\sigma(M), \Lambda_{n,k}\}$$

*Furthermore  $\Lambda_{n,0} = Y(\mathbb{S}^n)$ .*

Special cases were already proved by Gromov-Lawson,  
Schoen-Yau, Kobayashi, Petean.

Thm # 1 follows directly from Thm # 2.

### Theorem (ADH, #2)

*For any metric  $g$  on  $M$  there is a sequence of metrics  $g_i$  on  $M_k^\Phi$   
such that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Y(M_k^\Phi, [g_i]) = \min \{ Y(M, [g]), \Lambda_{n,k} \}.$$

## Construction of the metrics

Let  $\Phi : S^k \times \overline{B^{n-k}} \hookrightarrow M$  be an embedding.

We write close to  $S := \Phi(S^k \times \{0\})$ ,  $r(x) := d(x, S)$

$$g \approx g|_S + dr^2 + r^2 g_{\text{round}}^{n-k-1}$$

where  $g_{\text{round}}^{n-k-1}$  is the round metric on  $S^{n-k-1}$ .  
 $t := -\log r$ .




$$\frac{1}{r^2} g \approx e^{2t} g|_S + dt^2 + g_{\text{round}}^{n-k-1}$$

We define a metric

$$g_i = \begin{cases} g & \text{for } r > r_1 \\ \frac{1}{r^2} g & \text{for } r \in (\rho, r_0) \\ f^2(t) g|_S + dt^2 + g_{\text{round}}^{n-k-1} & \text{for } r < \rho \end{cases}$$

that extends to a metric on  $M_k^\Phi$ .





## Proof of Theorem #2, continued

Any class  $[g_i]$  contains a minimizing metric written as  $u_i^{4/(n-2)} g_i$ .  
We obtain a PDE:

$$4 \frac{n-1}{n-2} \Delta^{g_i} u_i + \text{scal}^{g_i} u_i = \lambda_i u_i^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$
$$u_i > 0, \quad \int u_i^{2n/(n-2)} dv^{g_i} = 1, \quad \lambda_i = Y([g_i])$$

This sequence might:

- ▶ Concentrate in at least one point. Then  $\liminf \lambda_i \geq Y(\mathbb{S}^n)$ .
- ▶ Concentrate on the old part  $M \setminus S$ . Then  $\liminf \lambda_i \geq Y([g])$ .
- ▶ Concentrate on the new part.

Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of pointed spaces.

Limit spaces:

$$\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1}, \quad c \in [0, 1]$$

$\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1}$ : simply connected, complete,  $K = -c^2$

Then  $\liminf \lambda_i \geq Y(\mathbb{M}_c)$ .



# The numbers $\Lambda_{n,k}$

(Disclaimer: Additional conditions for  $k + 3 = n \geq 7$

See Ammann–Große 2015 for some related questions)

$$\Lambda_{n,k} := \inf_{c \in [0,1]} Y(\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1})$$

$$Y(N) := \inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(N)} \frac{\int_N 4^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} |du|^2 + \text{scal } u^2}{(\int_N u^p)^{2/p}}$$

**Note:**  $\mathbb{H}_1^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1} \cong \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \mathbb{S}^k$ .

$k = 0$ :  $\Lambda_{n,k} = Y(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = Y(\mathbb{S}^n)$

$k = 1, \dots, n-3$ :  $\Lambda_{n,k} > 0$

$\Lambda_n := \min\{\Lambda_{n,2}, \dots, \Lambda_{n,n-3}\}$

**Conjecture #1:**  $Y(\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1}) \geq Y(\mathbb{R}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1})$

**Conjecture #2:** The infimum in the definition of  $Y(\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1})$  is attained by an  $O(k+1) \times O(n-k)$  invariant function if  $0 \leq c < 1$ .

$O(n-k)$ -invariance is difficult,

$O(k+1)$ -invariance follows from standard reflection methods

**Comments** If we assume Conjecture #2, then Conjecture #1 reduces to an ODE and  $Y(\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1})$  can be calculated numerically. Assuming Conjecture #2, a maple calculation confirmed Conjecture #1 for all tested  $n, k$  and  $c$ .

The conjecture **would** imply:

$$\sigma(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \geq \Lambda_{4,1} = 59.4\dots$$

Compare this to

$$Y(\mathbb{S}^4) = 61.5\dots$$

$$Y(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) = 50.2\dots$$

$$\sigma(\mathbb{C}P^2) = 53.31\dots$$



# Values for $\Lambda_{n,k}$

► More values of  $\Lambda_{n,k}$

$n$	$k$	$\Lambda_{n,k} \geq$ known	$\Lambda_{n,k} =$ conjectured	$Y(\mathbb{S}^n)$
3	0	43.8	43.8	43.8
4	0	61.5	61.5	61.5
4	1	38.9	59.4	61.5
5	0	78.9	78.9	78.9
5	1	56.6	78.1	78.9
5	2	45.1	75.3	78.9
6	0	96.2	96.2	96.2
6	1	$> 0$	95.8	96.2
6	2	54.7	94.7	96.2
6	3	49.9	91.6	96.2
7	0	113.5	113.5	113.5
7	1	$> 0$	113.2	113.5
7	2	74.5	112.6	113.5
7	3	74.5	111.2	113.5
7	4	$> 0$	108.1	113.5

## (2) A lower bound for products

$$a_n := 4(n-1)/(n-2)$$

### Theorem (ADH)

Let  $(V, g)$  and  $(W, h)$  be Riemannian manifolds of dimensions  $v, w \geq 3$ . Assume that  $Y(V, [g]) \geq 0$ ,  $Y(W, [h]) \geq 0$  and that

$$\frac{\text{Scal}^g + \text{Scal}^h}{a_{v+w}} \geq \frac{\text{Scal}^g}{a_v} + \frac{\text{Scal}^h}{a_w}. \quad (1)$$

Then,

$$\frac{Y(V \times W, [g+h])}{(v+w)a_{v+w}} \geq \left( \frac{Y(V, [g])}{va_v} \right)^{\frac{v}{m}} \left( \frac{Y(W, [h])}{wa_w} \right)^{\frac{w}{m}}.$$

Main technique: Iterated Hölder inequality.

## How good is this bound?

$$b_{v,w} \leq \frac{Y(V \times W, [g + h])}{(v+w) \left( \frac{Y(V, [g])}{v} \right)^{\frac{v}{v+w}} \left( \frac{Y(W, [h])}{w} \right)^{\frac{w}{v+w}}} \leq 1,$$

$$b_{v,w} := \frac{a_{v+w}}{a_v^{v/(v+w)} a_w^{w/(v+w)}} < 1.$$

$b_{v,w}$	w=3	w=4	w=5	w=6	w=7
v= 3	0.625	0.7072..	0.7515..	0.7817..	0.8042..
4	0.7072..	0.7777..	0.8007..	0.8367..	0.8537..
5	0.7515..	0.8007..	0.8427..	0.8631..	0.8772..
6	0.7817..	0.8367..	0.8631..	0.88	0.8921..
7	0.8042..	0.8537..	0.8772..	0.8921..	0.9027..

## Application to $\Lambda_{n,k}$

$\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1}$  conformal to a subset of  $\mathbb{S}^{k+1}$   
 $\Rightarrow Y(\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1}) = Y(\mathbb{S}^{k+1})$

Thus for  $2 \leq k \leq n - k - 4$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{n,k} &= \inf_{c \in [0,1]} Y(\mathbb{H}_c^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1}) \\ &\geq n b_{k+1, n-k-1} \left( \frac{Y(\mathbb{S}^{k+1})}{k+1} \right)^{(k+1)/n} \left( \frac{Y(\mathbb{S}^{n-k-1})}{n-k-1} \right)^{(n-k-1)/n}\end{aligned}$$



## Application to fiber bundles

Assume  $F^f \rightarrow P^n \rightarrow B^b$  is a fiber bundle,  $\sigma(F) > 0$ ,  
 $f = \dim F \geq 3$ .

Shrink a psc metric  $g_F$  on  $F$ .

We see:  $\sigma(P) \geq Y((F, g_F) \times \mathbb{R}^b)$  (M. Streil, in preparation).  
For  $b \geq 3$ :

$$Y((F, g_F) \times \mathbb{R}^b) \geq n b_{f,b} \left( \frac{Y(F, [g_F])}{f} \right)^{f/n} \left( \frac{Y(\mathbb{S}^b)}{b} \right)^{b/n}$$

If  $g_F$  carries an Einstein metric, then Petean-Ruiz can provide lower bounds for  $Y(F \times \mathbb{R}, [g_F + dt^2])$  and  $Y(F \times \mathbb{R}^2, [g_F + dt^2 + ds^2])$ .

## Important building blocks






For the following manifolds we have lower bounds on the smooth Yamabe invariant and the conformal Yamabe constant.

- ▶ Smooth Yamabe invariant of total spaces of bundles with fiber  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . These total spaces generate the oriented bordism classes.
- ▶ Smooth Yamabe invariant of total spaces of bundles with fiber  $\mathbb{H}P^2$ . These total spaces generate the kernel of  $\alpha : \Omega_n^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow KO_n$
- ▶ Conformal Yamabe constant of Einstein manifolds:  $SU(3)/SO(3)$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ ,  $\mathbb{H}P^2$
- ▶  $\mathbb{H}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  **Petean-Ruiz**
- ▶ Conformal Yamabe constant of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ . Particularly important for  $n = 4, 5, 9, 10$ . **Petean-Ruiz**
- ▶ Conformal Yamabe constant of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ . **Petean-Ruiz**





Thanks for your attention!



## Literature by the authors

-  B. Ammann, M. Dahl, E. Humbert, *Smooth Yamabe invariant and surgery*,  
J. Diff. Geom. **94**, 1–58 (2013)
-  \_\_\_\_\_, *The conformal Yamabe constant of product manifolds*,  
Proc. AMS **141** 295-307 (2013)
-  \_\_\_\_\_, *Square-integrability of solutions of the Yamabe equation*,  
Commun. Anal. Geom. **21**, 891-916 (2013)
-  \_\_\_\_\_, *Low-dimensional surgery and the Yamabe invariant*,  
J. Math. Soc. Japan **67**, 159-182 (2015)
-  B. Ammann, N- Große, *Relations between threshold constants for Yamabe type bordism invariants*, to appear in  
J. Geom. Anal.

## Related Literature

-  K. Akutagawa, L. Florit, J. Petean, *On the Yamabe constant of Riemannian products*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 2007, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603486>.
-  N. Große, *The Yamabe equation on manifolds of bounded geometry*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.4398v2>.
-  J. Petean, J. M. Ruiz, *Isoperimetric profile comparisons and Yamabe constants*, AGAG 2011, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.3642>.
-  \_\_\_\_\_, *On the Yamabe constants of  $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$* , <http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.1022>.

## Possible application to $\mathbb{C}P^3$

### Lemma

*Assume that the surgery monotonicity formula holds for the conjectured values*

$$\Lambda_{6.2} = 94.7\dots \quad \Lambda_{6.3} = 91.6\dots$$

*Then  $\sigma(\mathbb{C}P^3) \geq \min\{\Lambda_{6.2}, \Lambda_{6.3}\} \geq 91.6\dots$*

**Compare to the Fubini-Study metric  $g_{FS}$**

$$\mu(\mathbb{C}P^3, [g_{FS}]) = 82.9864\dots$$

### Proof.

$\mathbb{C}P^3$  is spin-bordant to  $S^6$ . Find such a bordism  $W$  such that that  $W$  is 2-connected. Then one can obtain  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  by surgeries of dimension 2 and 3 out of  $S^6$ . □

## Application to connected sums

Assume that  $M$  is compact, connected of dimension at least 5 with  $0 < \sigma(M) < \min\{\Lambda_{n,1}, \dots, \Lambda_{n,n-3}\} =: \widehat{\Lambda}_n$ . Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  be relatively prime. Then

$$\sigma(\underbrace{M \# \dots \# M}_{p \text{ times}}) = \sigma(M)$$

or

$$\sigma(\underbrace{M \# \dots \# M}_{q \text{ times}}) = \sigma(M).$$

Are there such manifolds  $M$ ?

Schoen conjectured:  $\sigma(S^n/\Gamma) = \sigma(S^n)/(\#\Gamma)^{2/n} \in (0, \widehat{\Lambda}_n)$   
for  $\#\Gamma$  large.

## Application to connected sums $M\#N$

Assume that  $M$  and  $N$  are compact, connected of dimension at least 5 with

$$0 < \sigma(N) > \sigma(M) < \widehat{\Lambda}_n.$$

Then

$$\sigma(M) = \sigma(M\#N).$$



# More values of $\Lambda_{n,k}$

▶ Back

$n$	$k$	$\Lambda_{n,k} \geq$ known	$\Lambda_{n,k} =$ conjectured	$Y(S^n)$
8	0	130.7	130.7	130.7
8	1	$> 0$	130.5	130.7
8	2	92.2	130.1	130.7
8	3	95.7	129.3	130.7
8	4	92.2	127.9	130.7
8	5	$> 0$	124.7	130.7
9	0	147.8	147.8	147.8
9	1	109.2	147.7	147.8
9	2	109.4	147.4	147.8
9	3	114.3	146.9	147.8
9	4	114.3	146.1	147.8
9	5	109.4	144.6	147.8
9	6	$> 0$	141.4	147.8

$n$	$k$	$\Lambda_{n,k} \geq$ known	$\Lambda_{n,k} =$ conjectured	$Y(\mathbb{S}^n)$
10	0	165.0		165.02
10	1	102.6		165.02
10	2	126.4		165.02
10	3	132.0		165.02
10	4	133.3		165.02
10	5	132.0		165.02
10	6	126.4		165.02
10	7	> 0		165.02
11	0	182.1		182.1
11	1	> 0		182.1
11	2	143.3		182.1
11	3	149.4		182.1
11	4	151.3		182.1
11	5	151.3		182.1
11	6	149.4		182.1
11	7	143.3		182.1
11	8	> 0		182.1